Gamow-Teller strengths in $^{24}\text{Na}$ using the $^{24}\text{Mg}(t, ^{3}\text{He})$ reaction at 115 A MeV
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Gamow-Teller transitions from $^{24}\text{Mg}$ to $^{24}\text{Na}$ were studied via the $(t, ^{3}\text{He})$ reaction at 115 A MeV using a secondary triton beam produced via fast fragmentation of 150 A MeV $^{16}\text{O}$ ions. Compared to previous $(t, ^{3}\text{He})$ experiments at this energy that employed a primary $\alpha$ beam, the secondary beam intensity is improved by a factor of five. Despite the large emittance of the secondary beam, an excitation-energy resolution of $\sim200 \text{keV}$ is achieved. A good correspondence is found between the extracted Gamow-Teller strength distribution and those available from other charge-exchange probes. Theoretical calculations using the newly developed USDA and USDB $sd$-shell model interactions reproduce the data well.
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Charge-exchange reactions have proven to be excellent tools for probing spin-isospin-flip excitations in nuclei [1]. In particular Gamow-Teller (GT) transitions, which are associated with spin-flip ($\Delta S=1$), isospin-flip ($\Delta T=1$), and zero units of angular-momentum transfer ($\Delta L=0$) can probe excitation-energy regions not accessible through $\beta$-decay experiments. The extracted GT strength distributions test nuclear structure models, provide important input for simulations of stellar evolution and neutrino-induced nucleosynthesis, and can be used to constrain calculations of matrix elements for $2\nu$ and neutrinoless double $\beta$ decay.

For charge-exchange reactions in the $\Delta T_z=+1$ direction ($\beta^\pi$ direction), a variety of probes are available of which the $(n, p)$ (see, e.g., Refs. [2] and [3]) and $(d, ^{3}\text{He})$ (see, e.g., Refs. [4] and [5]) reactions have been most widely employed to obtain information about GT strength distributions. It has been shown [6–10] that the $(t, ^{3}\text{He})$ reaction at 115 A MeV is also an attractive probe. Good energy resolution ($\sim200 \text{keV}$) can be achieved and experience with the $(^3\text{He}, t)$ reaction at 140–150 A MeV (see, e.g., Refs. [11] and [12]), including a detailed study of the extraction of GT strength over a wide target-mass region [13], is of great benefit to the interpretation of $(t, ^{3}\text{He})$ experiments.

The main challenge for the $(t, ^{3}\text{He})$ experiments at intermediate beam energies is the use of a secondary triton beam, which results in a relatively low beam intensity and large emittance of the triton beam. Before the construction of the Coupled Cyclotron Facility (CCF) at the NSCL, a 140 A MeV primary $\alpha$ beam was used and triton beam intensities of $\sim1 \times 10^6$ pps were achieved [6,8–10]. After the coupling of the K500 and K1200 cyclotrons, a triton intensity of $\sim5 \times 10^8$ was achieved by fast fragmentation of a 150 A MeV primary $^{16}\text{O}$ beam, as reported in Ref. [14]. Here, we report on the first extraction of GT strengths with the new secondary triton beam from a measurement of the $^{24}\text{Mg}(t, ^{3}\text{He})$ reaction. The results are compared with those of $^{24}\text{Mg}(d, ^{3}\text{He})$ [15] and $^{24}\text{Mg}(^{3}\text{He}, t)$ [16] (the latter by employing isospin symmetry) and shell-model calculations. Because the details of the production and rate optimization studies for the triton beam from fast fragmentation of $^{16}\text{O}$ have been discussed in Ref. [14], here the focus is on the reconstruction of the excitation-energy spectra, the angular distributions, and the extracted GT strength distribution.

The secondary triton beam of 115 A MeV was transported to a 9.86 mg/cm² thick, 99.92% isotopically enriched $^{24}\text{Mg}$ target located at the entrance of the S800 spectrometer [17]. To obtain high-resolution $(t, ^{3}\text{He})$ data, the beam lines...
and the spectrometer were operated in dispersion-matching mode, which limits the momentum acceptance to ±0.25%, corresponding to a 3A MeV kinetic energy spread of the triton beam. The transmission from the A1900 fragment separator [18] to the S800 target was 40–50%. This was lower than the expected value of about 80%, which was traced back to small misalignments of certain beam-line elements. This has been resolved recently; the improved transmissions will lead to further increases in the triton beam intensity for future experiments. The 3He particles produced in the 24Mg(t, 3He) reaction were detected and identified in the focal plane detector system of the S800 [19]. Two cathode readout drift chambers determine the positions and angles in the dispersive and nondispersive directions in the focal plane. A 5th-order transfer matrix [20] was used to reconstruct the 3He momentum, the track angles in nondispersive (θnd) and dispersive (θd) directions, and the nondispersive hit-position at the target (xnd).

The beam-spot size on target in the dispersive plane is about 5 cm and particles hit the target nearly parallel to the beam axis. The beam is focused on the target in the nondispersive plane. In contrast to the dispersive plane, the angular spread of the beam in this direction leads to an uncertainty in the scattering angle of the 3He particle. This uncertainty was reduced by slightly defocusing the beam and correcting θnd based on the correlation with xnd. The resolutions in θnd and θd then become comparable, combining for a scattering-angle resolution of 0.5°. The tails of the 2-cm-wide beam spot in the nondispersive direction extended beyond the width of the 24Mg target (1.27 cm). As a result, a fraction of the beam hit the adhesive used to mount the target to the frame. The reconstruction of xnd (with a resolution of about 2 mm) is, therefore, also useful for removing the background events from the adhesive. It was hard to estimate how many 24Mg(t, 3He) events were removed by this cut, leading to a large systematic error in the absolute cross sections. The Gamow-Teller strengths were, therefore, normalized through comparison to existing data, as detailed below.

From the reconstructed angles and momentum, the 3He center-of-mass scattering angle θc.m.(3He) and the excitation energy (E_x) of the 24Na were determined on an event-by-event basis. Due to the large size of the beam spot on the target, the acceptance of the spectrometer is not complete for angular ranges beyond −3° < θd < 3° and −3° < θnd < 3°, which were, therefore, excluded from further analysis. The maximum θc.m.(3He) covered was 4.6°. In Fig. 1, the excitation energy spectra over the full angular range and at forward angles are displayed. Because GT transitions peak at forward scattering angles, unlike transitions associated with larger units of angular momentum transfer, comparison of these two spectra already gives an indication of the location of such states. Due to the kinematic correlation between 3He scattering angle and momentum and the finite angular resolution, the excitation-energy resolution varied from 190 keV (FWHM) at the most forward scattering angles to 220 keV at backward angles. The GT contribution to the spectrum is extracted from the angular distributions. In four regions below 8 MeV, contributions from GT transitions are unambiguously identified and labeled 1–4 in Fig. 1. The angular distributions for these regions are displayed in Fig. 2. The extraction of the GT strength |B(GT)| relies on its proportionality to the

![FIG. 1. Excitation-energy spectra in 24Na, measured via the 24Mg(t, 3He) reaction at 115A MeV, integrated over the full acceptance and at forward scattering angles, as labeled. The energy regions in which significant GT components in the spectra were measured are indicated and numbered 1–4.](image1)

![FIG. 2. Angular distributions for each of the four excitation-energy regions labeled in Fig. 1. The results of the MDA (solid line) and the constituent ΔL = 0 (long-dashed lines) and ΔL ≠ 0 angular distributions (short-dashed lines) are superimposed (see text).](image2)
reaction [16] (also see this reference for a comparison with $^{24}\text{Mg}(p, n)$ results [23]). The $B(\text{GT})$ for the strongest GT transition in that reaction [at $E_x(^{22}\text{Al}) = 1.090(10)$ MeV] was deduced to be 0.668, using an empirical relationship for the unit cross section as a function of mass number [13]. The error in that value is approximately 5%, predominantly due to the uncertainty in the empirical relationship for the target-mass dependent unit cross section. As detailed in Ref. [10] for the case of the $^{26}\text{Mg}(^3\text{He}, t)$ reaction, the systematic errors in the extraction of GT strengths, mainly due to the effects of the tensor-$\tau$ component of the effective nucleon-nucleon interaction, are lowest for transitions with the largest $B(\text{GT})$. Hence, we performed the calibration with the strongest GT transition.

The four regions identified in Fig. 1 are not of pure GT ($\Delta L = 0$) nature. In addition to the fact that the GT states are not completely isolated from neighboring states of different angular-momentum transfer due to the finite energy resolution, the GT transitions also contain minor quadrupole components because $0^+ \to 1^+$ transitions can be due to the coupling of $\Delta L = 2$ and $\Delta S = 1$. Therefore, a simple multipole decomposition analysis (MDA) was performed for each of the four regions. The theoretical angular distributions used in the MDA were calculated in DWBA using the code FOLD [24] and were very similar to those performed for the $^{26}\text{Mg}(t, ^1\text{He})$ reaction in Ref. [10]. One-body transition densities (OBTDs) were calculated using the $sd$ shell-model interaction USDA [25] in an isospin-nonconserving proton-neutron formalism and the code OXBASH [26]. For the MDA, angular distributions were calculated using OBTDs of the states most closely matching the strength and excitation energies observed in the data. For dipole transitions, a pure $p_{3/2}d_{5/2}$ transition was assumed.

The GT strength in region 1 [see Fig. 2(a)] is due to the known $1^+$ state at 472 keV ([21]), but in the present experiment this state cannot be separated from the nearby $2^+$ state at 563 keV. The MDA was performed, therefore, using angular distributions with $\Delta L = 0$ and $\Delta L = 2$, the latter representing both the quadrupole contribution to the GT excitation and the contribution from the nearby $2^+$ state. In region 2 [see Fig. 2(b)], a similar decomposition was performed; in addition to the known $1^+$ state at 1.346 MeV, nonseparable states are present at 1.341 MeV ($2^+$) and 1.345 MeV (tentatively assigned as $3^+ [21]$). The angular distributions for excitations of $2^+$ and $3^+$ states are quite similar [10] and the choice of which angular distribution to use, besides the GT component, does not significantly affect the error in $\sigma_0$ beyond statistical uncertainties. In region 3 [see Fig. 2(c)], two $1^+$ states are known to exist at (3.413 and 3.589 MeV), which cannot be separated. In addition, several other weakly excited states are present that are associated with various units of angular-momentum transfer, including possibly the tail of a dipole transition at $\sim 4$ MeV. Fits with dipole or quadrupole contributions, in combination with the GT component [in Fig. 2(c), the fit with a quadrupole contribution is shown], were performed. A difference of about $\pm 5\%$ for $\sigma_{\text{GT}}(0^\circ)$ was found and used as an estimate for the systematic error. For region 4, a relatively large contamination from the strong dipole transition at 6.5 MeV is to be expected and an MDA with GT and dipole contributions [see Fig. 2(d)] is probably more reasonable than with GT and quadrupole contributions. Nevertheless, based on the difference in the result for $\sigma_{\text{GT}}(0^\circ)$ between the MDA using the different second multipole component, the systematic error was $\pm 10\%$ for region 4.

After fixing the proportionality between $B(\text{GT})$ and $\sigma_{\text{GT}}(0^\circ)$ for the strong GT transition at 1.346 MeV based on the strength extracted from the analog transition excited via $^{24}\text{Mg}(^3\text{He}, t)$, the $B(\text{GT})$s in all four regions were deduced. They are listed in Table 1 and compared with the results from the mirror reaction $^{26}\text{Mg}(^3\text{He}, t)$. The $^{24}\text{Mg}(^3\text{He}, t)$ experiment had very high resolution (35 keV) and very small statistical uncertainty. Hence, the level of detail extracted is highest. The locations of the individual levels measured in the $\Delta T_z = -1$ channel are slightly shifted from those measured in the $\Delta T_z = +1$ channel because of Coulomb effects. The results for the $\Delta T_z = +1$ channel are shown in Fig. 3(a) and compared with shell-model calculations using the USDA and USDB [25] interactions in $sd$ shell-model space. The theoretical results have been multiplied by 0.59 [27] to account for quenching.

![FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Extracted GT strength distribution from the present $^{24}\text{Mg}(t, ^3\text{He})$ experiment and the comparison with shell-model calculations using the USDA and USDB interactions. The experimental excitation energies of the lowest two GT transitions were fixed to the values known from Ref. [21]. The two data points at higher energies are known to consist of two GT transitions (see text) and the horizontal bars indicate the energy intervals used in the analysis. (b) The same as (a), but now for the cumulative strength. In addition, results from existing data using the $^{26}\text{Mg}(d, ^2\text{He})$ reaction [15] and the $^{24}\text{Mg}(^3\text{He}, t)$ reaction [16] are included.](47302-3)
TABLE I. GT strengths extracted from the present data in comparison with those extracted from the mirror reaction [16]. The uncertainties in $B$(GT) do not include the $\pm 5\%$ error due to normalization procedures because it is common to all states.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
$^{24}$Mg($t, \alpha$) & $^{24}$Mg($^{3}$He, $t$) [16] & & \\
\hline
$E_x$ ($^{24}$Na) (MeV) & $B$(GT) & $E_x$ ($^{24}$Al) (MeV) & $B$(GT) \\
\hline
0.472 [21] & 0.08(1) & 0.422(10) & 0.054(1) \\
1.346 [21] & 0.67(3) & 1.090(10) & 0.668(3) \\
3.14–3.94 & 0.41(5) & 3.375(10) & 0.056(1) \\
6.5–7.1 & 0.17(4) & 6.878(30) & 0.003(1) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

of the GT strength due to configuration mixing with 2p-2h states and coupling to the $\Delta$(1232)-isobar nucleon-hole state. Both theoretical calculations reproduce well the experimental strength distribution. A convenient way to compare GT strength distributions is to plot cumulative sums, as is done in Fig. 3(b). In addition to the comparison of the present data with theory, the results from a $^{24}$Mg($d, ^{3}$He) reaction using a primary $^{16}$O beam are appropriate for extracting GT strength distributions.

In summary, Gamow-Teller strengths have been extracted via the $^{24}$Mg($t, ^{3}$He) reaction using a 115A MeV secondary triton beam produced from a primary $^{16}$O beam. Through comparison with existing data from $^{24}$Mg($d, ^{3}$He) and $^{24}$Mg($^{3}$He, $t$) experiments employing stable beams, it was shown that, despite the large beam emittance of the secondary beam, detailed measurements of GT strengths and tests of shell-model calculations are feasible. Problems with the alignment of the beam lines to the S800 and the size of the target, which reduced the triton beam intensity and made it hard to extract absolute cross sections, were identified and will be corrected in future experiments.

We thank the NSCL staff for their efforts during the experiment. This work was supported by the US-NSF (PHY0216783 (JINNA), PHY0606007, PHY0140255 and PHY0758099.)