

relativity for electromagnetic phenomena, since some one frame of reference, presumably an inertial system, is singled out with respect to which Maxwell's equations are to be applied

One of the most celebrated experiments in the history of physics, the Michelson-Morley experiment One of the mean twenture of experiments in the modely or pipers, for a direction one respectively. We are also also been also not be as stated in Eq. 8 since the sun need not be at rest in the preferred frame.) Actually, the speed of light along a single path could not be measured to the required accuracy; Michelson and speed on ignit along a single path count not be measured to the required accuracy; anchesion and Morley compared the speed of light along two paths at right angles to one another by means of an interferometric technique, and expected to find a difference of 30 kilometers per second at some time during a six month period. Their result, however, was negative; the speed of light did not which the mean static hardprice of the speed of the speed of light did not exhibit the expected variation.

As an explanation of this negative result, it was suggested that the preferred frame was somehow swept long by the earth. Another observation, the "aberration of starlight" denies this excuse. In the course of a year, distant stars change their position by some 41 seconds of arc. This angular deviation is consistent with e/c, where v is the speed of the earth in its orbit.

These considerations and others of a similar nature led Einstein, in a paper published in 1905. Laboration of the same in the same set of the same set of the same in all inertial frames, which had as an immediate consequence the abandonment of the Galilean transformations and modification to Newtonian mechanics. These consequences, while stetensive, are not quite as destructive as they may sound, for we know very well that the Galilean transformations and as destructive as they may sound, for we know very well that the Galikan transformations and Nectoria laws work very well for phenomena involving the moderate velocities with height are as familiar from everyday experience. As we shall see, Einstein's assumptions lead to modifications of these ideas for processes where one concuters velocities which are a significant fraction of the speed of light, and the older ideas appears as approximations – usually very good approximations – to the more complete notions of space, time, and mechanics to which these assumptions lead us

4 The Relative Character of Simultaneity

It is clear from the arguments of the preceding section that Einstein's assumption, the conseque of which are usually called the Special Theory of Relativity, lead to a modification of the law of or wind are biasing cannot use special integration (neutrony) or negatively, gean to a monimation or use naw or addition of velocities. A simple example will indicate, however, that much more than this must be revised. Consider again, in Fig. 2, the two frames S and S'. At the instant the origins are superimposed, let a light flash be emitted from the common origin. Since the velocity of light is independent of motion of the source, it does not matter which frame we assume the source to be attached to. We have drawn the sketch at a time somewhat after the emission of the light flash, and the position of the wave front is drawn as a dotted line. But the word "time" presents a problem.

If x is the distance that the light flash has moved along the x-axis in the time interval t after the



Figure 2: Light Flash

crossing of the origins, then x/t = c. From the point of view of an observer in S', the light flash will have moved some distance x' along the x' axis, where x' is less than x. The time interval recorded by an observer moving with S' one might suppose would be t, the same as the time interval recorded to an observe in our given by our mign suppose would be, in contradiction to the assumption that the volocity of light must be the same in all such frames. Therefore, the time interval recorded by observers in S^* cannot be the same in all such frames. Therefore, the time interval recorded by observers in S^* cannot be the same as t, but something less. We must alandon the notion of a uniform "public" time which is the same for all observers, regardless of their state of motion.

A further conclusion can be drawn from Fig. 2. We have drawn the wave front as a circle with center at the origin of S, as it must be from the point of view of observers in S. But since observers in S have an equal right to the assertion that the speed of light is c in all directions, they must also see the wave front as a sphere with its center at the origin of S, which it certainly is not in the sizedt. This test is sufta attachage the various pairs on the dotted imergenering the wave the sector run to be the monogeneous mode point of view of observers in S, these positions must be reached at the same time from the point of view of observers in S' – that is, events which are simultaneous in one frame need not be simultaneous in another frame moving relatively to the first.

An example due to Einstein indicates rather clearly the relative character of simultaneity and An example due to Einstein mutcales ranker coarry the restaive character of simultaneity and certain of its consequences. Suppose that we wish to measure the length of a moving train. We can do this by stationing a number of observers by the side of the track with the instructions that at some specified time, say exactly 2:00 PM, the two observers who find an end of the train opposite their positions are to record their position; we can assume that we have previously marked off a their positions are to record, unit position is con assume that we may previously matrixed on a length scale along the track for this purpose. From the previously matrixed are assured that there may be difficulties in the specification of times for various observers: we should therefore specify exactly how the docks of the observers atistated along the track are to be synchronized. Fortunately, we have a standard velocity which can be used for this purpose. The observers are instructed that when the clock total at the zero possition of the coordinate axis rande exactly 1.00 PM, a sight signal will be sent out from this position. Since the speed of light is exactly c, the time at which the light signal reaches a distance x from the origin will be x/c later. So the observer at x sets his clock accordingly when he detects the light flash and is confident that his or her clock is synchronized with the one at the origin.

5

5 Comparison of Lengths and Clock Rates

We must now give quantitative expression to the ideas raised above, and lay the groundwork for the coordinate transformations that will replace the Galilean transformations.

5.1 Distances at right angles to the direction of motion

Referring back to Fig. 1, if the distance from the point P from the z' axis is y' as measured in S', then the Galilean transformation assures us that the corresponding distance as measured in S will be the same. That this relation will remain true we can see from the following argument.

Suppose that we have marked off distances on the u and u' axes with rulers which were identical Suppose that we have marked off distances on the y and y' axes with rules which were identical when at rest with respect to each other. Now we place observers in the S frame at the origin and at the positions $\pm h$ on the y axis. These observers are given instructions to note the position on the y' axis which corresponds to theirs when the y' axis passes their position, and also, when this happens, to send out a light signal. If the observer at +h sees +h' on the y' axis, then the observer impress, or sense on a range signal. In the concerver at $+n \sec + n$ on the y axis, then the observer of -h must creating see -h passing, otherwise that would imply some inherent difference in space above and below the z-axis. The two light signals will reach the observer and the origin of S at the same time, for the assumption that we origin the y axis parallel to the y axis implies that the crossing of the axes will be simultaneous events in S at $\pm h$.

The two light flashes will also reach an observer in S' at the origin of S' simultaneously, for, referring



 B_i after traveling the same distance s_i will arrive simultaneously at an observer C_i at rest in S_i at the position on the x-axis corresponding to the origin of the S' frame. Since the coincidence of the two wave fronts at a position in space is an event which must be independent of the state of motion



Figure 3: Moving Train

Now suppose that, in addition to recording the time at their positions, the two observers who find themselves at opposite ends of the train at 2:00 PM also send out light flashes (or radio signals) at that time. The observer who at 2:00 PM had been opposite the midpoint of the train in S would that time The observes who at 200 PM had been opposite the milpoint of the frain m S would reserve the res ignitiance simolay, and not never warmform 0.00×10^{-1} m s and 0.00×10^{-1} m s are the observes in a model in the individual of the observes is drawn at 200 PM - 200 PM s of are a the observes r standing by the tracks are concerned. The observes C and D are conforming each other; C is standing on the train at its implicit, and D is the observes r standing as the track state of the track who is opposite the midpoint at 200 PM. The middle sketch shows the situation a short time hater, with the light Bates moving away from their sources. In

of observers, the observer on S' at its origin will also the detect the arrival of the two light flashes simultaneously, and will conclude that the observers at $\pm h$ in S have made a valid measurement simultaneously, and will contribute that the observes at $\pm h$ in S have made a valat measurement of the distance M on the g' axis not on the g' axis not of nor there or one for our hete pairs of view of observers in S.T. the path a mother way, observes in S' stationed at $\pm h'$ would agree with observes in S.H. the path mother M on the pastic correspond simultaneously with their core. In that case, the only result consistent with the principle of relativity is that h = h'. For if observes in S found that hwe as, way, greater that m_{i} the observes in S' would agree with then, which we with them, which m_{i} and m_{i} and m_{i} and m_{i} and m_{i} and m_{i} for the observes in S' would agree with then, which is S found that hwe as, we greater the m_{i} the observes in S' would agree with then, which would imply some fundamental distinction between the two frames. Hence, the relation u' = u will remain valid for the new transformations

It is important to realize the difference between the situation described above and the measurement of the length of the train described above. In the case of the train, the simultaneous recording of the positions of the two ends of the train by the observers situated by the track was not simultaneous possible of the two basis of the train by the cost test stands by the task will be simulations for observers traveling with the train; it was therefore possible for the two sets of observers to arrive at different conclusions concerning the length.

5.2 Comparison of clock rates

Note that in comparing the rates of clocks which are attached to coordinate frames in relative Note that in comparing the rates of clocks which are attached to coordinate trames in relative motion, we cannot compare the rate of a single clock in one frame directly with a single clock in the other, since the two clocks will not stay at the same place. The best we can do is compare the time interval elapsed for a single clock in one frame with the difference in time between two separate clocks in the other frame which have been synchronized with light signals.

We can determine the relation between these time intervals in the following fashion. In Fig. 5 representing the usual two frames, a mirror is shown attached to the y' axis at a height h above the



Figure 5: Light Clock.

origin of S'. According to the argument above concerning distances at right angles to the direction 8

of motion, the distance h will be the same in the two frames. Suppose that a light flash is emitted from the common origin of the two systems at the instant they cross, and observers in the two system measure the time interval for the signal to return to the z and z' axes. In S', the light signal will be detected at the origin after it has moved up the y' axis, been reflected, and returned. The time interval elapsed for the clock located at the origin of S' will be $\Delta t' = 2h/c$.

and so

motion

For observers in S the light signal will follow the dotted nath in the figure: the time at which the signal returns to the x-axis as recorded by the clock at A will be

$$\Delta t = \frac{2s}{c} = \frac{2}{c} \left[h^2 + \frac{v^2 \Delta t^2}{4}\right]^{1/2} \qquad (9)$$

$$\Delta t' = \frac{\Delta t}{\gamma}, \quad \gamma \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 - \frac{1}{2\gamma})}}. \quad (10)$$

The time interval recorded by the single clock in S' is therefore shorter than this time difference between the two clocks in S'; this result is a direct consequence of the assertion that the velocity of light is the same in the two frames. The quantity γ is called the Lorentz factor.

We may obtain from Eq. 10 the time interval recorded by a clock attached to a body which is moving in any manner with respect to an inertial frame S. Even though the velocity of the body may not be uniform with respect to S, for a sufficiently short time interval dl, as measured in S, we may consider the body to be at rest in some inertial frame which is in motion with respect to the body at that instant. The time interval dt' for the clock attached to the body corresponding to dt will be

$$dt' = \frac{dt}{\gamma(v)}$$
. (11)
f we conceptually perform this operation throughout the motion of the body, the total time elapsed

for the moving clock will be $\Delta t' = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{dt}{\gamma(v)}$ (12)

 J_{t_1} (iv) there $t_2 - t_1 = \Delta t$ is the time interval recorded by clocks in S. The time recorded by a clock attached to a body is called the proper time. From Eq. 10 we see that proper time intervals are always shorter than time intervals recorded by clocks in frames with respect to which one is in

A striking confirmation of the predictions above is provided by the rapidly moving radioactive particles such as pi mesons. The lifetime of such mesons which are moving with velocities at a significant fraction of the speed of light are found to be greater than the same variety of particle at rest by just the factor predicted above.

5.3 Distances parallel to the direction of motion: the Lorentz contraction

Suppose we lay out a distance L on the x-axis of S. An observer located at the origin of S^\prime can measure this interval by noting the time $\Delta t'$ required for this piece of the x-axis to go by 9

the positions; since the relative velocity of the frames is v, the observer would conclude that the interval is of length $L' = v\Delta t'$. In S, the time for the origin of S' to travel the distance L would be L/v. From the preceding discussion of clock rates, however, the time interval $\Delta t'$ recorded by the single clock at the origin of S' must be related to the time interval Δt by the Lorentz factor. So $L' = L/\gamma$.

This astonishing result was put forth by Lorentz and Fitzgerald in order to account for the negative result of the Michelson-Morley experiment, and preceded Einstein's conclusive 1905 paper. So the effect is often referred to as the Lorentz-Fitzgerald contraction.

6 The Lorentz Transformation

6.1 Transformation equations

We may make use of the results of the preceding section to find the new coordinate transformations. Suppose that the point P in Fig. 6 has the coordinates x', y' at time t', all as measured in S'. The



Figure 6: Lorentz Transformation.

figure is drawn at the time t for observers in S. As measured in S, x' will be shortened by the factor γ , the x coordinate of P will therefore be $x = vt + x'/\gamma$. After rearrangement, $x' = \gamma(x - vt)$. This result differs from the Galilean transformation by the factor of γ and reduces to the Galilean transformation for speeds much less than c as one would expect.

Similarly, the inverse relation which for any x' and t' yields x will be $x = \gamma(x' + vt')$. Finally, the time t' recorded by a clock in S' at the position corresponding to the x, t in S may be found by infinition of x' from these last two equations, yielding $t' = \gamma(t - xv/c)$. The replacements to the

10



These relations were obtained by H. A. Lorentz in 1904, although their full significance for the relativity of motion was not realized at that time. Einstein, unaware of the work of Lorentz, derived them independently in his 1905 treatment of relativity.

6.2 Transformation of velocity

If no velocity can exceed c, then the Galisan addition of velocities needs to be replaced. Suppose that a particle is traveling to the right in S' parallel to the z' axis with speed u'_x as measured in S'. Without loss of generality, we can assume that the particle started from z' = 0 at t' = 0. Then $x' = u'_x t'$ gives the position of the particle in S' as a function of time. Applying the Lorentz vields

$$x = \frac{u'_{\mu} + v}{1 + u'_{x}v/c^{2}}t.$$
so for observers in S, the particle is moving with speed
 $v'_{\mu} + v$

$$u_x = \frac{u'_x + v}{1 + \frac{u'_x}{c'}}.$$
 (17)
As u'_x approaches c then so does u_x also, as consistent with the limit of the speed of light.

If there is a vertical velocity component, $y' = u'_y t'$, then application of the Lorentz transformation

$$u_y = \frac{u'_y}{1 + \frac{u'_y}{c^2}}.$$

(16)

(18)

6.3 The limit of the speed of light

If

The continually occurring γ becomes infinite for v=c, and imaginary for speed above c. We know from particle accelerators that we can accelerate particles close to the speed of light, so we conceive of a frame S' containing the usual observes, clocks, and continue acces moving with a speed very close to that of light. In S', the position of a fast signal for size v=0. For observes in S', the signal left the origin of S' at t=0. The time t' a which the signal arrives at point z' control to point z' control to point z' control to z' and z' control to z' and z''. with a point on the x-axis will be

$$t' = \gamma \left(t - \frac{x_{t'}}{c^2}\right) = \gamma t \left(1 - \frac{w_{t'}}{c^2}\right).$$
 (16)
we set v very close to c , we have
 $t' = \gamma t \left(1 - \frac{w}{c}\right).$ (26)
 11

