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/// Illustrative Example: Continuous Focusing/Simple Harmonic Oscillator
Equation of motion:

$$
x^{\prime \prime}+k_{\beta 0}^{2} x=0 \quad k_{\beta 0}^{2}=\mathrm{const}>0
$$

Constant of motion is the well-know Hamiltonian/Energy:

$$
H=\frac{1}{2} x^{\prime 2}+\frac{1}{2} k_{\beta 0}^{2} x^{2}=\mathrm{const}
$$

which shows that the particle moves on an ellipse in $x-x^{\prime}$ phase-space with:
$\rightarrow$ Location of particle on ellipse set by initial conditions
$\rightarrow$ All initial conditions with same energy/H give same ellipse ${ }_{x^{\prime}}$
$\operatorname{Max} / \operatorname{Min}[x] \Leftrightarrow x^{\prime}=0$
$\operatorname{Max} / \operatorname{Min}[x]= \pm \sqrt{2 H / k_{\beta 0}^{2}}$
$\operatorname{Max} / \operatorname{Min}\left[x^{\prime}\right] \Leftrightarrow x=0$
$\operatorname{Max} / \operatorname{Min}\left[x^{\prime}\right]= \pm \sqrt{2 H}$


## S7: Hill's Equation: The Courant-Snyder Invariant and Single Particle Emittance <br> S7A: Introduction

Constants of the motion can simplify the interpretation of dynamics in physics
$\rightarrow$ Desirable to identify constants of motion for Hill's equation for improved understanding of focusing in accelerators
$\rightarrow$ Constants of the motion are not immediately obvious for Hill's Equation due to s-varying focusing forces related to $\kappa(s)$ can add and remove energy from the particle

- Wronskian symmetry is one useful symmetry
- Are there other symmetries?


## Question:

For Hill's equation:

$$
x^{\prime \prime}+\kappa(s) x=0
$$

does a quadratic invariant exist that can aid interpretation of the dynamics?
Answer we will nd:
Yes, the Courant-Snyder invariant

## Comments:

$\rightarrow$ Very important in accelerator physics

- Helps interpretation of linear dynamics
$\rightarrow$ Named in honor of Courant and Snyder who popularized it's use in Accelerator physics while co-discovering alternating gradient (AG) focusing in a single seminal (and very elegant) paper:

Courant and Snyder, Theory of the Alternating Gradient Synchrotron,
Annals of Physics 3, 1 (1958).

- Christofolos also understood AG focusing in the same period using a more heuristic analysis
$\rightarrow$ Easily derived using phase-amplitude form of orbit solution
- Can be much harder using other methods
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## S7B: Derivation of Courant-Snyder Invariant

The phase amplitude method described in S6 makes identi cation of the invariant elementary. Use the phase amplitude form of the orbit:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(s) & =A_{i} w(s) \cos \psi(s) \\
x^{\prime}(s) & =A_{i} w^{\prime}(s) \cos \psi(s)-\frac{A_{i}}{w(s)} \sin \psi(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

$A_{i}, \psi_{i}=\psi\left(s_{i}\right)$ set by initial at $s=s_{i}$
where

$$
w^{\prime \prime}+\kappa(s) w-\frac{1}{w^{3}}=0
$$

Re-arrange the phase-amplitude trajectory equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{x}{w} & =A_{i} \cos \psi \\
w x^{\prime}-w^{\prime} x & =A_{i} \sin \psi
\end{aligned}
$$

square and add the equations to obtain the Courant-Snyder invariant:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{x}{w}\right)^{2}+\left(w x^{\prime}-w^{\prime} x\right)^{2} & =A_{i}^{2}\left(\cos ^{2} \psi+\sin ^{2} \psi\right) \\
& =A_{i}^{2}=\mathrm{const}
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& T=\frac{1}{2} x^{\prime 2} \\
&=\text { Kinetic "Energy" } \\
& V=\frac{1}{2} \kappa x^{2}
\end{aligned}=\text { Potential "Energy" }
$$

Apply the chain-Rule with $H=H\left(x, x^{\prime} ; s\right)$ :

$$
\frac{d H}{d s}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial s}+\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \frac{d x}{d s}+\frac{\partial H}{\partial x^{\prime}} \frac{d x^{\prime}}{d s}
$$

Apply the equation of motion in Hamiltonian form:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d}{d s} x=\frac{\partial H}{\partial x^{\prime}} \quad 0 \quad \frac{d}{d s} x^{\prime}=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \\
\frac{d H}{d s}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial s}-\frac{d x^{\prime}}{d s} \frac{d x}{d s}+\frac{d x}{d s} \frac{d x^{\prime}}{d s}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial s}=\frac{1}{2} \kappa^{\prime} x^{2} \neq 0 \\
\Longrightarrow H \neq \text { const }
\end{gathered}
$$

$\rightarrow$ Energy of a "kicked" oscillator with $\kappa(s) \neq$ const is not conserved

- Lattice can source and sink particle energy
$\rightarrow$ Energy should not be confused with the Courant-Snyder invariant SM Lund, MSU \& USPAS, 2020 Accelerator Physics $\qquad$ 7
$H$ is the energy:

$$
H=\frac{1}{2} x^{\prime 2}+\frac{1}{2} \kappa x^{2}=T+V
$$

$\qquad$

Comments on the Courant-Snyder Invariant

- Simpli es interpretation of dynamics (will show how shortly)
$\rightarrow$ Extensively used in accelerator physics
*Quadratic structure in $x-x^{\prime}$ de nes a rotated ellipse in $x-x^{\prime}$ phase space.
$\rightarrow$ Because $\quad w^{2}\left(\frac{x}{w}\right)^{\prime}=w x^{\prime}-w^{\prime} x$
the Courant-Snyder invariant can be alternatively expressed as:

$$
\left(\frac{x}{w}\right)^{2}+\left[w^{2}\left(\frac{x}{w}\right)^{\prime}\right]^{2}=\mathrm{const}
$$

$\rightarrow$ Cannot be interpreted as a conserved energy!
The point that the Courant-Snyder invariant is not a conserved energy should be elaborated. The equation of motion:

$$
x^{\prime \prime}+\kappa(s) x=0
$$

Is derivable from the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad H=\frac{1}{2} x^{\prime 2}+\frac{1}{2} \kappa x^{2} \Longrightarrow \begin{array}{l}
\frac{}{d s} x=\frac{\overline{\partial x^{\prime}}}{}=x^{\prime} \\
\frac{d}{d s} x^{\prime}=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}=-\kappa x
\end{array} \Longrightarrow x^{\prime \prime}+\kappa x=0 \\
& \text { SM Lund, MSU \& USPAS, } 2020
\end{aligned}
$$

Accelerator Physics
/// Aside: Only for the special case of continuous focusing (i.e., a simple Harmonic oscillator) are the Courant-Snyder invariant and energy simply related:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Continuous Focusing: } \kappa(s)=k_{\beta 0}^{2}=\text { const } \\
& \Longrightarrow H=\frac{1}{2} x^{\prime 2}+\frac{1}{2} k_{\beta 0}^{2} x^{2}=\mathrm{const} \\
& w \text { equation: } \quad w^{\prime \prime}+k_{\beta 0}^{2} w-\frac{1}{w^{3}}=0 \\
& \Longrightarrow w=\sqrt{\frac{1}{k_{\beta 0}}}=\text { const }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Courant-Snyder Invariant: }\left(\frac{x}{w}\right)^{2}+\left(w x^{\prime}-w^{\prime} x\right)^{2}=\mathrm{const}
$$

$$
\Longrightarrow\left(\frac{x}{w}\right)^{2}+\left(w x^{\prime}-w^{\prime} x\right)^{2}=k_{\beta 0} x^{2}+\frac{x^{\prime 2}}{k_{\beta 0}}
$$

$$
=\frac{2}{k_{\beta 0}}\left(\frac{1}{2} x^{\prime 2}+\frac{1}{2} k_{\beta 0}^{2} x^{2}\right)
$$

$$
=\frac{2 H}{k_{\beta 0}}=\mathrm{const}
$$

## Interpret the Courant-Snyder invariant:

$$
\left(\frac{x}{w}\right)^{2}+\left(w x^{\prime}-w^{\prime} x\right)^{2}=A_{i}^{2}=\mathrm{const}
$$

by expanding and isolating terms quadratic terms in $x-x^{\prime}$ phase-space variables:

$$
\left[\frac{1}{w^{2}}+w^{\prime 2}\right] x^{2}+2\left[-w w^{\prime}\right] x x^{\prime}+\left[w^{2}\right] x^{\prime 2}=A_{i}^{2}=\mathrm{const}
$$

The three coe cients in [...] are functions of $w$ and $w^{\prime}$ only and therefore are functions of the lattice only (not particle initial conditions). The coe cients are commonly called "Twiss Parameters" and are denoted as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma x^{2}+2 \alpha x x^{\prime}+\beta x^{\prime 2}=A_{i}^{2}=\mathrm{const} \\
& \gamma(s) \equiv \frac{1}{w^{2}(s)}+\left[w^{\prime}(s)\right]^{2}=\frac{1+\alpha^{2}(s)}{\beta(s)} \\
& \beta(s) \equiv w^{2}(s) \\
& \alpha(s) \equiv-w(s) w^{\prime}(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\gamma \beta=1+\alpha^{2}
$$

* All Twiss "parameters" are speci ed by w(s)
$\rightarrow$ Given $w$ and $w^{\prime}$ at a point (s) any 2 Twiss parameters give the 3rd SM Lund, MSU \& USPAS, 2020


## /// Aside on Notation: Twiss Parameters and Emittance Units:

Twiss Parameters:
Use of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ should not create confusion with kinematic relativistic factors
$\rightarrow \beta_{b}, \gamma_{b}$ are absorbed in the focusing function
$\rightarrow$ Contextual use of notation unfortunate reality .... not enough symbols!
$\rightarrow$ Notation originally due to Courant and Snyder, not Twiss, and might be more appropriately called "Courant-Snyder functions" or "lattice functions."
Emittance Units:
$x$ has dimensions of length and $x^{\prime}$ is a dimensionless angle. So $x-x^{\prime}$ phase-space area has dimensions $[[\epsilon]]=$ length. A common choice of units is millimeters (mm) and milliradians (mrad), e.g.,

$$
\epsilon=10 \mathrm{~mm}-\mathrm{mrad}
$$

The de nition of the emittance employed is not unique and di erent workers use a wide variety of symbols. Some common notational choices:

$$
\pi \epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon \quad \epsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon \quad \epsilon \rightarrow E
$$

Write the emittance values in units with a $\pi$, e.g.,

$$
\epsilon=10.5 \pi-\mathrm{mm}-\mathrm{mrad} \text { (seems falling out of favor but still common) }
$$ Use caution! Understand conventions being used before applying results! /// SM Lund, MSU \& USPAS, 2020

SM Lund, MSU \& USPAS, 2020
10
The area of the invariant ellipse is:
$\rightarrow$ Analytic geometry formulas: $\gamma x^{2}+2 \alpha x x^{\prime}+\beta x^{\prime 2}=A_{i}^{2} \rightarrow$ Area $=\pi A_{i}^{2} / \sqrt{\gamma \beta-\alpha^{2}}$
$\rightarrow$ For Courant-Snyder ellipse: $\gamma \beta=1+\alpha^{2}$
Phase-Space Area $=\int_{\text {ellipse }} d x d x^{\prime}=\frac{\pi A_{i}^{2}}{\sqrt{\gamma \beta-\alpha^{2}}}=\pi A_{i}^{2} \equiv \pi \epsilon$
Where $\epsilon$ is the single-particle emittance:
$\rightarrow$ Emittance is the area of the orbit in $x-x^{\prime}$ phase-space divided by $\pi$ $\left[1 / w^{2}+w^{\prime 2}\right] x^{2}+2\left[-w w^{\prime}\right] x x^{\prime}+\left[w^{2}\right] x^{\prime 2}=$

$$
\gamma x^{2}+2 \alpha x x^{\prime}+\beta x^{\prime 2}=\epsilon=\mathrm{const}
$$

See problem sets for critical point calculation
$\rightarrow$ Important to understand extents of bundle or particles with
di erent initial conditions

Emittance is sometimes de ned by the largest Courant-Snyder ellipse that will contain a speci ed fraction of the distribution of beam particles. Common choices are:

- $100 \%$
- $95 \%$
$\rightarrow 90 \%$
* ....
$\rightarrow$ Depends emphasis
Comment:
Figure shows scaling of concentric ellipses for simplicity but can also de ne for smallest ellipse changing orientation


We will motivate (problems and later lectures) that the statistical measure

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{rms}} & =\left[\left\langle\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle-\left\langle x x^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \quad\langle\cdots\rangle=\right.\text { Distribution Average } \\
& =\mathrm{rms} \text { Statistical Emittance }
\end{aligned}
$$

provides a weighted average measure of the beam phase-space area.
SM Lund, MSU \& USPAS, 2020

## Properties of Courant-Snyder Invariant:

$\rightarrow$ The ellipse will rotate and change shape as the particle advances through the focusing lattice, but the instantaneous area of the ellipse ( $\pi \epsilon=$ const ) remains constant.
$\rightarrow$ The location of the particle on the ellipse and the size (area) of the ellipse depends on the initial conditions of the particle
*The orientation of the ellipse is independent of the particle initial conditions. All particles move on nested ellipses.
$\rightarrow$ Quadratic in the $x-x^{\prime}$ phase-space coordinates, but is not the transverse particle energy (which is not conserved).

See examples of Courant-Snyder Ellipse evolution in 04 lecture set

- Continuous Focusing
$\rightarrow$ Periodic Solenoid Focusing
- Periodic FODO Quadrupole Focusing


## S7C: Lattice Maps

The Courant-Snyder invariant helps us understand the phase-space evolution of the particles. Knowing how the ellipse transforms (twists and rotates without changing area) is equivalent to knowing the dynamics of a bundle of particles. To see this:
General s:

$$
\gamma x^{2}+2 \alpha x x^{\prime}+\beta x^{\prime 2}=\epsilon
$$

Initial $s=s_{i}$

$$
\gamma_{i} x_{i}^{2}+2 \alpha_{i} x_{i} x_{i}^{\prime}+\beta_{i} x_{i}^{\prime 2}=\epsilon
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{i} \equiv \beta\left(s=s_{i}\right) & x_{i} \equiv x\left(s=s_{i}\right) \\
\alpha_{i} \equiv \alpha\left(s=s_{i}\right) & x_{i}^{\prime} \equiv x^{\prime}\left(s=s_{i}\right) \\
\gamma_{i} \equiv \gamma\left(s=s_{i}\right) &
\end{aligned}
$$

Apply the components of the transport matrix:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
x^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{M}\left(s \mid s_{i}\right) \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{i} \\
x_{i}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
C\left(s \mid s_{i}\right) & S\left(s \mid s_{i}\right) \\
C^{\prime}\left(s \mid s_{i}\right) & S^{\prime}\left(s \mid s_{i}\right)
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{i} \\
x_{i}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Invert 2 x 2 matrix and apply $\operatorname{det} \mathbf{M}=1$ (Wronskian):

$$
\Longrightarrow \quad\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{i} \\
x_{i}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
S^{\prime} & -S \\
-C^{\prime} & C
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
x^{\prime}
\end{array}\right] \quad C \equiv C\left(s \mid s_{i}\right), \text { etc. }
$$

SM Lund, MSU \& USPAS, 2020
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Completing this procedure and gathering terms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\gamma_{i} S^{\prime 2}-2 \alpha_{i} S^{\prime} C^{\prime}+\beta_{i} C^{\prime 2}\right] x^{2} } \\
+2\left[-\gamma_{i} S S^{\prime}+\right. & \\
& \left.\alpha_{i}\left(C S^{\prime}+S C^{\prime}\right)-\beta_{i} C C^{\prime}\right] x x^{\prime} \\
& +\left[\gamma_{i} S^{2}-2 \alpha_{i} S C+\beta_{i} C^{2}\right] x^{\prime 2} \\
& =\gamma x^{2}+2 \alpha x x^{\prime}+\beta x^{\prime 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Collect coe cients of $x^{2}, x x^{\prime}$, and $x^{\prime 2}$ and summarize in matrix form:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\gamma \\
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
S^{\prime 2} & -2 C^{\prime} S^{\prime} & C^{\prime 2} \\
-S S^{\prime} & C S^{\prime}+S C^{\prime} & -C C^{\prime} \\
S^{2} & -2 C S & C^{2}
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{i} \\
\alpha_{i} \\
\beta_{i}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { See steps } \\
\text { on next page }
\end{array}\right.
$$

This result can be applied to illustrate how a bundle of particles will evolve from an initial location in the lattice subject to the linear focusing optics in the machine using only the principal orbit functions $C, S, C^{\prime}$, and $S^{\prime}$
$*$ Principal orbits will generally need to be calculated numerically

- Intuition can be built up using simple analytical results (hard edge etc)
$\rightarrow$ Can express C, S, C', S' in terms of CS-ellipse functions using S6F results and de nitions for $\beta, \alpha$
SM Lund, MSU \& USPAS, 2020 $\quad$ Accelerator Physics


## /// Example: Ellipse Evolution in a simple kicked focusing lattice

Drift:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
C & S \\
C^{\prime} & S^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & s-s_{i} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\alpha=-\gamma_{i}\left(s-s_{i}\right)+\alpha_{i}
$$

$$
\beta=\gamma_{i}\left(s-s_{i}\right)^{2}-2 \alpha_{i}\left(s-s_{i}\right)+\beta_{i}
$$

Thin Lens:


$$
\gamma=\gamma_{i}+2 \alpha_{i} / f+\beta_{i} / f^{2}
$$

focal length $f$

$$
\xrightarrow[\text { Drift }]{\substack{\text { Docus }}}
$$

For further examples of phase-space ellipse evolutions in standard lattices,
see previous examples given in: S6G
SM Lund, MSU \& USPAS, 2020
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Rather than use a $3 \times 3$ advance matrix for $\gamma, \alpha, \beta$, we can alternatively derive an expression based on the usual $2 \times 2$ transfer matrix $\boldsymbol{M}$ which will help further clarify the underlying structure of the linear dynamics.

Recall in S6F

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(s) \\
x^{\prime}(s)
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{M}\left(s \mid s_{i}\right) \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
x\left(s_{i}\right) \\
x^{\prime}\left(s_{i}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
C\left(s \mid s_{i}\right) & S\left(s \mid s_{i}\right) \\
C^{\prime}\left(s \mid s_{i}\right) & S^{\prime}\left(s \mid s_{i}\right)
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
x\left(s_{i}\right) \\
x^{\prime}\left(s_{i}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

Identi ed

$$
\begin{aligned}
C\left(s \mid s_{i}\right) & =\frac{w(s)}{w_{i}} \cos \Delta \psi(s)-w_{i}^{\prime} w(s) \sin \Delta \psi(s) \\
S\left(s \mid s_{i}\right) & =w_{i} w(s) \sin \Delta \psi(s) \\
C^{\prime}\left(s \mid s_{i}\right) & =\left(\frac{w^{\prime}(s)}{w_{i}}-\frac{w_{i}^{\prime}}{w(s)}\right) \cos \Delta \psi(s)-\left(\frac{1}{w_{i} w(s)}+w_{i}^{\prime} w^{\prime}(s)\right) \sin \Delta \psi(s) \\
S^{\prime}\left(s \mid s_{i}\right) & =\frac{w_{i}}{w(s)} \cos \Delta \psi(s)+w_{i} w^{\prime}(s) \sin \Delta \psi(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta \psi(s) \equiv \int_{s_{i}}^{s} \frac{d \tilde{s}}{w^{2}(\tilde{s})} \quad \begin{array}{ll}
w_{i} \equiv w\left(s=s_{i}\right) \\
w_{i}^{\prime} \equiv w^{\prime}\left(s=s_{i}\right)
\end{array}
$$
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For the special case of a periodic lattice with an advance over one period

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xrightarrow[s_{i}]{\left.\right|^{s}} \stackrel{s+L_{p}}{\mid} \\
& \alpha\left(s_{i}\right)=\alpha(s) \quad \beta\left(s_{i}\right)=\beta(s) \quad \gamma\left(s_{i}\right)=\gamma(s) \quad \Delta \psi=\sigma_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

this expression for $\boldsymbol{M}$ reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{M}\left(s_{i}+L_{p} \mid s_{i}\right) & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\cos \sigma_{0}+\alpha \sin \sigma_{0} & \beta \sin \sigma_{0} \\
-\gamma \sin \sigma_{0} & \cos \sigma_{0}-\alpha \sin \sigma_{0}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\mathbf{I} \cos \sigma_{0}+\mathbf{J}(s) \sin \sigma_{0} \\
\mathbf{I} & \equiv\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \mathbf{J} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha(s) & \beta(s) \\
-\gamma(s) & -\alpha(s)
\end{array}\right] \\
\sigma_{0} & \equiv \int_{s_{i}}^{s_{i}+L_{p}} \frac{d \tilde{s}}{\beta(\tilde{s})}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is straightforward to verify that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det} \mathbf{J}=-\alpha^{2}+\gamma \beta=1 \\
& \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{J}=-\mathbf{I}
\end{aligned}
$$
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An advance $s_{i} \rightarrow s+L_{p}$ through any interval in a periodic lattice can be resolved as:


Giving for $\mathbf{M}\left(s+L_{p} \mid s_{i}\right)$ advance (write in two di erent steps LHS and RHS):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{M}\left(s+L_{p} \mid s\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}\left(s \mid s_{i}\right) & =\mathbf{M}\left(s+L_{p} \mid s_{i}+L_{p}\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}\left(s_{i}+L_{p} \mid s_{i}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{M}\left(s \mid s_{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}\left(s_{i}+L_{p} \mid s_{i}\right) \Leftarrow \cdot \mathbf{M}^{-1}\left(s \mid s_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Or:

$$
\mathbf{M}\left(\mathrm{s}+\mathrm{L}_{p} \mid s\right)=\mathbf{M}\left(s \mid s_{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}\left(s_{i}+L_{p} \mid s_{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}^{-1}\left(s \mid s_{i}\right) \quad \text { Operate with from }
$$

Using:

$$
\mathbf{M}\left(s+L_{p} \mid s\right)=\mathbf{I} \cos \sigma_{0}+\mathbf{J}(s) \sin \sigma_{0} \quad \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{M}^{-1}=\mathbf{I}
$$

$$
\mathbf{M}\left(s_{i}+L_{p} \mid s_{i}\right)=\mathbf{I} \cos \sigma_{0}+\mathbf{J}\left(s_{i}\right) \sin \sigma_{0}
$$

Gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{I} \cos \sigma_{0}+\mathbf{J}(s) \sin \sigma_{0} & =\mathbf{M}^{-1}\left(s \mid s_{i}\right) \cdot\left[\mathbf{I} \cos \sigma_{0}+\mathbf{J}\left(s_{i}\right) \sin \sigma_{0}\right] \cdot \mathbf{M}\left(s \mid s_{i}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{I} \cos \sigma_{0}+\mathbf{M}^{-1}\left(s \mid s_{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{J}(s) \cdot \mathbf{M}\left(s \mid s_{i}\right) \sin \sigma_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathbf{I} \cos \sigma_{0}$ is on both RHS and LHS and then canceling $\sin \sigma_{0}$
SM Lund, MSU \& USPAS, 2020
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## S8: Hill's Equation: The Betatron Formulation of the Particle Orbit and Maximum Orbit Excursions S8A: Formulation

The phase-amplitude form of the particle orbit analyzed in S 6 of

$$
x(s)=A_{i} w(s) \cos \psi(s)=\sqrt{\epsilon} w(s) \cos \psi(s) \quad[[w]]=(\text { meters })^{1 / 2}
$$

is not a unique choice. Here, $w$ has dimensions sqrt(meters), which can render it inconvenient in applications. Due to this and the utility of the Twiss parameters used in describing orientation of the phase-space ellipse associated with the Courant-Snyder invariant (see: S7) on which the particle moves, it is convenient to de ne an alternative, Betatron representation of the orbit with:

$$
x(s)=\sqrt{\epsilon} \sqrt{\beta(s)} \cos \psi(s)
$$

Betatron function: $\quad \beta(s) \equiv w^{2}(s)$
Single-Particle Emittance: $\epsilon \equiv A_{i}^{2}=$ const

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Phase: } \quad \psi(s)=\psi_{i}+\int_{s_{i}}^{s} \frac{d \tilde{s}}{\beta(\tilde{s})}=\psi_{i}+\Delta \psi(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

* The betatron function is a Twiss "parameter" with dimension $[[\beta]]=$ meters SM Lund, MSU \& USPAS, 2020 Accelerator Physics

This gives a simple expression connecting the Twiss parameters:

$$
\Longrightarrow \quad \mathbf{J}(s)=\mathbf{M}\left(s \mid s_{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{J}\left(s_{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}^{-1}\left(s \mid s_{i}\right) \quad \mathbf{J} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha & \beta \\
-\gamma & -\alpha
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Simple formula connects the Courant-Synder functions $\gamma, \alpha, \beta$ at an initial point $s=s_{i}$ to any location sin the lattice in terms of the transfer matrix $\boldsymbol{M}$.
$\rightarrow$ Result does NOT require the lattice to be periodic. Periodic extensions can be used to generalize arguments employed to work for any lattice interval.


## Comments:

$\rightarrow$ Use of the symbol $\beta$ for the betatron function should not result in confusion with relativistic factors such as $\beta_{b}$ since the context of use will make clear

- Relativistic factors often absorbed in lattice focusing function
and do not directly appear in the dynamical descriptions
$\rightarrow$ The change in phase $\Delta \psi$ is the same for both formulations:
$\Delta \psi(s)=\int_{s_{i}}^{s} \frac{d \tilde{s}}{w^{2}(\tilde{s})}=\int_{s_{i}}^{s} \frac{d \tilde{s}}{\beta(\tilde{s})}$

From the equation for $w$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { quation for } w \text { : } \\
& w^{\prime \prime}(s)+\kappa(s) w(s)-\frac{1}{w^{3}(s)}=0 \\
& w\left(s+L_{p}\right)=w(s) \quad w(s)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

the betatron function is described by:

$$
\begin{gathered}
w=\beta^{1 / 2} \\
w^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\beta^{\prime}}{\beta^{1 / 2}} \\
w^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\beta^{\prime \prime}}{\beta^{1 / 2}}-\frac{1}{4} \frac{\beta^{\prime 2}}{\beta^{3 / 2}} \\
\Longrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2} \beta(s) \beta^{\prime \prime}(s)-\frac{1}{4} \beta^{\prime 2}(s)+\kappa(s) \beta^{2}(s)=1 \\
\beta\left(s+L_{p}\right)=\beta(s) \quad \beta(s)>0
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

* The betatron function represents, analogously to the $w$-function, a special function de ned by the periodic lattice. Similar to $w(s)$ it is a unique function of the lattice.
* The equation is still nonlinear but we can apply our previous analysis of $w(s)$ (see S6 Appendix A) to solve analytically in terms of the principle orbits SM Lund, MSU \& USPAS, 2020
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## S8B: Maximum Orbit Excursions

## From the orbit equation

$$
x=\sqrt{\epsilon \beta} \cos \psi
$$

the maximum and minimum possible particle excursions occur where:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\cos \psi=+1 & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Max}[x]=\sqrt{\epsilon \beta(s)}=\sqrt{\epsilon} w(s) \\
\cos \psi=-1 & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Min}[x]=-\sqrt{\epsilon \beta(s)}=-\sqrt{\epsilon} w(s)
\end{array}
$$

Thus, the max radial extent of all particle oscillations $\operatorname{Max}[x] \equiv x_{m}$ in the beam distribution occurs for the particle with the max single particle emittance since the particles move on nested ellipses:

In terms of Twiss parameters:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Max}[\epsilon] & \equiv \epsilon_{m} \\
x_{m}(s) & =\sqrt{\epsilon_{m} \beta(s)}=\sqrt{\epsilon_{m}} w(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{m}=\sqrt{\epsilon_{m}} w=\sqrt{\epsilon_{m} \beta} \\
& x_{m}^{\prime}=\sqrt{\epsilon_{m}} w^{\prime}=-\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_{m}}{\beta}} \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

$\rightarrow$ Assumes su cient numbers of particles to populate all possible phases
$\rightarrow x_{m}$ corresponds to the min possible machine aperture to prevent particle losses

- Practical aperture choice in uenced by: resonance e ects due to nonlinear applied elds, space-charge, scattering, nite particle lifetime, .... SM Lund, MSU \& USPAS, 2020
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