John Barnard Steven Lund USPAS June 13-24, 2011 Melville, NY

Injectors and longitudinal physics -- III

- 1. Longitudinal cooling from acceleration
- 2. Longitudinal instability
- 3. Bunch compression
- 4. Neuffer distribution





9 CHANGE IN NOTATION NOTE: Z' = < IZ ); s = Not  $u = \langle \frac{dz}{dt} \rangle; \quad \text{then}$ let v = v ≤1 fluid velocity in comoving fraul Mational Brand 42-182 100 SHEETS Made in U.S.A. 50  $\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial s} \left( \lambda \overline{z}' \right) = 0 \implies \left( \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial s} \right) = 0$  $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} (\lambda z^{\prime \prime})$ = 7  $\Rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \lambda \left[ \langle v_z^2 \rangle - u^2 \right] \right) = \frac{2}{4}$ Since  $p_2 = m \int M [V_2^2 - u^2] dv_2 = where <math>n = \frac{\lambda}{\pi m^2}$  $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n$ where  $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{d^2z}{dt^2}$ =  $\frac{F_2}{m}$ 

.





CONTINUOUS LIMIT:

$$R^* = R/L$$
 Recktance pero unit Dength  
 $C^+ = CL$   $C^-$  per unit Dength  
 $E = \Delta V$   
 $L$  Auchage electric  
FIELD

 $i\omega\lambda_1 + ik\lambda_0u_1 + ikv_0\lambda_1 = 0$  $-i\omega\lambda_{1} + i\kappa\lambda_{0}\omega_{1}$   $-i\omega\omega_{1} + i\kappa\lambda_{0}\omega_{1} + \frac{i\kappa_{9}}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}}\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\omega_{1}} + \frac{9}{2}\frac{2}{\kappa}(\lambda_{0}v_{1} + v_{0}\lambda_{1})$   $=I_{1}$  $\bigcirc$  $= \frac{i k c_s^2}{\lambda_b}$ Additional \*Brand 42-182 100 SHEETS Made in U.S.A.  $-\frac{c^{2} k v_{0}}{\lambda_{0}} + \frac{1 q}{m} \frac{z^{*} v_{0}}{\omega} - \frac{\omega - k v_{0} + \frac{c q}{m} \frac{z^{*} \lambda_{0}}{\omega}}{\omega}$ THE DETERMINANT OF THE MOUSE MATCHX MUST VANISH:  $(\omega - kv_0)^2 + \frac{l_q}{m} z^k \lambda_0 (\omega - kv_0) - e_c^2 e_c^2 + \frac{l_q}{m} z^k \lambda_0 v_0 k = 0$  $(\omega - kv_b)^2 - c_c^2 k^2 + \frac{i q z^k \lambda_b w}{m} = 0$  (LAB Using Gali Lean transformation, in the beam frame: ٦ Lenotes beam frame  $\omega^{\prime 2} - c_{5}^{2} k^{\prime 2} + \frac{i q}{m} \frac{2^{k}(\omega) \lambda_{0}(\omega^{\prime} + k^{\prime} v_{0})}{m} = 0$ (BEAm FLAME Note  $\xi^{*}(\omega') = \xi^{*}(\omega = \omega' + k'v_{v})$ 













(\$ CASE IT RESISTINE + CATACITINE IMIEDANCE  $Z^{*} = \frac{R^{*}}{1 - i\omega C^{*}R^{*}} = \frac{R^{*} + i\omega C^{*}R^{*2}}{1 + \omega^{*}C^{*2}R^{*2}}$ GOING BACK TO INON 7: Malional®Brand 42-182 toD SHEETS Made in U.S.A. IN LAD FLAME  $(\omega - kv_0)^2 - c_5^2 k^2 + \frac{Lq R^* \lambda_{ow}}{m(1 + w^2 C^2 R^{*2})} - \frac{q w^2 C^2 R^* \lambda_{o}}{m(1 + w^2 C^2 R^{*2})}$  $(w - kv_0)^2 - c_1^2 k^2 - 4\pi\epsilon_0 w^2 C R^{*2} c_5^2 + \frac{i 4\pi\epsilon_0 c_5^2 R_{KW}^*}{9 (1 + w^2 C^2 R_{L}^2)} + \frac{i 4\pi\epsilon_0 c_5^2 R_{KW}^*}{9 (1 + w^2 C^2 R_{L}^2)}$ BEAM FILAME  $\omega^{12} - c_s^2 k^2 - \frac{4\pi\epsilon_o}{9} \frac{(\omega_4 k_{vo})^2 C_R^{*2} c_s^2}{(1 + c_w^2 k_{vo}^2)^2 C_R^{*2}} + \frac{i4\pi\epsilon_o}{9} \frac{c_s^2 k_w^2}{(1 + w^2 c_k^2)^2} + \frac{i4\pi\epsilon_o}{9} \frac{c_s^2 k_w^2}{(1 + w^2 c_k^2)} + \frac{i4\pi\epsilon_o}{9} \frac{c_s^2 k_w^2}{(1 + w$ So if one takes limit C > 00 the final two terms tend to zero. Thus Capacitance has reduced the metaloility growth rate.

$$\omega^{\prime 2} - c_s^2 k^{\prime 2} - \frac{2\Gamma_R(c_s/v_0)(\omega^\prime + k^\prime v_0)^2 C^{\dagger} R^*}{(1 + (\omega^\prime + k^\prime v_0)^2 C^{\dagger 2} R^{*2})} + \frac{2\Gamma_R(c_s/v_0)(\omega^\prime + k^\prime v_0)}{(1 + (\omega^\prime + k^\prime v_0)^2 C^{\dagger 2} R^{*2})} = 0$$

For  $2(c_v/v_o)\Gamma_{D}R^*C^+ << 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} r 2(c_s^{-}/v_0) \Gamma_R R^{-}C^{-} << 1: \\ \omega' &= \pm c_s k' \sqrt{1 - i \frac{2\Gamma_R}{c_s k' (1 + (k'v_0 R^* C^{\dagger})^2)}} \\ &= \pm c_s k' \left( 1 + \left( \frac{2\Gamma_R}{c_s k' (1 + (k'v_0 R^* C^{\dagger})^2)} \right)^2 \right)^{1/4} \times \\ \times \left( \cos \left[ \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{2\Gamma_R}{c_s k' (1 + (k'v_0 R^* C^{\dagger})^2)} \right) \right] \right) \\ &- i \sin \left[ \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{2\Gamma_R}{c_s k' (1 + (k'v_0 R^* C^{\dagger})^2)} \right) \right] \right) \\ \omega' &\simeq \pm c_s k' \mp i \frac{\Gamma_R}{1 + (k'v_0 R^* C^{\dagger})^2} \quad \text{for } \frac{2\Gamma_R}{c_s k' (1 + (k'v_0 R^* C^{\dagger})^2)} << 1 \quad (C) \end{aligned}$$

Logarithmic Gain G of the longitudinal instability as a function of perturbation wavenumber k, for R = 100 /m,  $C^{+} = 0$  (upper curves) and  $C^{\dagger} = 2 \times 10^{-10}$  F-m (lower curves), after a growth time corresponding to  $I_{\rm b}/c_{\rm s}$ , where  $I_{\rm b}$  = 10 m and cs = 4.9 x10<sup>5</sup> m





from D.A. Callahan Miller, Ph. D. Thesis, U.C. Davis, 1994

	Summary of LOSGITUDINAL INSTAULLITY
• • •	"RESISTIVE WALL" OR "LONG ITUDINHL" INSTALL THA
	HAS VOTENTIAL TO DEGRADE LONGITUDINAL EWITTENTE
sticeTS A.	IN HIGH CULKENT ACCELERATORS.
12-182 100 Aade in U.S.	HOWEVER, CHYACITHNIE FLEGG, FROM AND SHADDE
al Brand A	GHVS) DECHERSES GROWTH CAN MITTIGATE
Mation	INSTABLUTY,
Đ,	
,	NOT DISCUSSED:
	1. LONGITUDINAL TEMPERATURE DAMYC
	1N STABLELING (C.F. KEISEN 6.3.3)
	2. FEED BACK MAS BEEN IKOIDIED TO CONTROL INSTABILITY IF NEEDED

(LON)

## DRIFT COMPRESSION

OBJELTS :

. ()

AIPLY A HEAD-TO-TAIL VELOCITY TILT TO INCLEASE CURLENT BY DECKENSING FULSE NUTLATION

DURING COMPRESSION "EARS" HER NOT REQUIRED

AT END OF DRIFT COMPREISION, VELOCITY "TILT" SHOULD BE MINIMIZED, SO THAT CHROMATIC ABERLATIONS IN FIRML FOCUS ARE MINIMIZED.

$$\frac{\lambda}{dt} + \frac{\lambda}{dt} = \frac{-2J}{2}$$

$$\frac{\lambda}{dt} = \frac{-2J}{2}$$

CHLUCLATING (ALTIAL DEXIVATIVET:  

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} = \lambda_{0} (1 - \frac{dz}{dt}) + z\lambda_{0} (\frac{dz}{dt}) \dot{\chi}$$

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} = \lambda_{0} (1 - \frac{dz}{dt}) + z\lambda_{0} (\frac{dz}{dt}) \dot{\chi}$$

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} = -\frac{Qz}{dt} \lambda_{0}$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = -\frac{AV}{dt} (\frac{z}{dt}) + \frac{AV}{dt} \frac{z}{dt} \dot{\chi}$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = -\frac{AV}{dt}$$

$$\frac{\partial$$

.

MULTIFY BY 
$$\hat{L}$$
 1 INTEGRATE:  

$$\frac{\hat{J}^{2}}{2} + \frac{12}{4\pi}\frac{9}{6} \frac{Q_{c}}{1} = \frac{\hat{J}^{2}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{129}{4\pi}\frac{Q_{c}}{6m} \frac{Q_{c}}{1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{L}_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{1099}{4\pi}\frac{1}{6m}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} + \frac{129}{4\pi}\frac{Q_{c}}{6m}\frac{Q_{c}}{1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{L}_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{1099}{4\pi}\frac{1}{6m}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} + \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{199}{6m}\frac{Q_{c}}{1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{L}_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{1099}{4\pi}\frac{1}{6m}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} + \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{19}{6m}\frac{Q_{c}}{1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{L}_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{1099}{4\pi}\frac{1}{6m}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} + \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{6m}\frac{Q_{c}}{1}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{L}_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{1099}{4\pi}\frac{1}{6m}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} + \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{6m}\frac{Q_{c}}{1}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{L}_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{1099}{4\pi}\frac{1}{6m}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} + \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{6m}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{L}_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{1099}{4\pi}\frac{1}{6m}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} + \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{6m}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{L}_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{1099}{4\pi}\frac{1}{6m}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{6m}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{6m}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{L}_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{100}{4\pi}\frac{1}{6m}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{L}_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{9}\frac{1}{9}\frac{1}{1}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{L}_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{9}\frac{1}{9}\frac{1}{1}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{L}_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{9}\frac{1}{9}\frac{1}{1}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{L}_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{9}\frac{1}{9}\frac{1}{1}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac$$

(15)  

$$\frac{\sqrt{|\Delta_{LOU} - equation for a dr fting backs:}}{\sqrt{|\Delta_{L}|} + \sqrt{|\Delta_{L}|} + \sqrt{|\Delta_{L}|} + \sqrt{|\Delta_{L}|} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{h}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2$$



If we regard the envelope radii  $r_x$ ,  $r_y$  as specified functions of *s*, then these equations of motion are Hill's equations familiar from elementary accelerator physics:

$$x''(s) + \kappa_x^{\text{eff}}(s)x(s) = 0$$
  

$$y''(s) + \kappa_y^{\text{eff}}(s)y(s) = 0$$
  

$$\kappa_x^{\text{eff}}(s) = \kappa_x(s) - \frac{2Q}{[r_x(s) + r_y(s)]r_x(s)}$$
  

$$\kappa_y^{\text{eff}}(s) = \kappa_y(s) - \frac{2Q}{[r_x(s) + r_y(s)]r_y(s)}$$

## Suggests Procedure:

- Calculate Courant-Snyder invariants under assumptions made
- Construct a distribution function of Courant-Snyder invariants that generates the uniform density elliptical beam projection assumed
  - Nontrivial step: guess and show that it works

Resulting distribution will be an equilibrium that does not evolve in *s* in 4D phase-space, but lower-dimensional phase-space projections can evolve in *s* 

## <u>Self – consistent longitudinal distribution</u>

Recall Hill's equation: (From Steve Lund's notes on "Transverse equilibrium distributions," p. 20 -26.)

z'' + K(s) z = 0

The Courant-Snyder invariant Cz can be written:

<i>C</i> –	$\left( z \right)^2$	$\left(r_{z}z'-r_{z}'z\right)$	<sup>2</sup> = constant along a
$C_z =$	$\left(\frac{r_z}{r_z}\right)$	$\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon_{z}}\right)$	particle trajectory

At each s, particle lies on ellipse of constant area  $\pi \epsilon_z$ .

Along each trajectory: 
$$\frac{dC_z}{ds} = 0$$

 $\frac{df}{ds} = \frac{df}{dC_z} \frac{dC_z}{ds} = 0 \qquad \text{so } f(C_z) \text{ is a solution of the} \\ \text{Vlasov equation.} \\ \text{BUT} \qquad \lambda = \int f(z,z',s)dz' \qquad \text{must be of the form} \\ \lambda = (a_0 + b_0 z^2) \implies E_z = -g \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z} \sim z \\ \text{So what } f(C_z) \text{ yields } \lambda = (a_0 + b_0 z^2)? \\ \text{Answer:} \quad f(C_z) = \frac{3N}{2\pi\varepsilon_z} \sqrt{1 - C_z} \end{cases}$ 

SELF - CONSTISTENT LONGETUDI MAL DISTRIBUTION:  
NEUFFEL DIGNIGUTOD D. Neuffel, MATTURE ACCOUNTOR:  
Vol II, p 23 (1970)  
RETURNING TO THE ID VLASOV EQUATION:  

$$\frac{3f}{75} + z^{2} \frac{2f}{2z} + z^{2} \frac{2f}{2z^{2}} = 0$$

$$\frac{3f}{75} + z^{2} \frac{2f}{2z} + z^{2} \frac{2f}{2z^{2}} = 0$$

$$\frac{3f}{75} + z^{2} \frac{2h}{2z} - K(s)z$$
THEN,  

$$f(z,z',s) = \frac{3N}{2RE_{z}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{z^{2}}{Y_{z}^{2}}} - \frac{r_{z}^{2}(z' - r_{z}'z/Y_{z})^{2}}{E_{z}^{2}}$$

$$f_{R} - r_{z} < z < N_{z}$$

$$\frac{4}{V_{z}} - \frac{z^{2}}{V_{z}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{z^{2}}{Y_{z}}} < z' < \frac{y'_{z}^{2}z}{F_{z}^{2}} + \frac{E_{z}}{Y_{z}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{z^{2}}{Y_{z}^{2}}}$$
in a solution to the ID Vlaiov equation.  
Here  $E_{z}^{2} = 25(\langle z^{2} > z^{2} > - zz^{2} \rangle - zz^{2} \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(z,z',s) dz'$ 

$$N = total number of yapticles in bunch.$$

$$NOTE THET  $\lambda(z) = \frac{3}{4} \frac{N}{V_{z}} (1 - \frac{z^{2}}{V_{z}}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(z,z',s) dz'$ 

$$= \frac{2h}{V_{z}} \sim z \rightarrow LINFAM State Childer rield$$$$

## **Neuffer Distribution Function**



$$\frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}$$

$$\frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}$$