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The **Lorentz force equation** of a charged particle is given by (MKS Units):

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{p}_i(t) = q_i \left[ \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}_i, t) + \mathbf{v}_i(t) \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}_i, t) \right]
\]

\( m_i, \; q_i \) .... particle mass, charge  \( i = \text{particle index} \)

\( \mathbf{x}_i(t) \) .... particle coordinate  \( t = \text{time} \)

\( \mathbf{p}_i(t) = m_i \gamma_i(t) \mathbf{v}_i(t) \) .... particle momentum

\( \mathbf{v}_i(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{x}_i(t) = c \tilde{\beta}_i(t) \) .... particle velocity

\( \gamma_i(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2_i(t)}} \) .... particle gamma factor

**Total**  
**Applied**  
**Self**

**Electric Field:**  
\( \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathbf{E}^a(\mathbf{x}, t) + \mathbf{E}^s(\mathbf{x}, t) \)

**Magnetic Field:**  
\( \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathbf{B}^a(\mathbf{x}, t) + \mathbf{B}^s(\mathbf{x}, t) \)
S1B: Applied Fields used to Focus, Bend, and Accelerate Beam

Transverse optics for focusing:

Electric Quadrupole

Magnetic Quadrupole

Solenoid

Dipole Bends:

Electric  \( x \)-direction bend

Magnetic  \( x \)-direction bend
Longitudinal Acceleration:

**RF Cavity**

We will cover primarily transverse dynamics. Lectures by J.J. Barnard will cover acceleration and longitudinal physics:

- Acceleration influences transverse dynamics – not possible to fully decouple
S1C: Machine Lattice

Applied field structures are often arraigned in a regular (periodic) lattice for beam transport/acceleration:

![Image]

- Sometimes functions like bending/focusing are combined into a single element

Example – Linear FODO lattice (symmetric quadrupole doublet)

![Image]
Lattices for rings and some beam insertion/extraction sections also incorporate bends and more complicated periodic structures:

- Elements to insert beam into and out of ring further complicate lattice
- Acceleration cells also present
  (typically several RF cavities at one or more location)
Self-fields are generated by the distribution of beam particles:

- Charges
- Currents

**Particle at Rest**
(pure electrostatic)

Superimpose for all particles in the beam distribution

**Particle in Motion**

Obtain from Lorentz boost of rest-frame field:
see Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*

- Accelerating particles also radiate
  - We neglect electromagnetic radiation in this class
  (see: J.J. Barnard, *Intro Lectures*)
The electric ($\mathbf{E}^a$) and magnetic ($\mathbf{B}^a$) fields satisfy the Maxwell Equations. The linear structure of the Maxwell equations can be exploited to resolve the field into Applied and Self-Field components:

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}^a + \mathbf{E}^s$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}^a + \mathbf{B}^s$$

**Applied Fields** (often quasi-static $\partial/\partial t \simeq 0$) $\mathbf{E}^a$, $\mathbf{B}^a$

Generated by elements in lattice

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^a = \frac{\rho^a}{\varepsilon_0}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}^a = \mu_0 \mathbf{J}^a + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{E}^a$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E}^a = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B}^a$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}^a = 0$$

$\rho^a = \text{applied charge density}$

$\mathbf{J}^a = \text{applied current density}$

$\frac{1}{\mu_0 \varepsilon_0} = c^2$

+ Boundary Conditions on $\mathbf{E}^a$ and $\mathbf{B}^a$

- Boundary conditions depend on the total fields $\mathbf{E}$, $\mathbf{B}$

- System often solved as static boundary value problem and source free in the vacuum transport region of the beam
/// Aside: Notation:
\[ \nabla \equiv \hat{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hat{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \hat{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \]
- Cartesian Representation
\[ = \hat{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\hat{\theta}}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \hat{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \]
- Cylindrical Representation
\[ x = r \cos \theta \quad \hat{r} = \hat{x} \cos \theta + \hat{y} \sin \theta \]
\[ y = r \sin \theta \quad \hat{\theta} = -\hat{x} \sin \theta + \hat{y} \cos \theta \]
- Abbreviated Representation
\[ = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \]
\[ = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\perp}} + \hat{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \]
- Resolved Abbreviated Representation
Resolved into Perpendicular (\( \perp \)) and Parallel (\( z \)) components
\[ x = \hat{x} x + \hat{y} y + \hat{z} z \]
\[ x_{\perp} \equiv \hat{x} x + \hat{y} y \]
In integrals, we denote:
\[ \int d^3 x \ldots = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \ldots = \int d^2 x_{\perp} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \ldots \]
\[ \int d^2 x_{\perp} \ldots = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \ldots = \int_{0}^{\infty} dr \, r \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \ldots \]
**Self-Fields** (dynamic, evolve with beam)

Generated by particle of the beam rather than (applied) sources outside beam

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^s = \frac{\rho^s}{\varepsilon_0} \]
\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{B}^s = \mu_0 \mathbf{J}^s + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{E}^s \]
\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{E}^s = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B}^s \]
\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}^s = 0 \]

\[ \rho^s = \text{beam charge density} \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i \delta[\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i(t)] \]

\[ \mathbf{J}^s = \text{beam current density} \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i \mathbf{v}_i(t) \delta[\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i(t)] \]

\[ i = \text{particle index} \]
\[ (N \text{ particles}) \]
\[ q_i = \text{particle charge} \]
\[ \mathbf{x}_i = \text{particle coordinate} \]
\[ \mathbf{v}_i = \text{particle velocity} \]

\[ \delta(\mathbf{x}) = \delta(x) \delta(y) \delta(z) \]
\[ \delta(x) = \text{Dirac-delta function} \]
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ldots = \text{sum over beam particles} \]

**Boundary Conditions on** \( \mathbf{E}^s \) **and** \( \mathbf{B}^s \)

from material structures, radiation conditions, etc.
In accelerators, typically there is ideally a single species of particle:

\[ q_i \rightarrow q \]
\[ m_i \rightarrow m \]

Large Simplification!

Multi-species results in more complex collective effects

Motion of particles within axial slices of the “bunch” are highly directed:

\[ \beta_b(z)c \equiv \frac{1}{N'} \sum_{i=1}^{N'} \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \hat{z} \]

= Mean axial velocity of 
N’ particles in beam slice

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{x}_i(t) = \mathbf{v}_i(t) = \hat{z} \beta_b(z)c + \delta \mathbf{v}_i \]

\[ |\delta \mathbf{v}_i| \ll |\beta_b|c \quad \text{Paraxial Approximation} \]

There are typically many particles: (see S13, Vlasov Models for more details)

\[ \rho^s = \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i \delta[\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i(t)] \]
\[ \mathbf{J}^s = \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i \mathbf{v}_i(t) \delta[\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i(t)] \]

\[ \approx \rho(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad \text{continuous charge-density} \]
\[ \approx \beta_b c \rho(\mathbf{x}, t) \hat{z} \quad \text{continuous axial current-density} \]
The beam evolution is typically sufficiently slow (for heavy ions) where we can neglect radiation and approximate the self-field Maxwell Equations as:


\[
E^s = -\nabla \phi \\
B^s = \nabla \times A \\
A = \hat{z} \frac{\beta_b}{c} \phi
\]

\[
\nabla^2 \phi = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \phi = -\frac{\rho^s}{\epsilon_0}
\]

+ Boundary Conditions on \( \phi \)

Vast Reduction of self-field model:
Approximation equiv to electrostatic interactions in frame moving with beam: see Appendix B

But still complicated

Resolve the Lorentz force acting on beam particles into Applied and Self-Field terms:

\[
F_i(x_i, t) = qE(x_i, t) + qv_i(t) \times B(x_i, t)
\]

Applied:

\[
F^a_i = qE^a_i + qv_i \times B^a_i
\]

Self-Field:

\[
F^s_i = qE^s_i + qv_i \times B^s_i
\]

\[
E^a(x_i, t) \equiv E^a_i \quad \text{etc.}
\]
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The self-field force can be simplified:

- See also: J.J. Barnard, Intro. Lectures

Plug in self-field forms:

\[ \mathbf{F}_i^s = q\mathbf{E}_i^s + q\mathbf{v}_i \times \mathbf{B}_i^s \]

\[ \approx q \left[ -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \bigg|_i + (\beta_b c \hat{z} + \delta \mathbf{v}_i \times \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \times \frac{\beta_b}{c} \phi \right) \bigg|_i \right] \]

Resolve into transverse \((x \text{ and } y)\) and longitudinal \((z)\) components and simplify:

\[ \beta_b c \hat{z} \times \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \times \frac{\beta_b}{c} \phi \right) \bigg|_i = \beta_b^2 \hat{z} \times \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \times \frac{\beta_b}{c} \phi \right) \bigg|_i \]

\[ = \beta_b^2 \hat{z} \times \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \hat{x} - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \hat{y} \right) \bigg|_i \]

\[ = \beta_b^2 \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \hat{x} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \hat{y} \right) \bigg|_i \]

\[ = \beta_b^2 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \bigg|_{i} \]

\[ \equiv \ldots \bigg|_{x=x_i} \]
also

\[- \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \bigg|_i = - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{x}_\perp} \bigg|_i - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{z}} \bigg|_i \hat{\mathbf{z}}\]

Together, these results give:

\[
F^s_i = \begin{bmatrix}
- \frac{q}{\gamma_b^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{x}_\perp} \\
- \hat{\mathbf{z}} q \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{z}}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

- Transverse and longitudinal forces have different axial gamma factors
- \(1/\gamma_b^2\) factor in transverse force shows the space-charge forces become weaker as axial beam kinetic energy increases
  - Most important in low energy (nonrelativistic) beam transport
  - Strong in/near injectors before much acceleration
/// Aside: Singular Self Fields

In free space, the beam potential generated from the singular charge density:

$$\rho^s = \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i \delta[\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i(t)]$$

is

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i|}$$

Thus, the force of a particle at \( \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_i \) is:

$$\mathbf{F}_i = -q \left. \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right|_i = \frac{q^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j)}{|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|^{3/2}}$$

Which diverges due to the \( i = j \) term. This divergence is essentially “erased” when the continuous charge density is applied:

$$\rho^s = \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i \delta[\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i(t)] \quad \longrightarrow \quad \rho(\mathbf{x}, t)$$

- Effectively removes effect of collisions
  
  See: J.J. Barnard, Intro Lectures for more details
  
  - Find collisionless Vlasov model of evolution is often adequate

///
The particle equations of motion in phase-space variables become:

- Separate parts of $qE_i^a + qv_i \times B_i^a$ into transverse and longitudinal components.

**Transverse**

\[
\frac{d}{dt} x_{\perp i} = v_{\perp i}
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} (m \gamma_i v_{\perp i}) \simeq qE_{\perp i} + q\beta_b c\hat{z} \times B_{\perp i} + qB_{z_i} v_{\perp i} \times \hat{z}
\]

**Applied**

\[
- q \frac{1}{\gamma_b^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{\perp i}}
\]

**Self**

**Longitudinal**

\[
\frac{d}{dt} z_i = v_{zi}
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} (m \gamma_i v_{zi}) \simeq qE_{zi} - q(v_{xi} B_{yi} - v_{yi} B_{xi})
\]

**Applied**

\[
- q \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}
\]

**Self**

In the remainder of this (and most other) lectures, we analyze Transverse Dynamics. Longitudinal Dynamics will be covered in J.J. Barnard lectures.

- Except near injector, acceleration is typically slow
  - Fractional change in $\gamma_b, \beta_b$ small over characteristic transverse dynamical scales such as lattice period and betatron oscillation periods
- Regard $\gamma_b, \beta_b$ as specified functions given by the "acceleration schedule"
In transverse accelerator dynamics, it is convenient to employ the axial coordinate \(s\) of a particle in the accelerator as the independent variable:

- Need fields at lattice location of particle to integrate equations for particle trajectories.

\[
s \equiv s_i + \int_{t_i}^{t} d\tilde{t} \, v_{zi}(\tilde{t})
\]

**Transform:**

\[
v_{zi} = \frac{ds}{dt} \quad \implies \quad v_{xi} = \frac{dx_i}{dt} = \frac{ds}{ds} \frac{dx_i}{ds} = v_{zi} \frac{dx_i}{ds} = (\beta c + \delta v_{zi}) \frac{dx_i}{ds}
\]

**Denote:**

\[
\dot{v}_{xi} = \frac{dx_i}{ds} \simeq \beta c x'_{i}
\]

\[
\dot{v}_{yi} = \frac{dy_i}{dt} \simeq \beta c y'_{i}
\]

- Procedure becomes more complicated when bends present: see S1H.
In the **paraxial approximation**, $x'$ and $y'$ can be interpreted as the (small magnitude) angles that the particles make with the longitudinal-axis:

\[
x - \text{angle} = \frac{v_{xi}}{v_{zi}} \approx \frac{v_{xi}}{\beta_b c} = x'_i \\
y - \text{angle} = \frac{v_{yi}}{v_{zi}} \approx \frac{v_{yi}}{\beta_b c} = y'_i
\]

The angles will be *small* in the paraxial approximation:

\[
v_{x'i}^2, \ v_{y'i}^2 \ll \beta_b^2 c^2 \quad \implies \quad x'_i^2, \ y'_i^2 \ll 1
\]

Since the spread of axial momentum/velocities is small in the paraxial approximation, a thin axial slice of the beam maps to a thin axial slice and $s$ can also be thought of as the axial coordinate of the slice in the accelerator lattice.

\[
\beta_b \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N'} \frac{v_{zi}}{c}
\]

\[
s \approx s_i + \int_{t_i}^{t} d\tilde{t} \ \beta_b(\tilde{t})
\]
The coordinate $s$ can alternatively be interpreted as the axial coordinate of a reference (design) particle moving in the lattice

- Design particle has no momentum spread

It is often desirable to express the particle equations of motion in terms of $s$ rather than the time $t$

- Makes it clear where you are in the lattice of the machine
- Sometimes easier to use $t$ in codes when including many effects to high order
Transform transverse particle equations of motion to \( s \) rather than \( t \) derivatives

\[
\frac{d}{dt}(m \gamma_i v_{\perp i}) \approx qE_{\perp i}^a + q \beta_b c \hat{z} \times B_{\perp i}^a + q B_{zi}^a v_{\perp i} \times \hat{z} - q \frac{1}{\gamma_b^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{\perp}} \bigg|_i
\]

Term 1

Term 2

Transform Terms 1 and 2 in the particle equation of motion:

Term 1:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( m \gamma_i \frac{dx_{\perp i}}{dt} \right) = mv_{zi} \frac{d}{ds} \left( \gamma_i v_{zi} \frac{d}{ds} x_{\perp i} \right)
\]

\[
= m \gamma_i v_{zi}^2 \frac{d^2}{ds^2} x_{\perp i} + mv_{zi} \left( \frac{d}{ds} x_{\perp i} \right) \frac{d}{ds} \left( \gamma_i v_{zi} \right)
\]

Term 1A

Term 1B

Approximate:

Term 1A:

\[
m \gamma_i v_{zi}^2 \frac{d^2}{ds^2} x_{\perp i} \approx m \gamma_b \beta_b^2 c^2 \frac{d^2}{ds^2} x_{\perp i} = m \gamma_b \beta_b^2 c^2 x''_{\perp i}
\]

Term 1B:

\[
mv_{zi} \left( \frac{d}{ds} x_{\perp i} \right) \frac{d}{ds} (\gamma_i v_{zi}) \approx m \beta_b c \left( \frac{d}{ds} x_{\perp i} \right) \frac{d}{ds} (\gamma_b \beta_b c)
\]

\[
\approx m \beta_b c^2 (\gamma_b \beta_b)' x'_{\perp i}
\]
Using the approximations 1A and 1B gives for Term 1:

\[ m \frac{d}{dt} \left( \gamma_i \frac{d\mathbf{x}_{\perp i}}{dt} \right) \simeq m \gamma_b \beta_b^2 c^2 \left[ \mathbf{x}''_{\perp i} + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} \mathbf{x}'_{\perp i} \right] \]

Similarly we approximate in Term 2:

\[ q B^a_{zi} \mathbf{v}_{\perp i} \times \mathbf{\hat{z}} \simeq q B^a_{zi} \beta_b c \mathbf{x}'_{\perp i} \times \mathbf{\hat{z}} \]

Using the simplified expressions for Terms 1 and 2 obtain the reduced transverse equation of motion:

\[ \mathbf{x}''_{\perp i} + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} \mathbf{x}'_{\perp i} = \frac{q}{m \gamma_b \beta_b^2 c^2} \mathbf{E}^a_{\perp i} + \frac{q}{m \gamma_b \beta_b c} \mathbf{\hat{z}} \times \mathbf{B}^a_{\perp i} \]

\[ + \frac{q B^a_{zi}}{m \gamma_b \beta_b c} \mathbf{x}'_{\perp i} \times \mathbf{\hat{z}} - \frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\perp i}} \bigg|_i \]

- Will be analyzed extensively in lectures that follow in various limits to better understand solution properties
S1F: Axial Particle Kinetic Energy

Relativistic particle kinetic energy is:

$$\mathcal{E} = (\gamma - 1)mc^2$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}$$

$$v = (\beta_b + \delta\beta_z)c\hat{z} + \beta_\perp c\hat{x}_\perp$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \text{Particle Velocity (3D)}$$

For a directed paraxial beam with motion primarily along the machine axis the kinetic energy is essentially the axial kinetic energy $$\mathcal{E}_b$$:

$$\mathcal{E} = (\gamma_b - 1)mc^2 + \Theta\left(\frac{|\delta\beta_z|}{\beta_b}, \frac{\beta^2_\perp}{\beta_b^2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E}_b \equiv (\gamma_b - 1)mc^2$$

In nonrelativistic limit: $$\beta^2_b \ll 1$$

$$\mathcal{E}_b \equiv (\gamma_b - 1)mc^2 = \frac{1}{2}m\beta_b^2c^2 + \frac{3}{8}m\beta_b^4c^2 + \cdots$$

$$\simeq \frac{1}{2}m\beta_b^2c^2 + \Theta(\beta_b^4)$$

Convenient units:

Electrons: $$m = m_e = 511 \frac{\text{keV}}{c^2}$$

Electrons rapidly relativistic due to relatively low mass.
Ions/Protons:

\[ m = (\text{atomic mass}) \cdot m_u \quad m_u \equiv \text{Atomic Mass Unit} \]

\[ = 931.49 \, \frac{\text{MeV}}{c^2} \]

Note:

\[ m_p = \text{Proton Mass} = 938.27 \, \frac{\text{MeV}}{c^2} \quad m_p \simeq m_n \simeq 940 \, \frac{\text{MeV}}{c^2} \]

\[ m_n = \text{Neutron Mass} = 939.57 \, \frac{\text{MeV}}{c^2} \]

Approximate roughly for ions:

\[ m \simeq A m_u \quad A = \text{Mass Number} \]

(Number of Nucleons)

\[ m_p, m_n > m_u \quad \text{due to nuclear binding energy} \]

\[ \frac{\mathcal{E}_b/A}{m_u c^2} \simeq \gamma_b - 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \gamma_b = 1 + \frac{\mathcal{E}_b/A}{m_u c^2} \]

\[ \beta_b = \sqrt{1 - 1/\gamma_b^2} \]

Energy/Nucleon \( \frac{\mathcal{E}_b}{A} \) fixes \( \beta_b \) to set phase needs of RF cavities

Protons/ions take much longer to become relativistic than electrons

\[ m_u \gg m_e \]
Contrast beam relativistic $\beta_b$ for electrons and protons/ions:

Electrons

Ions (and approx Protons)

Notes: 1) plots do not overlay, scale changed
2) Ion plot slightly off for protons since $m_u \neq m_p$

- Electrons become relativistic easier relative to protons/ions due to light mass
- Space-charge more important for ions than electrons (see Sec. S1D)
  - Low energy ions near injector expected to have strongest space-charge
S1G: Summary: Transverse Particle Equations of Motion

\[
x''_\perp + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} x'_\perp = \frac{q}{m\gamma_b \beta_b^2 c^2} E^a_\perp + \frac{q}{m\gamma_b \beta_b c} \mathbf{\hat{z}} \times B^a_\perp + \frac{q B^a_z}{m\gamma_b \beta_b c} x'_\perp \times \mathbf{\hat{z}}
\]

\[
- \frac{q}{m\gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\perp} \phi
\]

\[
E^a = \text{Applied Electric Field} \quad B^a = \text{Applied Magnetic Field}
\]

\[
\nabla^2 \phi = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \phi = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}
\]

+ Boundary Conditions on \(\phi\)

Drop particle \(i\) subscripts (in most cases) henceforth to simplify notation
Neglects axial energy spread, bending, and electromagnetic radiation \(\gamma\) factors different in applied and self-field terms:
In \(-\frac{q}{m\gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \phi\), contributions to \(\gamma_b^3\):

\[
\gamma_b \implies \text{Kinematics}
\]

\[
\gamma_b^2 \implies \text{Self-Magnetic Field Corrections (leading order)}
\]
Much of transverse accelerator physics centers on understanding the evolution of beam particles in 4-dimensional \(x-x'\) and \(y-y'\) phase space. Typically, restricted 2-dimensional phase-space projections in \(x-x'\) and/or \(y-y'\) are analyzed to simplify interpretations:

**When forces are linear** particles tend to move on ellipses of constant area. - Ellipse may elongate/shrink and rotate as beam evolves in lattice

**Nonlinear force** components distort orbits and cause undesirable effects. - Growth in effective phase-space area reduces focusability

---

**Phase-Space**

- Ellipse
- Const Area

**Particle**

**Ellipse Twists and Lengthens**

---

**x’**

**x**

---

**x’**

**x**

---

PS_ellipse.png

PS_ellipse_nl.png
The “effective” phase-space volume of a distribution of beam particles is of fundamental interest.

Effective area measure in $x-x'$ phase-space is the $x$-emittance:

$$\varepsilon_x = 4\left[\langle x^2 \rangle_\perp \langle x'^2 \rangle_\perp - \langle xx' \rangle_\perp^2\right]^{1/2}$$

We will find in statistical beam descriptions that:

Larger/Smaller beam phase-space areas
(Larger/Smaller emittances) $\iff$ Harder/Easier
to focus beam on small final spots
Much of advanced accelerator physics centers on preserving beam quality by understanding and controlling emittance growth due to nonlinear forces arising from both space-charge and the applied focusing. In the remainder of the next few lectures we will review the physics of a single particles moving in linear applied fields with emphasis on transverse effects. Later, we will generalize concepts to include forces from space-charge in this formulation and nonlinear effects from both applied and self-fields.
S1I: Bent Coordinate System and Particle Equations of Motion with Dipole Bends and Axial Momentum Spread

The previous equations of motion can be applied to dipole bends provided the $x,y,z$ coordinate system is fixed. It can prove more convenient to employ coordinates that follow the beam in a bend.

- Orthogonal system employed called Frenet-Serret coordinates

\[ \Theta = \text{Bend Angle} \]
\[ R = \text{Bend Radius} \]
\[ s = \text{Reference Trajectory Coordinate} \]
In this perspective, dipoles are adjusted given the design momentum of the reference particle to bend the orbit through a radius $R$.

- Bends usually only in one plane (say $x$)
  - Implemented by a dipole applied field: $E_x^a$ or $B_y^a$
- Easy to apply material analogously for $y$-plane bends, if necessary

Denote:

$$ p_0 = m\gamma_b\beta_b c = \text{design momentum} $$

Then a magnetic $x$-bend through a radius $R$ is specified by:

$$ \frac{1}{R} = \frac{qB_y^a}{p_0} $$

Then a magnetic $x$-bend through a radius $R$ is specified by:

$$ \mathbf{B}^a = B_y^a \hat{y} = \text{const in bend} $$

Analogous formula for Electric Bend will be derived in problem set

The particle rigidity is defined as ($[B\rho]$ read as one symbol called “B-Rho”):

$$ [B\rho] \equiv \frac{p_0}{q} = \frac{m\gamma_b\beta_b c}{q} $$

is often applied to express the bend result as:

$$ \frac{1}{R} = \frac{B_y^a}{[B\rho]} $$
Comments on bends:
- \( R \) can be positive or negative depending on sign of \( B_{y}^a/[B \rho] \)
- For straight sections, \( R \rightarrow \infty \) (or equivalently, \( B_{y}^a = 0 \))
- Lattices often made from discrete element dipoles and straight sections with separated function optics
  - Bends can provide “edge focusing”
  - Sometimes elements for bending/focusing are combined
- For a ring, dipoles strengths are tuned with particle rigidity/momentum so the reference orbit makes a closed path lap through the circular machine
  - Dipoles adjusted as particles gain energy to maintain closed path
  - In a Synchrotron dipoles and focusing elements are adjusted together to maintain focusing and bending properties as the particles gain energy. This is the origin of the name “Synchrotron.”
- Total bending strength of a ring in Tesla-meters limits the ultimately achievable particle energy/momentum in the ring
For a magnetic field over a path length \( S \), the beam will be bent through an angle:

\[
\Theta = \frac{S}{R} = \frac{S B_y^a}{[B \rho]}
\]

To make a ring, the bends must deflect the beam through a total angle of \( 2\pi \):

- Neglect any energy gain changing the rigidity over one lap

\[
2\pi = \sum_{i, \text{Dipoles}} \Theta_i = \sum_i \frac{S_i}{R_i} = \sum_i \frac{S_i B_y^{a,i}}{[B \rho]}
\]

For a symmetric ring, \( N \) dipoles are all the same, giving for the bend field:

- Typically choose parameters for dipole field as high as technology allows for a compact ring

\[
B_y^a = 2\pi \frac{[B \rho]}{NS}
\]

For a symmetric ring of total circumference \( C \) with straight sections of length \( L \) between the bends:

- Features of straight sections typically dictated by needs of focusing, acceleration, and dispersion control

\[
C = NS + NL
\]
Example: Typical separated function lattice in a Synchrotron
Focus Elements in Red
Bending Elements in Green

Ring Lattice: 12 Periods
(SIS–18, GSI)
18 Tesla-Meter
For “off-momentum” errors:

\[ p_s = p_0 + \delta p \]
\[ p_0 = m\gamma_b\beta_b c = \text{design momentum} \]
\[ \delta p = \text{off- momentum} \]

This will modify the particle equations of motion, particularly in cases where there are bends since particles with different momenta will be bent at different radii.

\[ p_s = p_0 + \delta p \]
\[ \text{Off Momentum (High)} \]
\[ p_0 = \text{Design} \]
\[ R \]
\[ R^* \]
\[ B_y \hat{y} \]

Common notation:

\[ \delta \equiv \frac{\delta p}{p_0} = \text{Fractional Momentum Error} \]

- Not usual to have acceleration in bends
  - Dipole bends and quadrupole focusing are sometimes combined
Derivatives in accelerator Frenet-Serret Coordinates

Summarize results only needed to transform the Maxwell equations, write field derivatives, etc.

❖ Reference: Chao and Tigner, *Handbook of Accelerator Physics and Engineering*

\[
\Psi(x, y, s) \quad = \text{Scalar}
\]

\[
\mathbf{V}(x, y, s) = V_x(x, y, s)\hat{x} + V_y(x, y, s)\hat{y} + V_s(x, y, s)\hat{s} \quad = \text{Vector}
\]

**Vector Dot and Cross-Products:** \((\mathbf{V}_1, \mathbf{V}_2 \text{ Two Vectors})\)

\[
\mathbf{V}_1 \cdot \mathbf{V}_2 = V_{1x}V_{2x} + V_{1y}V_{2y} + V_{1s}V_{2s}
\]

\[
\mathbf{V}_1 \times \mathbf{V}_2 = \begin{vmatrix}
\hat{x} & \hat{y} & \hat{s} \\
V_{1x} & V_{1y} & V_{1s} \\
V_{2x} & V_{2y} & V_{2s}
\end{vmatrix}
\]

\[
= (V_{1x}V_{2s} - V_{1s}V_{2x})\hat{x} + (V_{1s}V_{2x} - V_{1x}V_{2s})\hat{y} + (V_{1x}V_{2y} - V_{1y}V_{2x})\hat{s}
\]

**Elements:**

\[
d^2 x_\perp = dx\,dy
\]

\[
d^3 x_\perp = \left(1 + \frac{x}{R}\right) dx\,dy\,ds
\]

\[
d\tilde{\ell} = \hat{x}dx + \hat{y}dy + \hat{s} \left(1 + \frac{x}{R}\right) ds
\]
Gradient:

\[ \nabla \Psi = \hat{x} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} + \hat{y} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y} + \hat{s} \frac{1}{1 + x/R} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial s} \]

Divergence:

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} = \frac{1}{1 + x/R} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ (1 + x/R) V_x \right] + \frac{\partial V_y}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{1 + x/R} \frac{\partial V_s}{\partial s} \]

Curl:

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{V} = \hat{x} \left( \frac{\partial V_s}{\partial y} - \frac{1}{1 + x/R} \frac{\partial V_y}{\partial s} \right) + \hat{y} \frac{1}{1 + x/R} \left( \frac{\partial V_x}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [(1 + x/R) V_s] \right) + \hat{s} (1 + x/R) \left( \frac{\partial V_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial V_x}{\partial y} \right) \]

Laplacian:

\[ \nabla^2 \Psi = \frac{1}{1 + x/R} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ (1 + x/R) \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{1}{1 + x/R} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left[ \frac{1}{1 + x/R} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial s} \right] \]
Transverse particle equations of motion including bends and “off-momentum” effects

- See texts such as Edwards and Syphers for guidance on derivation steps
- Full derivation is beyond needs/scope of this class

\[
\begin{align*}
x'' &+ \frac{\gamma_b\beta_b'}{\gamma_b\beta_b} x' + \left[ \frac{1}{R^2(s)} \frac{1 - \delta}{1 + \delta} \right] x = \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} \frac{1}{R(s)} + \frac{q}{m\gamma_b\beta_b c^2} \frac{E_x^a}{(1 + \delta)^2} \\
&- \frac{q}{m\gamma_b\beta_b c} \frac{B_y^a}{1 + \delta} + \frac{q}{m\gamma_b\beta_b c} \frac{B_s^a}{1 + \delta} y' - \frac{q}{m\gamma_b^3\beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{1}{1 + \delta} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \\
y'' &+ \frac{\gamma_b\beta_b'}{\gamma_b\beta_b} y' = \frac{q}{m\gamma_b\beta_b c^2} \frac{E_y^a}{(1 + \delta)^2} + \frac{q}{m\gamma_b\beta_b c} \frac{B_x^a}{1 + \delta} \\
&- \frac{q}{m\gamma_b\beta_b c} \frac{B_s^a}{1 + \delta} x' - \frac{q}{m\gamma_b^3\beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{1}{1 + \delta} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
p_0 = m\gamma_b\beta_b c = \text{Design Momentum} \quad \frac{1}{R(s)} = \frac{B_y^a(s)|_{\text{Dipole}}}{|B\rho|} \quad [B\rho] = \frac{p_0}{q}
\]

Comments:
- Design bends only in \( x \) and \( B_y^a, \ E_x^a \) contain no dipole terms (design orbit)
  - Dipole components set via the design bend radius \( R(s) \)
- Equations contain only low-order terms in momentum spread \( \delta \)
Comments continued:

- Equations are often applied linearized in $\delta$
- Achromatic focusing lattices are often designed using equations with momentum spread to obtain focal points independent of $\delta$ to some order $x$ and $y$ equations differ significantly due to bends modifying the $x$-equation when $R(s)$ is finite
- It will be shown in the problems that for electric bends:

$$\frac{1}{R(s)} = \frac{E_x^a(s)}{\beta_b c [B \rho]}$$

- Applied fields for focusing: $E_x^a$, $B_x^a$, $B_s^a$
  - must be expressed in the bent $x,y,s$ system of the reference orbit
  - Includes error fields in dipoles
- Self fields may also need to be solved taking into account bend terms
  - Often can be neglected in Poisson's Equation

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{1 + x/R} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{x}{R} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{1}{1 + x/R} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left[ \frac{1}{1 + x/R} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right] \right\} \phi = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

if $R \to \infty$

reduces to familiar:

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \right\} \phi = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$
Appendix A: Gamma and Beta Factor Conversions

It is frequently the case that functions of the relativistic gamma and beta factors are converted to superficially different appearing forms when analyzing transverse particle dynamics in order to more cleanly express results. Here we summarize useful formulas in that come up when comparing various forms of equations. Derivatives are taken wrt the axial coordinate $s$ but also apply wrt time $t$.

Results summarized here can be immediately applied in the paraxial approximation by taking:

$$v = |\mathbf{v}| \simeq v_b = \beta_b c \quad \implies \quad \beta \simeq \beta_b \quad \gamma \simeq \gamma_b$$

Assume that the beam is forward going with $\beta \geq 0$:

$$\gamma \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}} \quad \beta = \frac{1}{\gamma} \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}$$

$$\gamma^2 = \frac{1}{1 - \beta^2} \quad \beta^2 = 1 - 1/\gamma^2$$

A commonly occurring acceleration factor can be expressed in several ways:

- Depending on choice used, equations can look quite different!
  $$\frac{(\gamma/\beta)'}{(\gamma/\beta)} = \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} + \frac{\beta'}{\beta} = \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma\beta^2}$$
Axial derivative factors can be converted using:

\[ \gamma' = \frac{\beta\beta'}{(1 - \beta^2)^{3/2}} \]

\[ \beta' = \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma^2 \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}} \]

Energy factors:

\[ \mathcal{E}_{\text{tot}} = \gamma mc^2 = \mathcal{E} + mc^2 \]

\[ \gamma\beta = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}}{mc^2}\right)^2 + 2\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}}{mc^2}\right)} \]

Rigidity:

\[ [B\rho] = \frac{p}{q} = \frac{\gamma mv}{q} = \frac{mc}{q} \gamma\beta = \frac{mc}{q} \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}}{mc^2}\right)^2 + 2\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}}{mc^2}\right)} \]
Appendix B: Magnetic Self-Fields

The full Maxwell equations for the beam and applied fields

\[ \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}^a + \mathbf{E}^s, \quad \text{etc.} \]

with electromagnetic effects neglected can be written as

- Good approx typically for ions in weak fields

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} &= \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{B} &= \mu_0 \mathbf{J} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \\
\n\nabla \times \mathbf{E} &= -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \\
\n\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

+ Boundary Conditions on \( \mathbf{E} \) and \( \mathbf{B} \)

from material structures, radiation conditions, etc.

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho &= qn(x, t) \\
\mathbf{J} &= qn(x, t) \mathbf{V}(x, t) \\
n(x, t) &= \text{Number Density} \\
\mathbf{V}(x, t) &= \text{”Fluid” Flow Velocity}
\end{align*}
\]

- Calc from continuum approx distribution
**Electrostatic Approx:**

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{q n}{\epsilon_0} \]
\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0 \]

\[ \mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi \]

\( \phi = \text{Electrostatic Scalar Potential} \)

\[ \implies \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\nabla \times \nabla \phi = 0 \]

Continuity of mixed partial derivatives

\[ \implies \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = -\nabla \cdot \nabla \phi = \frac{q n}{\epsilon_0} \]

\[ \nabla^2 \phi = -\frac{q n}{\epsilon_0} \]

+ Boundary Conditions on \( \phi \)

---

**Magnetostatic Approx:**

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} \]
\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \]

\[ \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \]

\( \mathbf{A} = \text{Magnetostatic Vector Potential} \)

\[ \implies \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = 0 \]

Continuity of mixed partial derivatives

\[ \implies \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} \]

Continue next slide
Magnetostatic Approx Continued:

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} \]

\[ \nabla \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} \]

Still free to take (gauge choice):

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0 \quad \text{Coulomb Gauge} \]

Can always meet this choice:

\[ \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A} + \nabla \xi \quad \xi = \text{Some Function} \]

\[ \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \nabla \times \mathbf{A} + \nabla \times \nabla \xi = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \]

\[ \Rightarrow \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} + \nabla^2 \xi \]

Can always choose \( \xi \) such that \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0 \) to satisfy the Coulomb gauge:

\[ \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} = -\mu_0 \mathbf{J} = -\mu_0 q n \mathbf{V} \]

+ Boundary Conditions on \( \mathbf{A} \)

Essentially one Poisson form eqn for each field \( x,y,z \) comp

But can approximate this further for “typical” paraxial beams ….
\n\n\[ \n\n\nabla^2 \mathbf{A} = -\mu_0 \mathbf{J} = -\mu_0 qn \mathbf{V} \n\n\]

Expect for a beam with primarily forward (paraxial) directed motion:

\[ V_z = \beta_b c \quad V_{x,y} \sim R' \beta_b c \quad R' = \text{Beam Envelope Angle} \]

(Typically 10s mrad Magnitude)

\[ \implies |A_{x,y}| \ll |A_z| \]

Giving:

\[ \nabla^2 A_z = -\mu_0 q \beta_b c n \]

\[ n = -\frac{\varepsilon_0}{q} \nabla^2 \phi \quad \text{Free to use from electrostatic part} \]

\[ \nabla^2 A_z = -\left( \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \right) c \beta_b \nabla^2 \phi \]

\[ \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 = \frac{1}{c^2} \quad \text{From unit definition} \]

\[ \implies A_z = \frac{\beta_b}{c} \phi \]

- Allows simply taking into account low-order self-magnetic field effects
  - Care must be taken if magnetic materials are present close to beam
Further insight can be obtained on the nature of the approximations in the reduced form of the self-magnetic field correction by examining Lorentz Transformation properties of the potentials.

From EM theory, the potentials $\phi$, $cA$ form a relativistic 4-vector that transforms as a Lorentz vector for covariance:

$$A_\mu = (\phi, cA)$$

In the rest frame $(\ast)$ of the beam, assume that the flows are small enough where the potentials are purely electrostatic with:

$$A_\mu^* = (\phi^*, 0) \quad \nabla^2 \phi^* = -\frac{qn^*}{\epsilon_0}$$
Review: Under Lorentz transform, the 4-vector components of \( A_\mu = (\phi, cA) \) transform as the familiar 4-vector \( x_\mu = (ct, x) \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Transform} & \\
ct^* &= \gamma_b (ct - \beta_b z) \\
z^* &= \gamma_b (z - \beta_b ct) \\
x^* &= x_\perp
\end{align*}
\]

This gives for the 4-potential \( A_\mu = (\phi, cA) \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi &= \gamma_b (\phi^* + \beta_b cA_z^*) = \gamma_b \phi^*
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
cA_z &= \gamma_b (cA_z^* + \beta_b \phi^*) = \beta_b (\gamma_b \phi^*) = \beta_b \phi
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\Longrightarrow A_z = \frac{\beta_b}{c} \phi
\]

\( \Rightarrow \) Shows result is consistent with pure electrostatic in beam (\( \ast \)) frame.
Write out transverse particle equations of motion in explicit component form:

\[
x'' + \left( \frac{\gamma_b \beta_b}{\gamma_b} \right)' \frac{x'}{\gamma_b \beta_b} = \frac{q}{m \gamma_b \beta_b^2 c^2} E_x^a - \frac{q}{m \gamma_b \beta_b c} B_y^a + \frac{q}{m \gamma_b \beta_b c} B_z^a y' - \frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}
\]

\[
y'' + \left( \frac{\gamma_b \beta_b}{\gamma_b} \right)' \frac{y'}{\gamma_b \beta_b} = \frac{q}{m \gamma_b \beta_b^2 c^2} E_y^a + \frac{q}{m \gamma_b \beta_b c} B_x^a - \frac{q}{m \gamma_b \beta_b c} B_z^a x' - \frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}
\]

Equations previously derived under assumptions:

- No bends (fixed x-y-z coordinate system with no local bends)
- Paraxial equations (\(x'^2, y'^2 \ll 1\))
- No dispersive effects (\(\beta_b\) same all particles), acceleration allowed (\(\beta_b \neq \text{const}\))
- Electrostatic and leading-order (in \(\beta_b\)) self-magnetic interactions
The applied focusing fields

**Electric:** \( E_x^a, E_y^a \)
**Magnetic:** \( B_x^a, B_y^a, B_z^a \)

must be specified as a function of \( s \) and the transverse particle coordinates \( x \) and \( y \) to complete the description

- Consistent change in axial velocity (\( \beta_b c \)) due to \( E_z^a \) must be evaluated
  - Typically due to RF cavities and/or induction cells
- Restrict analysis to fields from applied focusing structures

Intense beam accelerators and transport lattices are designed to optimize *linear* applied focusing forces with terms:

**Electric:**
\[
E_x^a \simeq (\text{function of } s) \times (x \text{ or } y)
\]
\[
E_y^a \simeq (\text{function of } s) \times (x \text{ or } y)
\]

**Magnetic:**
\[
B_x^a \simeq (\text{function of } s) \times (x \text{ or } y)
\]
\[
B_y^a \simeq (\text{function of } s) \times (x \text{ or } y)
\]
\[
B_z^a \simeq (\text{function of } s)
\]
Common situations that realize these linear applied focusing forms will be overviewed:

- **Continuous Focusing** (see: S2B)
- **Quadrupole Focusing**
  - Electric (see: S2C)
  - Magnetic (see: S2D)
- **Solenoidal Focusing** (see: S2E)

Other situations that will not be covered (typically more nonlinear optics):

- **Einzel Lens** (see: J.J. Barnard, *Intro Lectures*)
- **Plasma Lens**
- **Wire guiding**

Why design around linear applied fields?

- Linear oscillators have well understood physics allowing formalism to be developed that can guide design
- Linear fields are “lower order” so it should be possible for a given source amplitude to generate field terms with greater strength than for “higher order” nonlinear fields
S2B: Continuous Focusing

Assume constant electric field applied focusing force:

\[ B^a = 0 \]
\[ E^a_{\perp} = E^a_x \hat{x} + E^a_y \hat{y} = -\frac{m \gamma_b \beta_b^2 c^2 k_{\beta 0}^2}{q} \mathbf{x}_{\perp} \quad k_{\beta 0}^2 \equiv \text{const} > 0 \]
\[ E^a_z = 0 \]

Continuous focusing equations of motion:

Insert field components into linear applied field equations and collect terms

\[ x''_{\perp} + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{\gamma_b \beta_b} x'_{\perp} + k_{\beta 0}^2 x_{\perp} = -\frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{\perp}} \]

\[ x'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{\gamma_b \beta_b} x' + k_{\beta 0}^2 x = -\frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \quad \text{Equivalent Component Form} \]

\[ y'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{\gamma_b \beta_b} y' + k_{\beta 0}^2 y = -\frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \]

Even this simple model can become complicated

- **Space charge**: \( \phi \) must be calculated consistent with beam evolution
- **Acceleration**: acts to damp orbits (see: S10)
Simple model in limit of no acceleration \( (\gamma_b/\beta_b \approx \text{const}) \) and negligible space-charge \( (\phi \approx \text{const}) \):

\[
x''_{\perp} + k_{\beta_0}^2 x_{\perp} = 0
\]

\( \implies \) orbits simple harmonic oscillations

General solution is elementary:

\[
x_{\perp} = x_{\perp}(s_i) \cos[k_{\beta_0}(s - s_i)] + \left[ x'_{\perp}(s_i)/k_{\beta_0} \right] \sin[k_{\beta_0}(s - s_i)]
\]

\[
x'_{\perp} = -k_{\beta_0} x_{\perp}(s_i) \sin[k_{\beta_0}(s - s_i)] + x'_{\perp}(s_i) \cos[k_{\beta_0}(s - s_i)]
\]

\( x_{\perp}(s_i) = \) Initial coordinate

\( x'_{\perp}(s_i) = \) Initial angle

In terms of a transfer map in the \( x \)-plane (\( y \)-plane analogous):

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
x \\
x'
\end{bmatrix}_s = \mathbf{M}_x(s|s_i) \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
x \\
x'
\end{bmatrix}_{s_i}
\]

\[
\mathbf{M}_x(s|s_i) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos[k_{\beta_0}(s - s_i)] & \frac{1}{k_{\beta_0}} \sin[k_{\beta_0}(s - s_i)] \\
-k_{\beta_0} \sin[k_{\beta_0}(s - s_i)] & \cos[k_{\beta_0}(s - s_i)]
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Example: Particle Orbits in Continuous Focusing

Particle phase-space in \(x-x'\) with only applied field

\[
k_{\beta_0} = 2\pi \text{ rad/m} \quad x(0) = 1 \text{ mm} \quad y(0) = 0 \\
\phi \approx 0 \quad \gamma_b\beta_b = \text{const} \quad x'(0) = 0 \quad y'(0) = 0
\]

Orbits in the applied field are just simple harmonic oscillators
Problem with continuous focusing model:

The continuous focusing model is realized by a stationary \((m \to \infty)\) partially neutralizing uniform background of charges filling the beam pipe. To see this apply Maxwell's equations to the applied field to calculate an applied charge density:

\[
\rho^a = \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot E^a = -\frac{2m\epsilon_0 \gamma_b \beta_b^2 c^2 k_{\beta 0}^2}{q} = \text{const}
\]

- Unphysical model, but commonly employed since it represents the average action of more physical focusing fields in a simpler to analyze model
  - Demonstrate later in simple examples and problems given
- Continuous focusing can provide reasonably good estimates for more realistic periodic focusing models if \(k_{\beta 0}^2\) is appropriately identified in terms of “equivalent” parameters and the periodic system is stable.
  - See lectures that follow and homework problems for examples
In more realistic models, one requires that *quasi-static* focusing fields in the machine aperture satisfy the vacuum Maxwell equations

\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^a = 0 \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{E}^a = 0 \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}^a = 0 \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{B}^a = 0
\]

- Require in the region of the beam
- Applied field sources outside of the beam region

The vacuum Maxwell equations constrain the 3D form of applied fields resulting from spatially localized lenses. The following cases are commonly exploited to optimize linear focusing strength in physically realizable systems while keeping the model relatively simple:

1) **Alternating Gradient Quadrupoles** with transverse orientation
   - Electric Quadrupoles (see: S2C)
   - Magnetic Quadrupoles (see: S2D)

2) **Solenoidal Magnetic Fields** with longitudinal orientation (see: S2E)

3) **Einzel Lenses** (see J.J. Barnard, *Introductory Lectures*)
S2C: Alternating Gradient Quadrupole Focusing Electric Quadrupoles

In the axial center of a long electric quadrupole, model the fields as 2D transverse

2D Transverse Fields

\[ B^a = 0 \]

\[ E^a_x = -Gx \]

\[ E^a_y = Gy \]

\[ E^a_z = 0 \]

\[ G \equiv \frac{2V_q}{r_p^2} = - \frac{\partial E^a_x}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial E^a_y}{\partial y} \]

\[ V_q = \text{Pole Voltage} \]

\[ r_p = \text{Pipe Radius} \]

Electrodes Outside of Circle \( r = r_p \)

Electrodes: \( x^2 - y^2 = \pm r_p^2 \)

Electrodes hyperbolic

Structure infinitely extruded along \( z \)
Aside: How can you calculate these fields?

Fields satisfy within vacuum aperture:

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^a = 0 \implies \mathbf{E}^a = -\nabla \phi^a \]
\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{E}^a = 0 \]

Choose a long axial structure with 2D hyperbolic potential surfaces:

\[ \phi^a = \text{const}(x^2 - y^2) \]

Require: \( \phi^a = V_q \) at \( x = r_p, y = 0 \) \( \implies \) \( \text{const} = V_q/r_p^2 \)

\[ \phi^a = \frac{V_q}{r_p^2} (x^2 - y^2) \]

\[ E_x^a = -\frac{\partial \phi^a}{\partial x} = -\frac{2V_q}{r_p^2} x \equiv -Gx \]
\[ E_y^a = -\frac{\partial \phi^a}{\partial y} = \frac{2V_q}{r_p^2} y \equiv Gy \]

Realistic geometries can be considerably more complicated

- Truncated hyperbolic electrodes transversely, truncated structure in \( z \)

//
Quadrupoles actually have finite axial length in $z$. Model this by taking the gradient $G$ to vary in $s$, i.e., $G = G(s)$ with $s = z - z_{\text{center}}$ (straight section)

- Variation is called the **fringe-field** of the focusing element
- Variation will violate the Maxwell Equations in 3D
  - Provides a reasonable first approximation in many applications
- Usually quadrupole is long, and $G(s)$ will have a flat central region and rapid variation near the ends

Accurate fringe calculation typically requires higher level modeling:
- 3D analysis
- Detailed geometry

Typically employ magnetic design codes
For many applications the actual quadrupole fringe function $G(s)$ is replaced by a simpler function to allow more idealized modeling

- Replacements should be made in an “equivalent” parameter sense to be detailed later (see: lectures on Transverse Centroid and Envelope Modeling)
- Fringe functions often replaced in design studies by piecewise constant $G(s)$
  - Commonly called “hard-edge” approximation
- See S3 and Lund and Bukh, PRSTAB 7 924801 (2004), Appendix C for more details on equivalent models
Electric quadrupole equations of motion:

- Insert applied field components into linear applied field equations and collect terms

\[
x'' + \left(\frac{\gamma_b \beta_b'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)}\right) x' + \kappa(s)x = -\frac{q}{m\gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}
\]

\[
y'' + \left(\frac{\gamma_b \beta_b'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)}\right) y' - \kappa(s)y = -\frac{q}{m\gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}
\]

\[
\kappa(s) = \frac{qG}{m\gamma_b \beta_b^2 c^2} = \frac{G}{\beta_b c[B \rho]}
\]

\[
G = -\frac{\partial E_x^a}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial E_y^a}{\partial y} = \frac{2V_q}{r_p^2} \quad [B \rho] = \frac{m\gamma_b \beta_b c}{q}
\]

- For positive/negative \(\kappa\), the applied forces are Focusing/deFocusing in the \(x\)- and \(y\)-planes
- The \(x\)- and \(y\)-equations are decoupled
- Valid whether the the focusing function \(\kappa\) is piecewise constant or incorporates a fringe model
Simple model in limit of no acceleration \((\gamma_b \beta_b \simeq \text{const})\) and negligible space-charge \((\phi \simeq \text{const})\) and \(\kappa = \text{const}\):

\[
\begin{align*}
x'' + \kappa x &= 0 \\
y'' - \kappa y &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

\[\implies\text{orbits harmonic or hyperbolic depending on sign of } \kappa\]

General solution:

\(\kappa > 0:\)
\[
\begin{align*}
x &= x_i \cos[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] + (x'_i/\sqrt{\kappa}) \sin[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] \\
x' &= -\sqrt{\kappa}x_i \sin[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] + x'_i \cos[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] \\
x(s_i) &= x_i = \text{Initial coordinate} \\
x'(s_i) &= x'_i = \text{Initial angle}
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
y &= y_i \cosh[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] + (y'_i/\sqrt{\kappa}) \sinh[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] \\
y' &= \sqrt{\kappa}y_i \sinh[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] + y'_i \cosh[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] \\
y(s_i) &= y_i = \text{Initial coordinate} \\
y'(s_i) &= y'_i = \text{Initial angle}
\end{align*}
\]

\(\kappa < 0:\)
\[
\text{Exchange } x \text{ and } y \text{ in } \kappa > 0 \text{ case.}\]
In terms of a transfer maps:

\[ \kappa > 0 : \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  x \\
  x'
\end{bmatrix}_s = M_x(s|s_i) \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
  x \\
  x'
\end{bmatrix}_{s_i}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  y \\
  y'
\end{bmatrix}_s = M_y(s|s_i) \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
  y \\
  y'
\end{bmatrix}_{s_i}
\]

\[
M_x(s|s_i) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \sin[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] \\
-\sqrt{\kappa} \sin[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] & \cos[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)]
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
M_y(s|s_i) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cosh[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \sinh[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] \\
\sqrt{\kappa} \sinh[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] & \cosh[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)]
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ \kappa < 0 : \]

Exchange \( x \) and \( y \) in \( \kappa > 0 \) case.
Quadrupoles must be arranged in a lattice where the particles traverse a sequence of optics with **alternating gradient** to focus strongly in both transverse directions:

- Alternating gradient necessary to provide focusing in both $x$- and $y$-planes
- **Alternating Gradient Focusing** often abbreviated “AG” and is sometimes called “Strong Focusing”
- **FODO** is acronym:
  - **F** (Focus) in plane placed where excursions (on average) are small
  - **D** (deFocus) placed where excursions (on average) are large
  - **O** (drift) allows axial separation between elements
- Focusing lattices often (but not necessarily) periodic
  - Periodic expected to give optimal efficiency in focusing with quadrupoles
- Drifts between F and D quadrupoles allow space for:
  - acceleration cells, beam diagnostics, vacuum pumping, ....
- Focusing strength must be limited for stability (see S5)
Example **Quadrupole FODO periodic lattices** with piecewise constant $\kappa$

- **FODO**: [Focus drift(O) DeFocus Drift(O)] has equal length drifts and same length F and D quadrupoles
- **FODO** is simplest possible realization of “alternating gradient” focusing
  - Can also have thin lens limit of finite axial length magnets in FODO lattice

\[\begin{align*}
\kappa_x(s) &= (\kappa_x = -\kappa_y) \\
F \text{ Quad} & \quad d \quad \ell \quad d \\
D \text{ Quad} & \quad \ell \\
L_p & \quad \text{Lattice Period} \\
\end{align*}\]

\[d = (1 - \eta)L_p/2, \quad \ell = \eta L_p/2\]

\[\eta = \text{Occupancy} \in (0, 1]\]
/// Example: Particle Orbits in a FODO Periodic Quadrupole Focusing Lattice:

Particle phase-space in $x$-$x'$ with only hard-edge applied field

$L_p = 0.5$ m \hspace{1cm} \kappa = \pm 50 \text{ rad/m}^2 \text{ in Quads} \hspace{1cm} x(0) = 1 \text{ mm} \hspace{1cm} y(0) = 0$

$\eta = 0.5 \hspace{1cm} \phi \approx 0 \hspace{1cm} \gamma_b/\beta_b = \text{const} \hspace{1cm} x'(0) = 0 \hspace{1cm} y'(0) = 0$

---

**Graphs:**

- **$x$ vs. $s/L_p$** (Lattice Periods)
- **$x'$ vs. $s/L_p$** (Lattice Periods)

- Particle phase-space in $x$-$x'$ with only hard-edge applied field.

- **FODO**: Fixed-Offset Double Octupole

- **O**: Offset

- **D**: Double

---

SM Lund, USPAS, 2015
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Comments on Orbits:

- Orbits strongly deviate from simple harmonic form due to AG focusing
  - Multiple harmonics present
- Orbit tends to be farther from axis in focusing quadrupoles and closer to axis in defocusing quadrupoles to provide net focusing
- Will find later that if the focusing is sufficiently strong, the orbit can become unstable (see: S5)
- $y$-orbit has the same properties as $x$-orbit due to the periodic structure and AG focusing
- If quadrupoles are rotated about their $z$-axis of symmetry, then the $x$- and $y$-equations become cross-coupled. This is called quadrupole skew coupling (see: Appendix A) and complicates the dynamics.

Some properties of particle orbits in quadrupoles with $\kappa = \text{const}$ will be analyzed in the problem sets
S2D: Alternating Gradient Quadrupole Focusing Magnetic Quadrupoles

In the axial center of a long magnetic quadrupole, model fields as 2D transverse

2D Transverse Fields

\[ E^a = 0 \]

\[ B_x^a = G y \]

\[ B_y^a = G x \]

\[ B_z^a = 0 \]

\[ G \equiv \frac{B_q}{r_p} = \frac{\partial B_x^a}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial B_y^a}{\partial x} \]

\[ G = \text{Magnetic Gradient} \]

\[ B_q = |\mathbf{B}^a|_{r=r_p} = \text{Pole Field} \]

- Conducting Beam Pipe: \( r - r_p \)
- Poles: \( xy = \pm \frac{r_p^2}{2} \)
- Magnetic (ideal iron) poles hyperbolic
- Structure infinitely extruded along \( z \)
Aside: How can you calculate these fields?

Fields satisfy within vacuum aperture:

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}^a = 0 \]
\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{B}^a = 0 \]

\[ \implies \mathbf{B}^a = -\nabla \phi^a \]

Analogous to electric case, BUT magnetic force is different so rotate potential surfaces by 45 degrees:

**Electric**

\[ \mathbf{F}_\perp = -q \frac{\partial \phi^a}{\partial \mathbf{x}_\perp} \]
\[ \phi^a = \text{const}(x^2 - y^2) \]

**Magnetic**

\[ \mathbf{F}_\perp = -q \beta_b c \mathbf{\hat{z}} \times \frac{\partial \phi^a}{\partial \mathbf{x}_\perp} \]

expect electric potential form rotated by 45 degrees ...

\[ x \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} x - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} y \]
\[ y \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} y \]

\[ \phi^a \rightarrow \dot{\phi}^a = -\text{const} \cdot xy \]
\[ B_x^a = -\frac{\partial \phi^a}{\partial x} = \text{const} \cdot x \]
\[ B_y^a = -\frac{\partial \phi^a}{\partial y} = \text{const} \cdot y \]

Require: \[ |B^a| = B_p \quad \text{at} \quad r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = r_p \quad \implies \quad \text{const} = \frac{B_p}{r_p} \]

\[ \implies \phi^a = -\frac{B_p}{r_p} xy \quad G = \frac{B_p}{r_p} \]

Realistic geometries can be considerably more complicated
- Truncated hyperbolic poles, truncated structure in \( z \)
- Both effects give nonlinear focusing terms
Analogously to the electric quadrupole case, take \( G = G(s) \)

- Same comments made on electric quadrupole fringe in S2C are directly applicable to magnetic quadrupoles

### Magnetic quadrupole equations of motion:
- Insert field components into linear applied field equations and collect terms

\[
\begin{align*}
x'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} x' + \kappa(s) x &= - \frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \\
y'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} y' - \kappa(s) y &= - \frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\kappa(s) = \frac{qG}{m \gamma_b \beta_b c} = \frac{G}{[B \rho]}
\]

\[
G = \frac{\partial B_x^a}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial B_y^a}{\partial x} = \frac{B_q}{r_p} \\
[B \rho] = \frac{m \gamma_b \beta_b c}{q}
\]

- Equations identical to the electric quadrupole case in terms of \( \kappa(s) \)
- All comments made on electric quadrupole focusing lattice are immediately applicable to magnetic quadrupoles: just apply different \( \kappa \) definitions in design
- Scaling of \( \kappa \) with energy different than electric case impacts applicability
\[
\kappa = \begin{cases} 
\frac{G}{\beta_b c [B \rho]} & \text{Electric Focusing; } G = \frac{\partial E^a_y}{\partial y} = \frac{2V_q}{r_p^2} \\
\frac{G}{[B \rho]} & \text{Magnetic Focusing; } G = \frac{\partial B^a_x}{\partial y} = \frac{B_q}{r_p} 
\end{cases}
\]

- Electric focusing weaker for higher particle energy (larger \( \beta_b \))
- Technical limit values of gradients
  - Voltage holding for electric
  - Material properties (iron saturation, superconductor limits, ...) for magnetic
- See JJB Intro lectures for discussion on focusing technology choices

Different energy dependence also gives different \textbf{dispersive properties} when beam has axial momentum spread:

\[
\delta \equiv \frac{\delta p}{p_0} = \text{Fractional Momentum Error}
\]

\[
\kappa \rightarrow \begin{cases} 
\frac{\kappa}{(1+\delta)^2} & \text{Electric Focusing} \\
\frac{\kappa}{1+\delta} & \text{Magnetic Focusing} 
\end{cases}
\]

- Electric case further complicated because \( \delta \) couples to the transverse motion since particles crossing higher electrostatic potentials are accelerated/deaccelerated
S2E: Solenoidal Focusing

The field of an ideal magnetic solenoid is invariant under transverse rotations about its axis of symmetry ($z$) can be expanded in terms of the on-axis field as as:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}^a = 0$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}^a = 0$$

Imply $\mathbf{B}^a$ can be expressed in terms of on-axis field $\mathbf{B}_{z}^a(r = 0, z)$

$$\mathbf{E}^a = 0$$

$$\mathbf{B}_\perp^a = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\nu}}{\nu!(\nu-1)!} \frac{\partial^{2\nu-1} B_{z0}(z)}{\partial z^{2\nu-1}} \left( \frac{|\mathbf{x}_\perp|}{2} \right)^{2\nu-2} \mathbf{x}_\perp$$

$$B_z^a = B_{z0}(z) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\nu}}{(\nu!)^2} \frac{\partial^{2\nu} B_{z0}(z)}{\partial z^{2\nu}} \left( \frac{|\mathbf{x}_\perp|}{2} \right)^{2\nu}$$

$$B_{z0}(z) \equiv B_z^a(\mathbf{x}_\perp = 0, z) = \text{On-Axis Field}$$

See Appendix D
or
Reiser, *Theory and Design of Charged Particle Beams*, Sec. 3.3.1
Writing out explicitly the terms of this expansion:

\[ \mathbf{B}^a(r, z) = \hat{r} B_r^a(r, z) + \hat{z} B_z^a(r, z) \]

\[ = (-\hat{x} \sin \theta + \hat{y} \cos \theta) B_r^a(r, z) + \hat{z} B_z^a(r, z) \]

where

\[ B_r^a(r, z) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^\nu}{\nu!(\nu-1)!} B_{z0}^{(2\nu-1)}(z) \left( \frac{r}{2} \right)^{2\nu-1} \]

\[ = -\frac{B_z'(z)}{2} r + \frac{B_z(3)(z)}{16} r^3 - \frac{B_z(5)(z)}{384} r^5 + \frac{B_z(7)(z)}{18432} r^7 - \frac{B_z(9)(z)}{1474560} r^9 + ... \]

\[ B_z^a(r, z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^\nu}{(\nu!)^2} B_{z0}^{(2\nu)}(z) \left( \frac{r}{2} \right)^{2\nu} \]

\[ = B_z(0)(z) - \frac{B_z''(z)}{4} r^2 + \frac{B_z(4)(z)}{64} r^4 - \frac{B_z(6)(z)}{2304} r^6 + \frac{B_z(8)(z)}{147456} r^8 + ... \]

\[ B_z(0)(z) \equiv B_z^a(r = 0, z) = \text{On-axis Field} \]

\[ B_{z0}^{(n)}(z) \equiv \frac{\partial^n B_z(0)(z)}{\partial z^n} \quad B_z'(z) \equiv \frac{\partial B_z(0)(z)}{\partial z} \quad B_z''(z) \equiv \frac{\partial^2 B_z(0)(z)}{\partial z^2} \]

Linear Terms
For modeling, we truncate the expansion using only leading-order terms to obtain:

- Corresponds to **linear dynamics** in the equations of motion

\[
\begin{align*}
B_x^a &= - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial B_{z0}(z)}{\partial z} x \\
B_y^a &= - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial B_{z0}(z)}{\partial z} y \\
B_z^a &= B_{z0}(z) \\
B_{z0}(z) &\equiv B_z^a(x_\perp = 0, z) \\
&= \text{On-Axis Field}
\end{align*}
\]

Note that this truncated expansion is **divergence free**:

\[
\nabla \cdot B^a = - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial B_{z0}(z)}{\partial z} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\perp} \cdot x_\perp + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} B_{z0} = 0
\]

but not curl free within the vacuum aperture:

\[
\nabla \times B^a = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B_{z0}(z)}{\partial z^2} (-\hat{x} y + \hat{y} x) \\
= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B_{z0}(z)}{\partial z^2} r(-\hat{x} \sin \theta + \hat{y} \cos \theta) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B_{z0}(z)}{\partial z^2} r\hat{\theta}
\]

- Nonlinear terms needed to satisfy 3D Maxwell equations
Solenoid equations of motion:

- Insert field components into equations of motion and collect terms

\[
x'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} x' - \frac{B'_z(s)}{2[B\rho]} y - \frac{B_z(s)}{[B\rho]} y' = -\frac{q}{m\gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}
\]

\[
y'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} y' + \frac{B'_z(s)}{2[B\rho]} x + \frac{B_z(s)}{[B\rho]} x' = -\frac{q}{m\gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}
\]

\[
[B\rho] \equiv \frac{\gamma_b \beta_b m c}{q} = \text{Rigidity}
\]

\[
\frac{B_z(s)}{[B\rho]} = \frac{\omega_c(s)}{\gamma_b \beta_b c}
\]

\[
\omega_c(s) = \frac{q B_z(s)}{m} = \text{Cyclotron Frequency}
\]

Equations are linearly cross-coupled in the applied field terms
- \(x\) equation depends on \(y, y'\)
- \(y\) equation depends on \(x, x'\)
It can be shown (see: Appendix B) that the linear cross-coupling in the applied field can be removed by an s-varying transformation to a rotating “Larmor” frame:

\[ \tilde{x} = x \cos \tilde{\psi}(s) + y \sin \tilde{\psi}(s) \]
\[ \tilde{y} = -x \sin \tilde{\psi}(s) + y \cos \tilde{\psi}(s) \]

\[ \tilde{\psi}(s) = - \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \ k_L(\tilde{s}) \]

\[ k_L(s) \equiv \frac{B_{z0}(s)}{2[B \rho]} = \frac{\omega_c(s)}{2\gamma_b \beta_b c} \]

= Larmor wave number

\[ s = s_i \] defines initial condition
If the beam space-charge is *axisymmetric*:

\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_\perp} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_\perp} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \frac{x_\perp}{r}
\]

then the space-charge term also decouples under the Larmor transformation and the equations of motion can be expressed in fully *uncoupled form*:

\[
\ddot{\tilde{x}}' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} \dot{\tilde{x}}' + \kappa(s) \tilde{x} = -\frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \frac{\dot{\tilde{x}}}{r}
\]

\[
\ddot{\tilde{y}}' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} \dot{\tilde{y}}' + \kappa(s) \tilde{y} = -\frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \frac{\dot{\tilde{y}}}{r}
\]

\[
\kappa(s) = k_L^2(s) = \left[ \frac{B_{z0}(s)}{2[B\rho]} \right]^2 = \left[ \frac{\omega_c(s)}{2\gamma_b \beta_b c} \right]^2
\]

Because Larmor frame equations are in the same form as continuous and quadrupole focusing with a different \( \kappa \), for solenoidal focusing we implicitly work in the Larmor frame and simplify notation by dropping the tildes:

\[
\tilde{x}_\perp \rightarrow x_\perp
\]

Will demonstrate this in problems for the simple case of:

\( B_{z0}(s) = \text{const} \)
/// Aside: Notation:

A common theme of this class will be to introduce new effects and generalizations while keeping formulations looking as similar as possible to the the most simple representations given. When doing so, we will often use “tildes” to denote transformed variables to stress that the new coordinates have, in fact, a more complicated form that must be interpreted in the context of the analysis being carried out. Some examples:

- Larmor frame transformations for Solenoidal focusing
  See: Appendix B
- Normalized variables for analysis of accelerating systems
  See: S10
- Coordinates expressed relative to the beam centroid
  See: S.M. Lund, lectures on Transverse Centroid and Envelope Model
- Variables used to analyze Einzel lenses
  See: J.J. Barnard, Introductory Lectures

///
Solenoid periodic lattices can be formed similarly to the quadrupole case

- Drifts placed between solenoids of finite axial length
  - Allows space for diagnostics, pumping, acceleration cells, etc.
- Analogous equivalence cases to quadrupole
  - Piecewise constant $\kappa$ often used
- Fringe can be more important for solenoids

Simple hard-edge solenoid lattice with piecewise constant $\kappa$

$$
\kappa_x(\mathbf{s}) \quad (\kappa_x = \kappa_y) \quad \kappa \\
\frac{d}{2} \quad \ell \quad \frac{d}{2} \quad \frac{d}{2} \quad \frac{d}{2}
$$

$L_p$ Lattice Period

$$
d = (1 - \eta)L_p, \quad \ell = \eta L_p, \quad \eta = \text{Occupancy} \in (0, 1]
$$
Example: Larmor Frame Particle Orbits in a Periodic Solenoidal Focusing Lattice: \( \tilde{x} - \tilde{x}' \) phase-space for hard edge elements and applied fields

\[
\frac{L_p}{2} = 0.5 \text{ m} \quad \kappa = 20 \text{ rad/m}^2 \quad \text{in Solenoids} \quad \tilde{x}(0) = 1 \text{ mm} \quad \tilde{y}(0) = 0 \\
\eta = 0.5 \quad \phi \approx 0 \quad \gamma_b \beta_b = \text{const} \quad \tilde{x}'(0) = 0 \quad \tilde{y}'(0) = 0
\]
Contrast of Larmor-Frame and Lab-Frame Orbits

- Same initial condition

**Larmor-Frame Coordinate** Orbit in transformed $x$-plane only

Lab-Frame Coordinate Orbit in both $x$- and $y$-planes

Calculate using transfer matrices in Appendix C
Contrast of Larmor-Frame and Lab-Frame Orbits

- Same initial condition

Larmor-Frame Angle

Lab-Frame Angle

Calculate using transfer matrices in Appendix C
Additional perspectives of particle orbit in solenoid transport channel

- Same initial condition

**Radius evolution** (Lab or Larmor Frame: radius same)

Side- (2 view points) and End-View Projections of 3D Lab-Frame Orbit

Calculate using transfer matrices in Appendix C
Larmor angle and angular momentum of particle orbit in solenoid transport channel

- Same initial condition

Larmor Angle

\[ \tilde{\psi}(s) = -\int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \ k_L(\tilde{s}) \]

\[ k_L(s) \equiv \frac{B_{z0}(s)}{2|B\rho|} \]

Angular Momentum and Canonical Angular Momentum (see Sec. S2G)

SM Lund, USPAS, 2015
Comments on Orbits:
- See Appendix C for details on calculation
  - Discontinuous fringe of hard-edge model must be treated carefully if integrating in the laboratory-frame.
- Larmor-frame orbits strongly deviate from simple harmonic form due to periodic focusing
  - Multiple harmonics present
  - Less complicated than quadrupole AG focusing case when interpreted in the Larmor frame due to the optic being focusing in both planes
- Orbits transformed back into the Laboratory frame using Larmor transform (see: Appendix B and Appendix C)
  - Laboratory frame orbit exhibits more complicated $x$-$y$ plane coupled oscillatory structure
- Will find later that if the focusing is sufficiently strong, the orbit can become unstable (see: S5)
- Larmor frame $y$-orbits have same properties as the $x$-orbits due to the equations being decoupled and identical in form in each plane
  - In example, Larmor $y$-orbit is zero due to simple initial condition in $x$-plane
  - Lab $y$-orbit is nonzero due to $x$-$y$ coupling
Comments on Orbits (continued):

- Larmor angle advances continuously even for hard-edge focusing
- Mechanical angular momentum jumps discontinuously going into and out of the solenoid
  - Particle spins up and down going into and out of the solenoid
  - No mechanical angular momentum outside of solenoid due to the choice of initial condition in this example (initial $x$-plane motion)
- Canonical angular momentum $P_\theta$ is conserved in the 3D orbit evolution
  - As expected from analysis in S2G
  - Invariance provides a good check on dynamics
  - $P_\theta$ in example has zero value due to the specific ($x$-plane) choice of initial condition. Other choices can give nonzero values and finite mechanical angular momentum in drifts.

Some properties of particle orbits in solenoids with piecewise $\kappa = \text{const}$ will be analyzed in the problem sets
S2F: Summary of Transverse Particle Equations of Motion

In linear applied focusing channels, without momentum spread or radiation, the particle equations of motion in both the \(x\)- and \(y\)-planes expressed as:

\[
\begin{align*}
x'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)' \gamma_b}{\gamma_b \beta_b} x' + \kappa_x(s) x &= - \frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \phi \\
y'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)' \gamma_b}{\gamma_b \beta_b} y' + \kappa_y(s) y &= - \frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \phi 
\end{align*}
\]

\(\kappa_x(s) = \) \(x\)-focusing function of lattice

\(\kappa_y(s) = \) \(y\)-focusing function of lattice

Common focusing functions:

- **Continuous:**
  \(\kappa_x(s) = \kappa_y(s) = k_{\beta 0}^2 = \text{const}\)

- **Quadrupole** (Electric or Magnetic):
  \(\kappa_x(s) = -\kappa_y(s) = \kappa(s)\)

- **Solenoidal** (equations must be interpreted in Larmor Frame: see Appendix B):
  \(\kappa_x(s) = \kappa_y(s) = \kappa(s)\)
Although the equations have the same form, the couplings to the fields are different which leads to different regimes of applicability for the various focusing technologies with their associated technology limits:

**Focusing:**

- **Continuous:**
  \[ \kappa_x(s) = \kappa_y(s) = \kappa_{z0}^2 = \text{const} \]

  Good qualitative guide (see later material/lecture)

  BUT not physically realizable (see S2B)

- **Quadrupole:**
  \[ \kappa_x(s) = -\kappa_y(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{G(s)}{\beta_b c [B \rho]}, & \text{Electric} \\ \frac{G(s)}{c [B \rho]}, & \text{Magnetic} \end{cases} \]

  \[ [B \rho] = \frac{m \gamma_b \beta_b c}{q} \]

  \( G \) is the field gradient which for linear applied fields is:

  \[ G(s) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\partial E_x^a}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial E_y^a}{\partial y} = \frac{2V_q}{r_p^2}, & \text{Electric} \\ \frac{\partial B_x^a}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial B_y^a}{\partial x} = \frac{B_p}{r_p}, & \text{Magnetic} \end{cases} \]

- **Solenoid:**

  \[ \kappa_x(s) = \kappa_y(s) = \kappa_L^2(s) = \left[ \frac{B_{z0}(s)}{2[B \rho]} \right]^2 = \left[ \frac{\omega_c(s)}{2\gamma_b \beta_b c} \right]^2 \]

  \( \omega_c(s) = \frac{qB_{z0}(s)}{m} \)
It is instructive to review the structure of solutions of the transverse particle equations of motion in the absence of:

**Space-charge:** \( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \sim \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \sim 0 \)

**Acceleration:** \( \gamma_b \beta_b \approx \text{const} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} \approx 0 \)

In this simple limit, the \( x \) and \( y \)-equations are of the same Hill's Equation form:

\[
\begin{align*}
x'' + \kappa_x(s)x &= 0 \\
y'' + \kappa_y(s)y &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

- These equations are central to transverse dynamics in conventional accelerator physics (weak space-charge and acceleration)
  - Will study how solutions change with space-charge in later lectures

In many cases beam transport lattices are designed where the applied focusing functions are periodic:

\[
\begin{align*}
\kappa_x(s + L_p) &= \kappa_x(s) \\
\kappa_y(s + L_p) &= \kappa_y(s)
\end{align*} \quad L_p = \text{Lattice Period}
\]
Common, simple examples of periodic lattices:

**Periodic Solenoid**
- \( \kappa_x(s) = \kappa_y \)
- \( s \)

\( d/2 \quad \ell \quad d/2 \quad d/2 \)

\( d = (1 - \eta)L_p \)
\( \ell = \eta L_p \)

**Periodic FODO Quadrupole**
- \( \kappa_x(s) = -\kappa_y \)
- \( s \)

\( \ell \quad d \quad \ell \quad d \quad \ell \quad d \quad \ell \quad d \)

\( d = (1 - \eta)L_p/2 \)
\( \ell = \eta L_p/2 \)
However, the focusing functions need not be periodic:
- Often take periodic or continuous in this class for simplicity of interpretation

Focusing functions can vary strongly in many common situations:
- Matching and transition sections
- Strong acceleration
- Significantly different elements can occur within periods of lattices in rings
  - “Panofsky” type (wide aperture along one plane) quadrupoles for beam insertion and extraction in a ring

**Example of Non-Periodic Focusing Functions: Beam Matching Section**
Maintains alternating-gradient structure but not quasi-periodic

![Matching Section x-Focusing Strength](match.png)

Example corresponds to High Current Experiment Matching Section (hard edge equivalent) at LBNL (2002)
Equations presented in this section apply to a single particle moving in a beam under the action of linear applied focusing forces. In the remaining sections, we will (mostly) neglect space-charge ($\phi \rightarrow 0$) as is conventional in the standard theory of low-intensity accelerators.

- What we learn from treatment will later aid analysis of space-charge effects
  - Appropriate variable substitutions will be made to apply results
- Important to understand basic applied field dynamics since space-charge complicates
  - Results in plasma-like collective response

/// Example: We will see in Transverse Centroid and Envelope Descriptions of Beam Evolution that the linear particle equations of motion can be applied to analyze the evolution of a beam when image charges are neglected

\[
\begin{align*}
  x & \rightarrow x_c \equiv \langle x \rangle_\perp \quad x - \text{centroid} \\
  y & \rightarrow y_c \equiv \langle y \rangle_\perp \quad y - \text{centroid}
\end{align*}
///
S2G: Conservation of Angular Momentum in Axisymmetric Focusing Systems

**Background:**
Goal: find an invariant for axisymmetric focusing systems which can help us further interpret/understand the dynamics.

In Hamiltonian descriptions of beam dynamics one must employ proper canonical conjugate variables such as $(x$-plane):

\[
\begin{align*}
  x &= \text{Canonical Coordinate} \\
  P_x &= p_x + qA_x = \text{Canonical Momentum}
\end{align*}
\]

Here, $A$ denotes the vector potential of the (static for cases of field models considered here) applied magnetic field with:

\[
B^a = \nabla \times A
\]

For the cases of linear applied magnetic fields in this section, we have:

\[
A = \begin{cases}
  \hat{z} \frac{G}{2} (y^2 - x^2), & \text{Magnetic Quadrupole Focusing} \\
  -\hat{x} \frac{1}{2} B_{z0} y + \hat{y} \frac{1}{2} B_{z0} x, & \text{Solenoidal Focusing} \\
  0, & \text{Otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]
For continuous, electric or magnetic quadrupole focusing without acceleration \((\gamma_b \beta_b = \text{const})\), it is straightforward to verify that \(x, x'\) and \(y, y'\) are canonical coordinates and that the correct equations of motion are generated by the Hamiltonian:

\[
H_\perp = \frac{1}{2} x'^2 + \frac{1}{2} y'^2 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_x x^2 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_y y^2 + \frac{q \phi}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^3}
\]

\[
\frac{d}{ds} x = \frac{\partial H_\perp}{\partial x'}
\]

\[
\frac{d}{ds} x' = -\frac{\partial H_\perp}{\partial x}
\]

\[
\frac{d}{ds} y = \frac{\partial H_\perp}{\partial y'}
\]

\[
\frac{d}{ds} y' = -\frac{\partial H_\perp}{\partial y}
\]

Giving the familiar equations of motion:

\[
x'' + \kappa_x x = -\frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}
\]

\[
y'' + \kappa_y y = -\frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}
\]
For solenoidal magnetic focusing without acceleration, it can be verified that we can take (tilde) canonical variables:

- **Tildes do not** denote Larmor transform variables here!

\[ \tilde{x} = x \quad \tilde{y} = y \]
\[ \tilde{x}' = x' - \frac{B_{z0}}{2[B\rho]} y \quad \tilde{y}' = y' + \frac{B_{z0}}{2[B\rho]} x \]

With Hamiltonian:

\[ \tilde{H}_\perp = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \tilde{x}' + \frac{B_{z0}}{2[B\rho]} \tilde{y} \right)^2 + \left( \tilde{y}' - \frac{B_{z0}}{2[B\rho]} \tilde{x} \right)^2 \right] + \frac{q\phi}{m\gamma_b^3\beta_b^2 c^3} \]

\[ \frac{d}{ds} \tilde{x} = \frac{\partial \tilde{H}_\perp}{\partial \tilde{x}'} \quad \frac{d}{ds} \tilde{y} = \frac{\partial \tilde{H}_\perp}{\partial \tilde{y}'} \]
\[ \frac{d}{ds} \tilde{x}' = -\frac{\partial \tilde{H}_\perp}{\partial \tilde{x}} \quad \frac{d}{ds} \tilde{y}' = -\frac{\partial \tilde{H}_\perp}{\partial \tilde{y}} \]

Caution:
Primes do not mean \(d/ds\) in tilde variables here: just notation to distinguish “momentum” variable!

Giving (after some algebra) the familiar equations of motion:

\[ x'' - \frac{B'_{z0}(s)}{2[B\rho]} y - \frac{B_{z0}(s)}{[B\rho]} y' = -\frac{q}{m\gamma_b^3\beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \]
\[ y'' + \frac{B'_{z0}(s)}{2[B\rho]} x + \frac{B_{z0}(s)}{[B\rho]} x' = -\frac{q}{m\gamma_b^3\beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \]
One expects from general considerations (Noether's Theorem in dynamics) that systems with a symmetry have a conservation constraint associated with the generator of the symmetry. So for systems with azimuthal symmetry ($\partial/\partial \theta = 0$), one expects there to be a conserved canonical angular momentum (generator of rotations). Based on the Hamiltonian dynamics structure, examine:

$$P_\theta \equiv [\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{P}] \cdot \hat{z} = [\mathbf{x} \times (\mathbf{p} + q\mathbf{A})] \cdot \hat{z}$$

This is exactly equivalent to

- Here $\gamma$ factor is exact (not paraxial)

$$P_\theta = (xp_y - yp_x) + q(xA_y - yA_x)$$
$$= r(p_\theta + qA_\theta) = m\gamma r^2 \dot{\theta} + qrA_\theta$$

Or employing the usual paraxial approximation steps:

$$P_\theta \simeq m\gamma_b \beta_b c(xy' - yx') + q(xA_y - yA_x)$$
$$= m\gamma_b \beta_b cr^2 \theta' + qrA_\theta$$
Inserting the vector potential components consistent with linear approximation solenoid focusing in the paraxial expression gives:

- Applies to (superimposed or separately) to continuous, magnetic or electric quadrupole, or solenoidal focusing since $A_\theta \neq 0$ only for solenoidal focusing

\[
P_\theta \approx m\gamma_b\beta_b c (xy' - yx') + \frac{qB_{z0}}{2}(x^2 + y^2)
\]
\[
= m\gamma_b\beta_b cr^2\theta' + \frac{qB_{z0}}{2}r^2
\]

For a coasting beam ($\gamma_b\beta_b = \text{const}$), it is often convenient to analyze:

- Later we will find this is analogous to use of “unnormalized” variables used in calculation of ordinary emittance rather than normalized emittance

\[
\frac{P_\theta}{m\gamma_b\beta_b c} = xy' - yx' + \frac{B_{z0}}{2[B\rho]}(x^2 + y^2) \quad [B\rho] = \frac{m\gamma_b\beta_b c}{q}
\]
\[
= r^2\theta' + \frac{B_{z0}}{2[B\rho]}r^2
\]
Conservation of canonical angular momentum

To investigate situations where the canonical angular momentum is a constant of the motion for a beam evolving in linear applied fields, we differentiate $P_\theta$ with respect to $s$ and apply equations of motion

**Equations of Motion:**

**Including acceleration effects again**, we summarize the equations of motion as:

- Applies to continuous, quadrupole (electric + magnetic), and solenoid focusing as expressed
- Several types of focusing can also be superimposed
  - Show for superimposed solenoid

\[
\begin{align*}
x'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} x' + \kappa_x x &= -\frac{B'_z(s)}{2[B\rho]} y - \frac{B_z(s)}{[B\rho]} y' = -\frac{q}{m\gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \\
y'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} y' + \kappa_y y &= +\frac{B'_z(s)}{2[B\rho]} x + \frac{B_z(s)}{[B\rho]} x' = -\frac{q}{m\gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
[B\rho] = \frac{m\gamma_b \beta_b c}{q}
\]

\[
\kappa_x(s) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{k_{\beta 0}^2}{\beta_b c [B\rho]} = \text{const}, & \text{Continuous Focus (}\kappa_y = \kappa_x\text{)} \\
\frac{G(s)}{\beta_b c [B\rho]}, & \text{Electric Quadrupole Focus (}\kappa_y = -\kappa_x\text{)} \\
\frac{G(s)}{c [B\rho]}, & \text{Magnetic Quadrupole Focus (}\kappa_y = -\kappa_x\text{)}
\end{cases}
\]
Employ the paraxial form of $P_\theta$ consistent with the possible existence of a solenoid magnetic field:

- Formula also applies as expressed to continuous and quadrupole focusing

\[ P_\theta = m\gamma_b\beta_b c(xy' - yx') + \frac{qB_{z0}}{2}(x^2 + y^2) \]

Differentiate and apply equations of motion:

- Intermediate algebraic steps not shown

\[ \frac{d}{ds}P_\theta = mc(\gamma_b\beta_b)'(xy' - yx') + mc(\gamma_b\beta_b)(xy'' - yx'') \]

\[ + \frac{qB'}{2}(x^2 + y^2) + qB_{z0}(xx' + yy') \]

\[ = mc(\gamma_b\beta_b)[\kappa_x - \kappa_y]xy - \frac{q}{\gamma_b^2\beta_b c}\left( x\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} - y\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right) \]

So IF:

1) $\kappa_x = \kappa_y$

- Valid continuous or solenoid focusing
- Invalid for quadrupole focusing

2) $x\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} - y\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta} = 0$

- Axisymmetric beam

\[ \frac{d}{ds}P_\theta = 0 \implies P_\theta = \text{const} \]
For:
- Continuous focusing
- Linear optics solenoid magnetic focusing
- Other axisymmetric electric optics not covered such as Einzel lenses …

\[
P_\theta = m\gamma_b \beta_b c (xy' - yx') + \frac{qB_{z0}}{2} (x^2 + y^2) = \text{const}
\]

\[
m\gamma_b \beta_b c (xy' - yx') = \text{Mechanical Angular Momentum Term}
\]

\[
\frac{qB_{z0}}{2} (x^2 + y^2) = \text{Vector Potential Angular Momentum Term}
\]

In \textbf{S2E} we plot for solenoidal focusing:
- Mechanical angular momentum \( \propto xy' - yx' \)
- Larmor rotation angle \( \tilde{\psi} \)
- Canonical angular momentum (constant) \( P_\theta \)

Comments:
- Where valid, \( P_\theta = \text{const} \) provides a powerful constraint to check dynamics
- If \( P_\theta = \text{const} \) for all particles, then \( \langle P_\theta \rangle = \text{const} \) for the beam as a whole
  and it is found in envelope models that canonical angular momentum can act effectively act phase-space area (emittance-like term) defocusing the beam
- Valid for acceleration: similar to a “normalized emittance”: see \textbf{S10}
Example: solenoidal focusing channel

Employ the solenoid focusing channel example in S2E and plot:

- Mechanical angular momentum $\propto xy' - yx'$
- Vector potential contribution to canonical angular momentum $\propto B_{z0}(x^2 + y^2)$
- Canonical angular momentum (constant) $P_\theta$

\[
\frac{P_\theta}{m\gamma_b\beta_b c} = xy' - yx' + \frac{B_{z0}}{2[B\rho]}(x^2 + y^2) = \text{const} = \text{Canonical Angular Momentum}
\]

\[
x y' - y x' = r^2 \theta' = \text{Mechanical Angular Momentum}
\]

\[
\frac{B_{z0}}{2[B\rho]}(x^2 + y^2) = \sqrt{\kappa}(x^2 + y^2) = \text{Vector Potential Component Canonical Angular Momentum}
\]
Comments on Orbits (see also info in S2E on 3D orbit):
• Mechanical angular momentum jumps discontinuously going into and out of the solenoid
  - Particle spins up (θ′ jumps) and down going into and out of the solenoid
  - No mechanical angular momentum outside of solenoid due to the choice of initial condition in this example (initial x-plane motion)
• Canonical angular momentum $P_\theta$ is conserved in the 3D orbit evolution
  - Invariance provides a strong check on dynamics
  - $P_\theta$ in example has zero value due to the specific (x-plane) choice of initial condition of the particle. Other choices can give nonzero values and finite mechanical angular momentum in drifts.
• Solenoid provides focusing due to radial kicks associated with the “fringe” field entering the solenoid
  - Kick is abrupt for hard-edge solenoids
  - Details on radial kick/rotation structure can be found in Appendix C
Alternative expressions of canonical angular momentum

It is insightful to express the canonical angular momentum in (denoted tilde here) in the solenoid focusing canonical variables used earlier in this section and rotating Larmor frame variables:

- See Appendix B for Larmor frame transform
- Might expect simpler form of expressions given the relative simplicity of the formulation in canonical and Larmor frame variables

**Canonical Variables:**

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{x} &= x \\
\tilde{y} &= y \\
\tilde{x}' &= x' - \frac{B_{z0}}{2[B\rho]} y \\
\tilde{y}' &= y' + \frac{B_{z0}}{2[B\rho]} x 
\end{align*}
\]

\[\Rightarrow \quad \frac{P_{\theta}}{m\gamma_b \beta_b c} \equiv xy' - yx' + \frac{B_{z0}}{2[B\rho]} (x^2 + y^2) = \tilde{x}\tilde{y}' - \tilde{x}\tilde{y}'\]

- Applies to acceleration also since just employing transform as a definition here
Larmor (Rotating) Frame Variables:

Larmor transform following formulation in Appendix B:

- Here tildes denote Larmor frame variables

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  x \\
  x' \\
  y \\
  y'
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
  \cos \tilde{\psi} & 0 & -\sin \tilde{\psi} & 0 \\
  k_L \sin \tilde{\psi} & \cos \tilde{\psi} & k_L \cos \tilde{\psi} & -\sin \tilde{\psi} \\
  \sin \tilde{\psi} & 0 & \cos \tilde{\psi} & 0 \\
  -k_L \cos \tilde{\psi} & \sin \tilde{\psi} & k_L \sin \tilde{\psi} & \cos \tilde{\psi}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
  \tilde{x} \\
  \tilde{x}' \\
  \tilde{y} \\
  \tilde{y}'
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\tilde{\psi}(s) = -\int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \, k_L(\tilde{s})
\]

\[
k_L(s) \equiv \frac{B_{z0}(s)}{2[B\rho]}
\]

gives after some algebra:

\[
\tilde{x}^2 + \tilde{y}^2 = x^2 + y^2
\]

\[
x y' - y x' = \tilde{x} \tilde{y}' - \tilde{y} \tilde{x}' - \frac{B_{z0}}{2[B\rho]}(\tilde{x}^2 + \tilde{y}^2)
\]

Showing that:

\[
\frac{P_\theta}{m\gamma_b \beta_b c} \equiv x y' - y x' + \frac{B_{z0}}{2[B\rho]}(x^2 + y^2)
\]

\[
= \tilde{x} \tilde{y}' - \tilde{y} \tilde{x}'
\]

- Same form as previous canonical variable case due to notation choices. However, steps/variables and implications different in this case!
Bush's Theorem expression of canonical angular momentum conservation

Take:

\[ \mathbf{B}^a = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \]

and apply Stokes Theorem to calculate the magnetic flux \( \Psi \) through a circle of radius \( r \):

\[ \Psi = \int_{r} d^2x \mathbf{B}^a \cdot \hat{z} = \int_{r} d^2x (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \cdot \hat{z} = \oint A \cdot d\ell \]

For a nonlinear, but axisymmetric solenoid, one can always take:
- Also applies to linear field component case

\[ \mathbf{A} = \hat{\theta} A_\theta (r, z) \]

\[ \implies \quad \mathbf{B}^a = -\hat{r} \frac{\partial A_\theta}{\partial z} + \hat{z} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r A_\theta) \]

Thus:

\[ \Psi = 2\pi r A_\theta \]
// Aside: Nonlinear Application of Vector Potential

Given the magnetic field components

\[ B_r^a(r, z) \quad B_z^a(r, z) \]

the equations

\[ B_r^a(r, z) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_\theta(r, z) \]
\[ B_z^a(r, z) = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}[r A_\theta(r, z)] \]

can be integrated for a single isolated magnet to obtain equivalent expressions for \( A_\theta \)

\[ A_\theta(r, z) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\bar{z}} d\bar{z} \ B_r^a(r, \bar{z}) \]
\[ A_\theta(r, z) = \frac{1}{r} \int_{0}^{\bar{r}} d\bar{r} \ B_z^a(\bar{r}, z) \]

\[ \text{\textbullet\ Resulting } A_\theta \text{ contains consistent nonlinear terms with magnetic field} \]
Then the exact form of the canonical angular momentum for solenoid focusing can be expressed as:

Here $\gamma$ factor is exact (not paraxial)

$$P_{\theta} = m\gamma r^2 \dot{\theta} + qrA_{\theta}$$

$$= m\gamma r^2 \dot{\theta} + \frac{q\Psi}{2\pi}$$

This form is often applied in solenoidal focusing and is known as “Bush's Theorem” with

$$P_{\theta} = m\gamma r^2 \dot{\theta} + \frac{q\Psi}{2\pi} = \text{const}$$

- In a static applied magnetic field, $\gamma = \text{const}$ further simplifying use of eqn
- Exact as expressed, but easily modified using familiar steps for paraxial form and/or linear field components
- Expresses how a particle “spins up” when entering a solenoidal magnetic field
Appendix A: Quadrupole Skew Coupling

Consider a quadrupole actively rotated through an angle $\psi$ about the $z$-axis:

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{x} &= x \cos \psi + y \sin \psi \\
\tilde{y} &= -x \sin \psi + y \cos \psi
\end{align*}
\]

Normal Orientation Fields

**Electric**

\[
\begin{align*}
E_x &= -G x \\
E_y &= G y
\end{align*}
\]

**Magnetic**

\[
\begin{align*}
B_x &= G y \\
B_y &= G x
\end{align*}
\]

\[G = G(s)\]

\[= \text{Field Gradient (Electric or Magnetic)}\]

Note: units of $G$ different in electric and magnetic cases
Rotated Fields

Electric

\[ E_x^a = E_x^a \cos \psi - E_y^a \sin \psi \quad E_x^a = -G\tilde{x} = -G(\ x \cos \psi + y \sin \psi) \]
\[ E_y^a = E_x^a \sin \psi + E_y^a \cos \psi \quad E_y^a = G\tilde{y} = G(-x \sin \psi + y \cos \psi) \]

Combine equations, collect terms, and apply trigonometric identities to obtain:

\[ E_x^a = -G \cos(2\psi)x - G \sin(2\psi)y \]
\[ 2 \sin \psi \cos \psi = \sin(2\psi) \]
\[ \cos^2 \psi - \sin^2 \psi = \cos(2\psi) \]
\[ E_y^a = -G \sin(2\psi)x + G \cos(2\psi)y \]

Magnetic

\[ B_x^a = B_x^a \cos \psi - B_y^a \sin \psi \quad B_x^a = G\tilde{y} = G(-x \sin \psi + y \cos \psi) \]
\[ B_y^a = B_x^a \sin \psi + B_y^a \cos \psi \quad B_y^a = G\tilde{x} = G(\ x \cos \psi + y \sin \psi) \]

Combine equations, collect terms, and apply trigonometric identities to obtain:

\[ B_x^a = -G \sin(2\psi)x + G \cos(2\psi)y \]
\[ B_y^a = \ G \cos(2\psi)x + G \sin(2\psi)y \]
For both electric and magnetic focusing quadrupoles, these field component projections can be inserted in the linear field Eqns of motion to obtain:

**Skew Coupled Quadrupole Equations of Motion**

\[
x'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} x' + \kappa \cos(2\psi)x + \kappa \sin(2\psi)y = -\frac{q}{m\gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}
\]

\[
y'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} y' - \kappa \cos(2\psi)y + \kappa \sin(2\psi)x = -\frac{q}{m\gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}
\]

\[
\kappa = \begin{cases} 
\frac{G}{\beta_b c [B \rho]}, & \text{Electric Focusing} \\
\frac{G}{[B \rho]}, & \text{Magnetic Focusing}
\end{cases}
\]

System is skew coupled:
- $x$-equation depends on $y$, $y'$ and $y$-equation on $x$, $x'$ for $\psi \neq n\pi / 2$ (n integer)
- Skew-coupling considerably complicates dynamics
- Unless otherwise specified, we consider only quadrupoles with “normal” orientation with $\psi = n\pi / 2$
- Skew coupling errors or intentional skew couplings can be important
  - Leads to transfer of oscillations energy between $x$ and $y$-planes
  - Invariants much more complicated to construct/interpret
The skew coupled equations of motion can be alternatively derived by actively rotating the quadrupole equation of motion in the form:

\[
x'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} x' + \kappa(s)x = -\frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}
\]

\[
y'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} y' - \kappa(s)y = -\frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}
\]

_steps are then identical whether quadrupoles are electric or magnetic._
Appendix B: The Larmor Transform to Express Solenoidal Focused Particle Equations of Motion in Uncoupled Form

Solenoid equations of motion:

\[
x'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} x' - \frac{B'_z(s)}{2[B\rho]} y - \frac{B_z(s)}{[B\rho]} y' = -\frac{q}{m\gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}
\]

\[
y'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} y' + \frac{B'_z(s)}{2[B\rho]} x + \frac{B_z(s)}{[B\rho]} x' = -\frac{q}{m\gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}
\]

\[B_z(s) = B_z^a(r = 0, z = s) = \text{On-Axis Field}\]

\[[B\rho] = \frac{\gamma_b \beta_b mc}{q} = \text{Rigidity}\]

To simplify algebra, introduce the complex coordinate

\[z \equiv x + iy\]

\[i \equiv \sqrt{-1}\]

Note* context clarifies use of \(i\)

(particle index, initial cond, complex \(i\))

Then the two equations can be expressed as a single complex equation

\[z'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} z' + i \frac{B'_z(s)}{2[B\rho]} z + i \frac{B_z(s)}{[B\rho]} z' = -\frac{q}{m\gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}\right)\]
If the potential is axisymmetric with $\phi = \phi(r)$:

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \frac{z}{r} \quad r \equiv \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$$

then the complex form equation of motion reduces to:

$$\ddot{z}'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} \dot{z}' + i \frac{B_z'(s)}{2[B\rho]} z + i \frac{B_z(s)}{[B\rho]} \dot{z}' = -\frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \frac{z}{r}$$

Following Wiedemann, Vol II, pg 82, introduce a transformed complex variable that is a local ($s$-varying) rotation:

$$\tilde{z} \equiv \tilde{z} e^{-i \tilde{\psi}(s)} = \tilde{x} + i \tilde{y}$$

$$\tilde{\psi}(s) = \text{phase-function (real-valued)}$$
Then:  \[ z = \tilde{z} e^{i\tilde{\psi}} \]
\[ z' = \left( \tilde{z}' + i\tilde{\psi}' \tilde{z} \right) e^{i\tilde{\psi}} \]
\[ z'' = \left( \tilde{z}'' + 2i\tilde{\psi}' \tilde{z}' + i\tilde{\psi}'' \tilde{z} - \tilde{\psi}'^2 \tilde{z} \right) e^{i\tilde{\psi}} \]

and the complex form equations of motion become:

\[
\tilde{z}'' + \left[ i \left( 2\tilde{\psi}' + \frac{B_{z0}}{[B\rho]} \right) + \frac{(\gamma_b\beta_b)' \tilde{\psi}}{(\gamma_b\beta_b)} \right] \tilde{z}' \\
+ \left[ -\tilde{\psi}'^2 - \frac{B_{z0}}{[B\rho]} \tilde{\psi}' + i \left( \tilde{\psi}'' + \frac{B'_{z0}}{2[B\rho]} + \frac{(\gamma_b\beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b\beta_b)} \tilde{\psi}' \right) \right] \tilde{z} \\
= -\frac{q}{m\gamma_b^3\beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \tilde{z} \\
\]

Free to choose the form of \( \tilde{\psi} \) Can choose to eliminate imaginary terms in \( i( .... ) \) in equation by taking:

\[
\tilde{\psi}' \equiv -\frac{B_{z0}}{2[B\rho]} \\
\implies \tilde{\psi}'' = -\frac{B'_{z0}}{2[B\rho]} + \frac{B_{z0}}{2[B\rho]} \frac{(\gamma_b\beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b\beta_b)} 
\]
Using these results, the complex form equations of motion reduce to:

\[
\ddot{z}'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} \dot{z}' + \left( \frac{B_{z0}}{2 [B \rho]} \right)^2 \ddot{z} = -\frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \frac{\ddot{z}}{r}
\]

Or using \( \tilde{z} = \tilde{x} + i \tilde{y} \), the equations can be expressed in decoupled \( \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \) variables in the Larmor Frame as:

\[
\dddot{x}'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} \dddot{x}' + \kappa(s) \dot{x} = -\frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \frac{\ddot{x}}{r}
\]

\[
\dddot{y}'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} \dddot{y}' + \kappa(s) \dot{y} = -\frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \frac{\ddot{y}}{r}
\]

\[
\kappa(s) \equiv k_L^2(s) \quad k_L(s) \equiv \frac{B_{z0}(s)}{2 [B \rho]} = \frac{\omega_c(s)}{2 \gamma_b \beta_b c} \quad [B \rho] = \frac{\gamma_b \beta_b m c}{q}
\]

Equations of motion are uncoupled but must be interpreted in the rotating Larmor frame

- Same form as quadrupoles but with focusing function same sign in each plane
The rotational transformation to the **Larmor Frame** can be effected by integrating the equation for

\[ \dot{\psi} = -\frac{B_{z0}}{2[B\rho]} \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \frac{B_{z0}(\tilde{s})}{2[B\rho]} = -\int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} k_L(\tilde{s}) \]

Here, \(s_i\) is some value of \(s\) where the initial conditions are taken.

- Take \(s = s_i\) where axial field is zero for simplest interpretation (see: pg B6)

Because

\[ \dot{\psi} = -\frac{B_{z0}}{2[B\rho]} = \frac{\omega_c}{2\gamma_b\beta_b c} \]

the local \(\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}\) Larmor frame is rotating at \(\frac{1}{2}\) of the local \(s\)-varying cyclotron frequency

- If \(B_{z0} = \text{const}\), then the Larmor frame is uniformly rotating as is well known from elementary textbooks (see problem sets)
The complex form phase-space transformation and inverse transformations are:

\[ \tilde{z} = \tilde{z} e^{i\tilde{\psi}} \]
\[ \tilde{\psi}' = \left( \tilde{\psi}' + i\tilde{\psi}' \tilde{z} \right) e^{i\tilde{\psi}} \]
\[ \tilde{z} = \tilde{z} e^{-i\tilde{\psi}} \]
\[ \tilde{\psi}' = \left( \tilde{\psi}' - i\tilde{\psi}' \tilde{z} \right) e^{-i\tilde{\psi}} \]

Apply to:
- Project initial conditions from lab-frame when integrating equations
- Project integrated solution back to lab-frame to interpret solution

If the initial condition \( s = s_i \) is taken outside of the magnetic field where \( B_{z0}(s_i) = 0 \), then:

\[ \tilde{x}(s = s_i) = x(s = s_i) \]
\[ \tilde{y}(s = s_i) = y(s = s_i) \]
\[ \tilde{z}(s = s_i) = z(s = s_i) \]
\[ \tilde{x}'(s = s_i) = x'(s = s_i) \]
\[ \tilde{y}'(s = s_i) = y'(s = s_i) \]
\[ \tilde{z}'(s = s_i) = z'(s = s_i) \]

\[ \tilde{\psi}' = -k_L \]
The transform and inverse transform between the laboratory and rotating frames can then be applied to project initial conditions into the rotating frame for integration and then the rotating frame solution back into the laboratory frame.

Using the real and imaginary parts of the complex-valued transformations:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  x \\
  x' \\
  y \\
  y'
\end{bmatrix}
= \tilde{M}_r(s|s_i) \cdot
\begin{bmatrix}
  \tilde{x} \\
  \tilde{x}' \\
  \tilde{y} \\
  \tilde{y}'
\end{bmatrix}
= \tilde{M}^{-1}_r(s|s_i) \cdot
\begin{bmatrix}
  x \\
  x' \\
  y \\
  y'
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\tilde{M}_r(s|s_i) =
\begin{bmatrix}
  \cos \tilde{\psi} & 0 & -\sin \tilde{\psi} & 0 \\
  k_L \sin \tilde{\psi} & \cos \tilde{\psi} & k_L \cos \tilde{\psi} & -\sin \tilde{\psi} \\
  \sin \tilde{\psi} & 0 & \cos \tilde{\psi} & 0 \\
  -k_L \cos \tilde{\psi} & \sin \tilde{\psi} & k_L \sin \tilde{\psi} & \cos \tilde{\psi}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\tilde{M}^{-1}_r(s|s_i) =
\begin{bmatrix}
  \cos \tilde{\psi} & 0 & \sin \tilde{\psi} & 0 \\
  k_L \sin \tilde{\psi} & \cos \tilde{\psi} & -k_L \cos \tilde{\psi} & \sin \tilde{\psi} \\
  -\sin \tilde{\psi} & 0 & \cos \tilde{\psi} & 0 \\
  k_L \cos \tilde{\psi} & -\sin \tilde{\psi} & k_L \sin \tilde{\psi} & \cos \tilde{\psi}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Here we used: \[\tilde{\psi}' = -k_L\] and it can be verified that: \[\tilde{M}^{-1}_r = \text{Inverse}[\tilde{M}_r]\]
Appendix C: Transfer Matrices for Hard-Edge Solenoidal Focusing

Using results and notation from Appendix B, derive transfer matrix for single particle orbit with:

- No space-charge
- No momentum spread

First, the solution to the Larmor-frame equations of motion:

\[
\ddot{x}'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} \dot{x}' + \kappa(s) \ddot{x} = 0 \\
\ddot{y}'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} \dot{y}' + \kappa(s) \ddot{y} = 0 
\]

Can be expressed as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\ddot{x} \\
\dot{x}' \\
\ddot{y} \\
\dot{y}'
\end{bmatrix}_z = \widehat{M}_L(z \mid z_i) \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
\ddot{x} \\
\dot{x}' \\
\ddot{y} \\
\dot{y}'
\end{bmatrix}_{z=z_i}
\]

- In this appendix we use \(z\) rather than \(s\) for the axial coordinate since there are not usually bends in a solenoid

Details of decompositions can be found in: Conte and Mackay, “An Introduction to the Physics of Particle Accelerators” (2nd edition; 2008)
Transforming the solution back to the laboratory frame:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  x \\
x' \\
y \\
y'
\end{bmatrix}_z = \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_r(z|z_i) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_L(z|z_i) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{M}}^{-1}_r(z_i|z_i) \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
  x \\
x' \\
y \\
y'
\end{bmatrix}_{z=z_i}
\]

\[= I \text{ Identity Matrix} \]

- Here we assume the initial condition is outside the magnetic field so that there is no adjustment to the Larmor frame angles, i.e., \(\tilde{\mathbf{M}}^{-1}_r(z_i|z_i) = I\)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
x \\
x' \\
y \\
y'
\end{bmatrix}_z = \mathbf{M}(z|z_i) \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
x \\
x' \\
y \\
y'
\end{bmatrix}_{z=z_i}
\]

\[
\mathbf{M}(z|z_i) = \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_r(z|z_i) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_L(z|z_i)
\]

- Care must be taken when applying to discontinuous (hard-edge) field models of solenoids to correctly calculate transfer matrices
  - Fringe field influences beam “spin-up” and “spin-down” entering and exiting the magnet
Apply formulation to a hard-edge solenoid with no acceleration \((\gamma_b \beta_b)' = 0\):

\[
\begin{align*}
B_{z0}(z) &= \hat{B}_z \left[ \Theta(z) - \Theta(z - \ell) \right] \\
\hat{B}_z &= \text{const} = \text{Hard-Edge Field} \\
\ell &= \text{const} = \text{Hard-Edge Magnet Length}
\end{align*}
\]

Note coordinate choice: \(z=0\) is start of magnet.

Calculate the Larmor-frame transfer matrix in \(0 \leq z \leq \ell\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\dddot{x}'' + k_L^2 \ddot{x} &= 0 \\
\dddot{y}'' + k_L^2 \ddot{y} &= 0 \\
k_L &= \frac{qB_{z0}}{2\gamma_b \beta_b mc} = \frac{B_{z0}}{2[B\rho]} = \frac{\hat{B}_z}{2[B\rho]} = \text{const}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\tilde{M}_L(z|0^-) = \begin{bmatrix}
C & S/k_L & 0 & 0 \\
-k_L S & C & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & C & S/k_L \\
0 & 0 & -k_L S & C
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
C \equiv \cos(k_L z) \quad S \equiv \sin(k_L z)
\]

Subtle Point:

Larmor frame transfer matrix is valid both sides of discontinuity in focusing entering and exiting solenoid.

C3
The Larmor-frame transfer matrix can be decomposed as:

\[ \tilde{M}_L(z|0^-) = \begin{bmatrix} C & S/k_L & 0 & 0 \\ -k_LS & C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C & S/k_L \\ 0 & 0 & -k_LS & C \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F(z) & 0 \\ 0 & F(z) \end{bmatrix} \]

with

\[ \tilde{F}(z) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} C(z) & S(z)/k_L \\ -k_LS(z) & C(z) \end{bmatrix} \quad \quad 0 \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

Using results from Appendix E, \( F \) can be further decomposed as:

\[
\tilde{F}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} C(z) & S(z)/k_L \\ -k_LS(z) & C(z) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{k_L} \tan \left( \frac{k_L z}{2} \right) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -k_L \sin(k_L z) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{k_L} \tan \left( \frac{k_L z}{2} \right) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{M}_{\text{drift}}(z) \cdot \text{M}_{\text{thin-lens}}(z) \cdot \text{M}_{\text{drift}}(z) \end{bmatrix}
\]
Applying these results and the formulation of Appendix B, we obtain the rotation matrix within the magnet $0 < z < \ell$:

Here we apply $\tilde{M}_r$ formula with $\tilde{\psi} = -k_L z$ for the hard-edge solenoid.

\[
\tilde{M}_r(z|0^-) = \begin{bmatrix}
C & 0 & S & 0 \\
-k_L S & C & k_L C & S \\
-S & 0 & C & 0 \\
-k_L C & -S & -k_L S & C \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Comment: Careful with minus signs! Here, $C$ and $S$ here have positive arguments as defined.

With special magnet end-forms:

Here we exploit continuity of $\tilde{M}_r$ in Larmor frame.

**Entering solenoid**

\[
\tilde{M}_r(0^+|0^-) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & k_L & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-k_L & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Direct plug-in from formula above for $\tilde{M}_r$ at $z = 0^+$

**Exiting solenoid**

\[
\tilde{M}_r(\ell^+|\ell^-) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -k_L & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
k_L & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Slope of fringe field is reversed so replace in entrance formula:

$k_L \rightarrow -k_L$
The rotation matrix through the full solenoid is (plug in to previous formula for $\tilde{M}_r(z|0^-)$):

$$\tilde{M}_r(\ell + |0^-) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \Phi & 0 & \sin \Phi & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \Phi & 0 & \sin \Phi \\ -\sin \Phi & 0 & \cos \Phi & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin \Phi & 0 & \cos \Phi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I \cos \Phi & I \sin \Phi \\ -I \sin \Phi & I \cos \Phi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Phi \equiv k_L \ell$$

and the rotation matrix within the solenoid is (plug into formula for $\tilde{M}_r(z|0^-)$ and apply algebra to resolve sub-forms):

$$\tilde{M}_r(z|0^-) = \begin{bmatrix} C(z) & 0 & S(z) & 0 \\ 0 & C(z) & 0 & S(z) \\ -S(z) & 0 & C(z) & 0 \\ 0 & -S(z) & 0 & C(z) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & k_L & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -k_L & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \tilde{M}_r(z|0^+) \cdot \tilde{M}_r(0^+|0^-)$$

$$= \tilde{M}_r(z|0^+) \cdot \tilde{M}_r(0^+|0^-) \quad 0 < z < \ell$$

Note that the rotation matrix kick entering the solenoid is expressible as

$$\tilde{M}_r(0^+|0^-) = \begin{bmatrix} I & K \\ -K & I \end{bmatrix}$$

C6
The lab-frame advance matrices are then (after expanding matrix products):

Inside Solenoid \( 0^+ \leq z \leq \ell^- \)

\[
\mathbf{M}(z|0^-) = \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_r(z|0^-)\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_L(z|0^-)
\]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix}
\cos^2\phi & \frac{1}{2k_L} \sin(2\phi) & \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\phi) & \frac{1}{k_L} \sin^2\phi \\
-k_L \sin(2\phi) & \cos(2\phi) & k_L \cos(2\phi) & \sin(2\phi) \\
-\frac{1}{2} \sin(2\phi) & -\frac{1}{k_L} \sin^2\phi & \cos^2\phi & \frac{1}{2k_L} \sin(2\phi) \\
-k_L \cos(2\phi) & -\sin(2\phi) & -k_L \sin(2\phi) & \cos(2\phi)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[\phi \equiv k_L z\]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix}
C(z)\mathbf{I} & S(z)\mathbf{I} \\
-S(z)\mathbf{I} & C(z)\mathbf{I}
\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{K} \\
-\mathbf{K} & \mathbf{I}
\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{F}(z) & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{F}(z)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix}
C(z)\mathbf{I} - S(z)\mathbf{K} & C(z)\mathbf{K} + S(z)\mathbf{I} \\
-C(z)\mathbf{K} - S(z)\mathbf{I} & C(z)\mathbf{I} - S(z)\mathbf{K}
\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{F}(z) & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{F}(z)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix}
C(z)\mathbf{F}(z) - S(z)\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{F}(z) & C(z)\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{F}(z) + S(z)\mathbf{F}'(z) \\
-C(z)\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{F}(z) - S(z)\mathbf{F}(z) & C(z)\mathbf{F}(z) - S(z)\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{F}(z)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[\text{\textbullet \ 2^\text{nd} forms useful to see structure of transfer matrix}\]
Through entire Solenoid  \[ z = \ell^+ \]

\[
\mathbf{M}(\ell^+|0^-) = \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_r(\ell^+|0^-)\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_L(\ell^+|0^-)
\]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix}
    \cos^2 \Phi & \frac{1}{2k_L} \sin(2\Phi) & \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\Phi) & \frac{1}{k_L} \sin^2 \Phi \\
    -k_L \sin(2\Phi) & \cos^2 \Phi & -k_L \sin^2 \Phi & \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\Phi) \\
    -\frac{1}{2} \sin(2\Phi) & -\frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \Phi & \cos^2 \Phi & \frac{1}{2k_L} \sin(2\Phi) \\
    k_L \sin^2 \Phi & -\frac{1}{2} \sin(2\Phi) & -\frac{k_L}{2} \sin(2\Phi) & \cos^2 \Phi
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ \Phi \equiv k_L \ell \]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix}
    \cos \Phi \mathbf{I} & \sin \Phi \mathbf{I} \\
    -\sin \Phi \mathbf{I} & \cos \Phi \mathbf{I}
\end{bmatrix}
\cdot
\begin{bmatrix}
    \mathbf{F}(\ell) & 0 \\
    0 & \mathbf{F}(\ell)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix}
    \cos \Phi \mathbf{F}(\ell) & \sin \Phi \mathbf{F}(\ell) \\
    -\sin \Phi \mathbf{F}(\ell) & \cos \Phi \mathbf{F}(\ell)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ \text{• 2}^{nd} \text{ forms useful to see structure of transfer matrix} \]

Note that due to discontinuous fringe field:

\[
\mathbf{M}(0^+|0^-) = \begin{bmatrix}
    1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & 1 & k_L & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
    -k_L & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
    \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{K} \\
    -\mathbf{K} & \mathbf{I}
\end{bmatrix} \neq \mathbf{I}
\]

Fringe going in kicks angles of beam
Due to fringe exiting kicking angles of beam

In more realistic model with a continuously varying fringe to zero, all transfer matrix components will vary continuously across boundaries
- Still important to get this right in idealized designs often taken as a first step!

Focusing kicks on particles entering/exiting the solenoid can be calculated as:

**Entering:**
\[
\begin{align*}
x(0^+) &= x(0^-) \\
x'(0^+) &= x'(0^-) + k_L y(0^-) \\
y(0^+) &= y(0^-) \\
y'(0^+) &= y'(0^-) - k_L x(0^-)
\end{align*}
\]

**Exiting:**
\[
\begin{align*}
x(\ell^+) &= x(\ell^-) \\
x'(\ell^+) &= x'(\ell^-) - k_L y(\ell^-) \\
y(\ell^+) &= y(\ell^-) \\
y'(\ell^+) &= y'(\ell^-) + k_L x(\ell^-)
\end{align*}
\]

- Beam spins up/down on entering/exiting the (abrupt) magnetic fringe field
- Sense of rotation changes with entry/exit of hard-edge field.
The transfer matrix for a hard-edge solenoid can be resolved into thin-lens kicks entering and exiting the optic and an rotation in the central region of the optic as:

\[
\mathbf{M}(\ell^+|0^-) = \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_r(\ell^+|0^-)\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_L(\ell^+|0^-)
\]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix}
\cos^2 \Phi & \frac{1}{2k_L} \sin(2\Phi) & \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\Phi) & \frac{1}{k_L} \sin^2 \Phi \\
-\frac{k_L}{2} \sin(2\Phi) & \cos^2 \Phi & -k_L \sin^2 \Phi & \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\Phi) \\
-\frac{1}{2} \sin(2\Phi) & -\frac{1}{k_L} \sin^2 \Phi & \cos^2 \Phi & \frac{1}{2k_L} \sin(2\Phi) \\
k_L \sin^2 \Phi & -\frac{1}{2} \sin(2\Phi) & -\frac{k_L}{2} \sin(2\Phi) & \cos^2 \Phi
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -k_L & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
k_L & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & \frac{1}{2k_L} \sin(2\Phi) & 0 & \frac{1}{k_L} \sin^2 \Phi \\
0 & \cos(2\Phi) & 0 & \sin(2\Phi) \\
0 & \frac{1}{k_L} \sin^2 \Phi & 1 & \frac{1}{2k_L} \sin(2\Phi) \\
1 & -\sin(2\Phi) & 0 & \cos(2\Phi)
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & k_L & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-k_L & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
= \mathbf{M}(\ell^+|\ell^-) \cdot \mathbf{M}(\ell^-|0^+) \cdot \mathbf{M}(0^+|0^-)
\]

where \( \Phi \equiv k_L \ell \)

- Focusing effect effectively from thin lens kicks at entrance/exit of solenoid as particle traverses the (abrupt here) fringe field.
The transfer matrix for the hard-edge solenoid is exact within the context of linear optics. However, real solenoid magnets have an axial fringe field. An obvious need is how to best set the hard-edge parameters $B_z$, $\ell$ from the real fringe field.

Simple physical motivated prescription by requiring:

1) Equivalent Linear Focus Impulse

$$\propto \int dz \ k_L^2 \propto \int dz B_z^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \ B_{z0}(z) = \ell \hat{B}_z^2$$

2) Equivalent Net Larmor Rotation Angle

$$\propto \int dz \ k_L \propto \int dz \ B_{z0}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \ B_{z0}(z) = \ell \hat{B}_z$$
Solve 1) and 2) for hard edge parameters $\hat{B}_z$, $\ell$

\[
\hat{B}_z = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \ B_{z0}^2(z)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \ B_{z0}(z)}
\]

\[
\ell = \frac{\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \ B_{z0}(z)\right]^2}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \ B_{z0}^2(z)}
\]
Appendix D: Axisymmetric Applied Magnetic or Electric Field Expansion

Static, rationally symmetric static applied fields $\mathbf{E}^a$, $\mathbf{B}^a$ satisfy the vacuum Maxwell equations in the beam aperture:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^a = 0$$
$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E}^a = 0$$
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}^a = 0$$
$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}^a = 0$$

This implies we can take for some electric potential $\phi^e$ and magnetic potential $\phi^m$:

$$\mathbf{E}^a = -\nabla \phi^e$$
$$\mathbf{B}^a = -\nabla \phi^m$$

which in the vacuum aperture satisfies the Laplace equations:

$$\nabla^2 \phi^e = 0$$
$$\nabla^2 \phi^m = 0$$

We will analyze the magnetic case and the electric case is analogous. In axisymmetric ($\partial / \partial \theta = 0$) geometry we express Laplace's equation as:

$$\nabla^2 \phi^m(r, z) = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial \phi^m}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 \phi^m}{\partial z^2} = 0$$

$\phi^m(r, z)$ can be expanded as (odd terms in $r$ would imply nonzero $B_r = -\frac{\partial \phi^m}{\partial r}$ at $r = 0$):

$$\phi^m(r, z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} f_{2\nu}(z) r^{2\nu} = f_0 + f_2 r^2 + f_4 r^4 + \ldots$$

where $f_0 = \phi^m(r = 0, z)$ is the on-axis potential
Plugging $\phi^m$ into Laplace's equation yields the recursion relation for $f_{2\nu}$

$$(2\nu + 2)^2 f_{2\nu+2} + f''_{2\nu} = 0$$

Iteration then shows that

$$\phi^m(r, z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^\nu}{(\nu!)^2} \frac{\partial^{2\nu} f(0, z)}{\partial z^{2\nu}} \left( \frac{r}{2} \right)^{2\nu}$$

Using $B_z^a(r = 0, z) \equiv B_{z0}(z) = -\frac{\partial\phi_m(0, z)}{\partial z}$ and differentiating yields:

$$B_r^a(r, z) = -\frac{\partial\phi_m}{\partial r} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^\nu}{(\nu!)(\nu - 1)!} \frac{\partial^{2\nu-1} B_{z0}(z)}{\partial z^{2\nu-1}} \left( \frac{r}{2} \right)^{2\nu-1}$$

$$B_z^a(r, z) = -\frac{\partial\phi_m}{\partial z} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^\nu}{(\nu!)^2} \frac{\partial^{2\nu} B_{z0}(z)}{\partial z^{2\nu}} \left( \frac{r}{2} \right)^{2\nu}$$

- Electric case immediately analogous and can arise in electrostatic Einzel lens focusing systems often employed near injectors
- Electric case can also be applied to RF and induction gap structures in the quasistatic (long RF wavelength relative to gap) limit.
Appendix E: Thin Lens Equivalence for Thick Lenses

In the thin lens model for an orbit described by Hill's equation:

\[ x''(s) + \kappa_x(s)x(s) = 0 \]

the applied focusing function \( \kappa_x(s) \) is replaced by a “thin-lens” kick described by:

\[ \kappa_x(s) = \frac{1}{f} \delta(s - s_0) \]

\[ s_0 = \text{Optic Location} = \text{const} \]

\[ f = \text{focal length} = \text{const} \]

The transfer matrix to describe the action of the thin lens is found by integrating the Hills's equation to be:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  x \\
  x'
\end{bmatrix}_{s=s_0^+} = \begin{bmatrix}
  1 & 0 \\
  -1/f & 1
\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
  x \\
  x'
\end{bmatrix}_{s=s_0^-} \equiv M_{\text{kick}} \begin{bmatrix}
  x \\
  x'
\end{bmatrix}_{s=s_0^-}
\]

Graphical Interpretation:
For a free drift, Hill's equation is:

\[ x''(s) = 0 \]

with a corresponding transfer matrix solution:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  x \\
  x'
\end{bmatrix}_s = \begin{bmatrix}
  1 & (s - s_i) \\
  0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
  x \\
  x'
\end{bmatrix}_{s_i} \equiv M_{\text{drift}} \begin{bmatrix}
  x \\
  x'
\end{bmatrix}_{s_i}
\]

We will show that the thin lens and two drifts can exactly replace

Case 1) Piecewise constant focusing lens:  \( \kappa_x(s) = \kappa = \text{const} > 0 \)

Case 2) Piecewise constant defocusing lens:  \( \kappa_x(s) = -\kappa = \text{const} < 0 \)

Case 3) Arbitrary linear lens represented by:  \( \kappa_x(s) \)

This can be helpful since the thin lens + drift model is simple both to carry out algebra and conceptually understand.
Case 1) The piecewise constant focusing transfer matrix $M_x$ for $\kappa_x = \kappa > 0$ can be resolved as:

$$M_x(s|s_i) = \begin{bmatrix} C(s) & S(s)/\sqrt{\kappa} \\ -\sqrt{\kappa}S(s) & C(s) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & d(s) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1/f(s) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & d(s) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\equiv M_{\text{drift}} \cdot M_{\text{kick}} \cdot M_{\text{drift}}$$

where $C(s) = \cos[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)]$, $d(s) = \tan[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)/2]/\sqrt{\kappa}$,

$S(s) = \sin[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)]$, $1/f(s) = \sqrt{\kappa}S(s)$.

This resolves the thick focusing lens into a thin-lens kick $M_{\text{kick}}$ between two equal length drifts $M_{\text{drift}}$ upstream and downstream of the kick.

- Result specifies exact thin-lens equivalent focusing element
- Can also be applied to continuous focusing (in interval) and solenoid focusing (in Larmor frame, see S2E and Appendix C) by substituting appropriately for $\kappa$
- Must adjust element length consistently with composite replacement

$$2d > s - s_i$$
Case 2) The piecewise constant de-focusing transfer matrix $M_x$ for $\kappa_x = -\kappa < 0$ can be resolved as:

$$M_x(s|s_i) = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Ch}(s) & \text{Sh}(s)/\sqrt{\kappa} \\ \sqrt{\kappa}\text{Sh}(s) & \text{Ch}(s) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & d(s) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1/f(s) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & d(s) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\equiv M_{\text{drift}} \cdot M_{\text{kick}} \cdot M_{\text{drift}}$$

where

$$\text{Ch}(s) = \cosh[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] \quad d(s) = \tanh[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)/2]/\sqrt{\kappa}$$

$$\text{Sh}(s) = \sinh[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] \quad 1/f(s) = \sqrt{\kappa}\text{Sh}(s)$$

- Result is exact thin-lens equivalent defocusing element
- Can be applied together with thin lens focus replacement to more simply derive phase-advance formulas etc for AG focusing lattices
- Must adjust element length consistently with composite replacement
Case 3) General element replacement with an equivalent thin lens

Consider a general transport matrix:

\[
\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix}
M_{11} & M_{12} \\
M_{21} & M_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\det \mathbf{M} = M_{11}M_{22} - M_{12}M_{21} = 1
\]

\[\downarrow \text{ Always true for linear optics, see Sec S5} \]

A transfer matrix of a drift of length \(d_1\) followed by a thin lens of strength \(f\), followed by a drift of length \(d_2\) gives:

\[
\mathbf{M}_{\text{drift2+thin+drift1}} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & d_2 \\
0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
-1/f & 1
\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
1 & d_1 \\
0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Setting \(\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_{\text{drift2+thin+drift1}}\)

\[
\begin{align*}
  d_1 &= (M_{22} - 1)/M_{21} \\
  d_2 &= (M_{11} - 1)/M_{21} \\
  -1/f &= M_{21}
\end{align*}
\]

\[\downarrow \text{ \(M_{12}\) implicitly involved due to unit determinant constraint} \]

Discussions of this, and similar results can be found in older optics books such as: Banford, *The Transport of Charged Particle Beams*, 1965. E5
Comments:

- Shows that *any* linear optic (thick or thin) can be resolved into an equivalent thin lens kick + drifts
  - Use requires element effective length in drift + thin-lens-kick + drift to be adjusted consistently
  - Care must be taken to interpret lattice period with potentially different axial extent focusing elements correctly
- Orbits in thin-lens replacements may differ a little in max excursions etc, but this shows simple and rapid design estimates can be made using thin lens models if proper equivalences are employed
  - Analysis of thin lens + drifts can simplify interpretation and algebraic steps
- Construct applies to solenoidal focusing also if the orbit is analyzed in the Larmor frame where the decoupled orbit can be analyzed with Hill's equation, but it does not apply in the laboratory frame
  - Picewise constant (hard-edge) solenoid in lab frame can be resolved into a rotation + thin-lens kick structure though (see Appendix C)
S3: Description of Applied Focusing Fields

S3A: Overview

Applied fields for focusing, bending, and acceleration enter the equations of motion via:
\[ E^a = \text{Applied Electric Field} \]
\[ B^a = \text{Applied Magnetic Field} \]

Generally, these fields are produced by sources (often static or slowly varying in time) located outside an aperture or so-called pipe radius \( r = r_p \). For example, the electric and magnetic quadrupoles of S2:

**Electric Quadrupole**

- \( \phi^a = -V_q \)
- \( \phi = V_q \)
- Electrodes Outside of Circle \( r = r_p \)
- Electrodes: \( x^2 - y^2 = \mp r_p^2 \)

**Magnetic Quadrupole**

- Conducting Beam Pipe: \( r = r_p \)
- Poles: \( xy = \pm \frac{r_p^2}{2} \)

Hyperbolic material surfaces outside pipe radius
\[ r = r_p \]
The fields of such classes of magnets obey the vacuum Maxwell Equations within the aperture:

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^a &= 0 \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{E}^a &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B}^a \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}^a &= 0 \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{B}^a &= \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{E}^a
\end{align*}
\]

If the fields are static or sufficiently slowly varying (quasistatic) where the time derivative terms can be neglected, then the fields in the aperture will obey the static vacuum Maxwell equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^a &= 0 \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{E}^a &= 0 \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}^a &= 0 \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{B}^a &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

In general, optical elements are tuned to limit the strength of nonlinear field terms so the beam experiences primarily linear applied fields.

- Linear fields allow better preservation of beam quality
- Removal of all nonlinear fields cannot be accomplished
  - 3D structure of the Maxwell equations precludes for finite geometry optics
  - Even in finite geometries deviations from optimal structures and symmetry will result in nonlinear fields
As an example of this, when an ideal 2D iron magnet with infinite hyperbolic poles is truncated radially for finite 2D geometry, this leads to nonlinear focusing fields even in 2D:

- Truncation necessary along with confinement of return flux in yoke

**Cross-Sections of Iron Quadrupole Magnets**

**Ideal (infinite geometry)**

Hyperbolic Iron Pole Sections (infinite)

**Practical (finite geometry)**

Shaped Iron Pole Sections (finite)
The design of optimized electric and magnetic optics for accelerators is a specialized topic with a vast literature. It is not possible to cover this topic in this brief survey. In the remaining part of this section we will overview a limited subset of material on magnetic optics including:

- (see: S3B) Magnetic field expansions for focusing and bending
- (see: S3C) Hard edge equivalent models
- (see: S3D) 2D multipole models and nonlinear field scalings
- (see: S3E) Good field radius

Much of the material presented can be immediately applied to static Electric Optics since the vacuum Maxwell equations are the same for static Electric $E^a$ and Magnetic $B^a$ fields in vacuum.
S3B: Magnetic Field Expansions for Focusing and Bending

Forces from transverse ($B_z^a = 0$) magnetic fields enter the transverse equations of motion (see: S1, S2) via:

**Force:** \( F^a_{\bot} \simeq q/\beta c \hat{z} \times B^a_{\bot} \)

**Field:** \( B^a_{\bot} = \hat{x}B^a_x + \hat{y}B^a_y \)

Combined these give:

\[
F^a_x \simeq -q/\beta c B^a_y \\
F^a_y \simeq q/\beta c B^a_x
\]

Field components entering these expressions can be expanded about \( x_{\bot} = 0 \)

- Element center and design orbit taken to be at \( x_{\bot} = 0 \)

\[
B^a_x = B^a_x(0) + 2 \frac{\partial B^a_x}{\partial y}(0)y + 3 \frac{\partial B^a_x}{\partial x}(0)x + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B^a_x}{\partial x^2}(0)x^2 + \frac{\partial^2 B^a_x}{\partial x \partial y}(0)xy + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial B^a_x}{\partial y^2}(0)y^2 + \cdots
\]

**Nonlinear Focus**

Terms:
1: Dipole Bend
2: Normal Quad Focus
3: Skew Quad Focus

\[
B^a_y = B^a_y(0) + 2 \frac{\partial B^a_y}{\partial x}(0)x + 3 \frac{\partial B^a_y}{\partial y}(0)y + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B^a_y}{\partial x^2}(0)x^2 + \frac{\partial^2 B^a_y}{\partial x \partial y}(0)xy + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial B^a_y}{\partial y^2}(0)y^2 + \cdots
\]

**Nonlinear Focus**
Sources of undesired nonlinear applied field components include:
- Intrinsic finite 3D geometry and the structure of the Maxwell equations
- Systematic errors or sub-optimal geometry associated with practical trade-offs in fabricating the optic
- Random construction errors in individual optical elements
- Alignment errors of magnets in the lattice giving field projections in unwanted directions
- Excitation errors effecting the field strength
  - Currents in coils not correct and/or unbalanced

More advanced treatments exploit less simple power-series expansions to express symmetries more clearly:
- Maxwell equations constrain structure of solutions
  - Expansion coefficients are NOT all independent
- Forms appropriate for bent coordinate systems in dipole bends can become complicated
S3C: Hard Edge Equivalent Models

Real 3D magnets can often be modeled with sufficient accuracy by 2D hard-edge “equivalent” magnets that give the same approximate focusing impulse to the particle as the full 3D magnet.

- Objective is to provide same approximate applied focusing “kick” to particles with different focusing gradient functions $G(s)$.

See Figure Next Slide
$G(z) = 3D$ Field Gradient

$G^*(z) = \text{Hard-Edge Equivalent Field Gradient}$
Many prescriptions exist for calculating the effective axial length and strength of hard-edge equivalent models

- See Review: Lund and Bukh, PRSTAB 7 204801 (2004), Appendix C

Here we overview a simple equivalence method that has been shown to work well:

For a relatively long, but finite axial length magnet with 3D gradient function:

\[
G(z) \equiv \left. \frac{\partial B_x^a}{\partial y} \right|_{x=y=0}
\]

Take hard-edge equivalent parameters:

- Take \( z = 0 \) at the axial magnet mid-plane

\[
\text{Gradient: } \quad G^* \equiv G(z = 0)
\]

\[
\text{Axial Length: } \quad \ell \equiv \frac{1}{G(z = 0)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\zeta \, G(\zeta)
\]

- More advanced equivalences can be made based more on particle optics
  - Disadvantage of such methods is “equivalence” changes with particle energy and must be revisited as optics are tuned
In many cases, it is sufficient to characterize the field errors in 2D hard-edge equivalent as:

\[ \overline{B}_x(x, y) = \frac{1}{\ell} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \ B_x^a(x, y, z) \]

\[ \overline{B}_y(x, y) = \frac{1}{\ell} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \ B_y^a(x, y, z) \]

Operating on the vacuum Maxwell equations with: \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{\ell} \cdots \)
yields the (exact) 2D Transverse Maxwell equations:

\[ \frac{\partial \overline{B}_x(x, y)}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial \overline{B}_y(x, y)}{\partial x} \]

\[ \frac{\partial \overline{B}_x(x, y)}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial \overline{B}_y(x, y)}{\partial y} \]

\( \Leftarrow \) From \( \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = 0 \)

\( \Leftarrow \) From \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \)
These equations are recognized as the **Cauchy-Riemann conditions** for a complex field variable:

\[
\mathbf{B}^* \equiv \overline{B_x} - i\overline{B_y} \quad i \equiv \sqrt{-1}
\]

Note the complex field which is an analytic function of \( \mathbf{z} = x + iy \) is

\[
\mathbf{B}^* = \overline{B_x} - i\overline{B_y} \quad \text{NOT} \quad \mathbf{B} = \overline{B_x + iB_y}.
\]

This is **not** a typo and is necessary for \( \mathbf{B}^* \) to satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann conditions.

See problem sets for illustration.
It follows that $B^*(\zeta)$ can be analyzed using the full power of the highly developed theory of analytical functions of a complex variable.

Expand $B^*(\zeta)$ as a **Laurent Series** within the vacuum aperture as:

$$B^*(\zeta) = \overline{B_x(x, y)} - i \overline{B_y(x, y)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \zeta^{n-1}$$

- $b_n = \text{const (complex)}$
- $n = \text{Multipole Index}$
The \( b_n \) are called “multipole coefficients” and give the structure of the field. The multipole coefficients can be resolved into real and imaginary parts as:

\[
b_n = A_n - iB_n
\]

\( B_n \Rightarrow ”Normal” \) Multipoles

\( A_n \Rightarrow ”Skew” \) Multipoles

Some algebra identifies the polynomial symmetries of low-order terms as:

Cartesian projections: \( \overline{B_x} - i\overline{B_y} = (A_n - iB_n)(x + iy)^{n-1} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Normal ((A_n = 0))</th>
<th>Skew ((B_n = 0))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( B_x / B_n )</td>
<td>( B_y / B_n )</td>
<td>( B_x / A_n )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dipole</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Quadrupole</td>
<td>( y )</td>
<td>( x )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sextupole</td>
<td>( 2xy )</td>
<td>( x^2 - y^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Octupole</td>
<td>( 3x^2y - y^3 )</td>
<td>( x^3 - 3xy^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Decapole</td>
<td>( 4x^3y - 4xy^3 )</td>
<td>( x^4 - 6x^2y^2 + y^4 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

- Reason for pole names most apparent from polar representation (see following pages) and sketches of the magnetic pole structure
- Caution: In so-called “US notation”, poles are labeled with index \( n \rightarrow n - 1 \)
  - Arbitrary in 2D but US choice not good notation in 3D generalizations
Comments continued:

- Normal and Skew symmetries can be taken as a symmetry definition. But this choice makes sense for $n = 2$ quadrupole focusing terms:
  \[
  \begin{align*}
  F^a_x &= -q \beta c B_y = -q \beta c (B_2 x - A_2 y) \\
  F^a_y &= q \beta c B_x = q \beta c (B_2 y + A_2 x)
  \end{align*}
  \]

In equations of motion:

- Normal $\Rightarrow B_2$: $x$-eqn, $x$-focus $y$-eqn, $y$-defocus
- Skew $\Rightarrow A_2$: $x$-eqn, $y$-defocus $y$-eqn, $x$-defocus

**Magnetic Pole Symmetries (normal orientation):**

- **Dipole** ($n=1$)
- **Quadrupole** ($n=2$)
- **Sextupole** ($n=3$)

- Actively rotate normal field structures clockwise through an angle of $\frac{\pi}{2n}$ for skew field component symmetries
Multipole scale/units

Frequently, in the multipole expansion:

\[
\mathbf{B}^*(z) = \mathbf{B}_x(x, y) - i \mathbf{B}_y(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^{n-1}
\]

the multipole coefficients \( b_n \) are rescaled as

\[
b_n \to b_n r_p^{n-1}
\]

\( r_p = \text{Aperture } "\text{Pipe}\" \text{ Radius} \)

Closest radius of approach of magnetic sources and/or aperture materials

so that the expansions becomes

\[
\mathbf{B}^*(z) = \mathbf{B}_x(x, y) - i \mathbf{B}_y(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \left( \frac{z}{r_p} \right)^{n-1}
\]

Advantages of alternative notation:

- Multipoles \( b_n \) given directly in field units regardless of index \( n \)
- Scaling of field amplitudes with radius within the magnet bore becomes clear
Scaling of Fields produced by multipole term:

Higher order multipole coefficients (larger $n$ values) leading to nonlinear focusing forces decrease rapidly within the aperture. To see this use a polar representation for $z, \frac{b_n}{r_p}$

$$z = x + iy = re^{i\theta} \quad r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \quad \theta = \arctan[y, x]$$

$$\frac{b_n}{r_p} = |\frac{b_n}{r_p}|e^{i\psi_n} \quad \psi_n = \text{Real Const}$$

Thus, the $n$th order multipole terms scale as

$$b_n \left(\frac{z}{r_p}\right)^{n-1} = |b_n| \left(\frac{r}{r_p}\right)^{n-1} e^{i[(n-1)\theta + \psi_n]}$$

- Unless the coefficient $|\frac{b_n}{r_p}|$ is very large, high order terms in $n$ will become small rapidly as $r_p$ decreases.
- Better field quality can be obtained for a given magnet design by simply making the clear bore $r_p$ larger, or alternatively using smaller bundles (more tight focus) of particles.
  - Larger bore machines/magnets cost more. So designs become trade-off between cost and performance.
  - Stronger focusing to keep beam from aperture can be unstable (see: S5)
S3E: Good Field Radius

Often a magnet design will have a so-called “good-field” radius \( r = r_g \) that the maximum field errors are specified on.

- In superior designs the good field radius can be around \(~70\%\) or more of the clear bore aperture to the beginning of material structures of the magnet.
- Beam particles should evolve with radial excursions with \( r < r_g \)

\[
r_p = \text{Clear Bore Radius} \\
\sim \text{Pole Radius Typical}
\]

\[
r_g = \text{Good Field Radius} \\
\sim 70\% \, r_p \, \text{Typical}
\]
Comments:

- Particle orbits are designed to remain within radius $r_g$
- Field error statements are readily generalized to 3D since:

$$\nabla \cdot B^a = 0$$

$$\nabla \times B^a = 0 \implies \nabla^2 B^a = 0$$

and therefore each component of $B^a$ satisfies a Laplace equation within the vacuum aperture. Therefore, field errors decrease when moving more deeply within a source-free region.
S3F: Example Permanent Magnet Assemblies

A few examples of practical permanent magnet assemblies with field contours are provided to illustrate error field structures in practical devices:

- 8 Rectangular Block Dipole
- 8 Square Block Quadrupole
- 12 Rectangular Block Sextupole
- 8 Rectangular Block Quadrupole

For more info on permanent magnet design see: Lund and Halbach, Fusion Engineering Design, 32-33, 401-415 (1996)
In S1 we showed that the particle equations of motion can be expressed as:

\[
x'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{\gamma_b \beta_b} x' = -\frac{q}{m \gamma_b \beta_b c^2} E_a^\perp + \frac{q}{m \gamma_b \beta_b c} \hat{z} \times B_a^\perp + \frac{q B_a^z}{m \gamma_b \beta_b c} x' \times \hat{z}
\]

\[
- \frac{q}{\gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \phi
\]

When momentum spread is neglected and results are interpreted in a Cartesian coordinate system (no bends). In S2, we showed that these equations can be further reduced when the applied focusing fields are linear to:

\[
x'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{\gamma_b \beta_b} x' + \kappa_x(s)x = -\frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \phi
\]

\[
y'' + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{\gamma_b \beta_b} y' + \kappa_y(s)y = -\frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \phi
\]

where

\[
\kappa_x(s) = x\text{-focusing function of lattice}
\]

\[
\kappa_y(s) = y\text{-focusing function of lattice}
\]
describe the linear applied focusing forces and the equations are implicitly analyzed in the rotating Larmor frame when $B_z^a \neq 0$.

Lattice designs attempt to minimize nonlinear applied fields. However, the 3D Maxwell equations show that there will always be some finite nonlinear applied fields for an applied focusing element with finite extent. Applied field nonlinearities also result from:

- Design idealizations
- Fabrication and material errors

The largest source of nonlinear terms will depend on the case analyzed.

Nonlinear applied fields must be added back in the idealized model when it is appropriate to analyze their effects.

- Common problem to address when carrying out large-scale numerical simulations to design/analyze systems

There are two basic approaches to carry this out:

- **Approach 1:** Explicit 3D Formulation
- **Approach 2:** Perturbations About Linear Applied Field Model

We will now discuss each of these in turn
This is the simplest. Just employ the full 3D equations of motion expressed in terms of the applied field components $E^a$, $B^a$ and avoid using the focusing functions $\kappa_x$, $\kappa_y$

Comments:

- Most easy to apply in computer simulations where many effects are simultaneously included
  - Simplifies comparison to experiments when many details matter for high level agreement
- Simplifies simultaneous inclusion of transverse and longitudinal effects
  - Accelerating field $E^a_z$ can be included to calculate changes in $\beta_b$, $\gamma_b$
  - Transverse and longitudinal dynamics cannot be fully decoupled in high level modeling – especially try when acceleration is strong in systems like injectors
- Can be applied with time based equations of motion (see: S1)
  - Helps avoid unit confusion and continuously adjusting complicated equations of motion to identify the axial coordinate $s$ appropriately
Exploit the linearity of the Maxwell equations to take:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E}_\perp^{a} &= \mathbf{E}_\perp^{a}|_L + \delta \mathbf{E}_\perp^{a} \\
\mathbf{B}^{a} &= \mathbf{B}^{a}|_L + \delta \mathbf{B}^{a}
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E}_\perp^{a}|_L, \quad \mathbf{B}^{a}|_L
\end{align*}
\]

are the linear field components incorporated in \( \kappa_x, \kappa_y \)

to express the equations of motion as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\ddot{x} + \frac{\gamma_b \beta_b}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} \dot{x} + \kappa_x x &= \frac{q}{m \gamma_b \beta_b^2 c^2} \delta E_x^a - \frac{q}{m \gamma_b \beta_b c} \delta B_y^a + \frac{q}{m \gamma_b \beta_b c} \delta B_z^a y' \\
&\quad - \frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \\
\ddot{y} + \frac{\gamma_b \beta_b}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} \dot{y} + \kappa_y y &= \frac{q}{m \gamma_b \beta_b^2 c^2} \delta E_y^a + \frac{q}{m \gamma_b \beta_b c} \delta B_x^a - \frac{q}{m \gamma_b \beta_b c} \delta B_z^a x' \\
&\quad - \frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}
\end{align*}
\]
This formulation can be most useful to understand the effect of deviations from the usual linear model where intuition is developed.

Comments:

- Best suited to non-solenoidal focusing
  - Simplified Larmor frame analysis for solenoidal focusing is only valid for axisymmetric potentials $\phi = \phi(r)$ which may not hold in the presence of non-ideal perturbations.
  - Applied field perturbations $\delta E^a_{\perp}, \delta B^a$ would also need to be projected into the Larmor frame.

- Applied field perturbations $\delta E^a_{\perp}, \delta B^a$ will not necessarily satisfy the 3D Maxwell Equations by themselves.
  - Follows because the linear field components $E^a_{L\perp}, B^a|_L$ will not, in general, satisfy the 3D Maxwell equations by themselves.
S5: Linear Transverse Particle Equations of Motion without Space-Charge, Acceleration, and Momentum Spread

S5A: Hill's Equation

Neglect:
- Space-charge effects: $\partial \phi / \partial x \simeq 0$
- Nonlinear applied focusing and bends: $E^a, B^a$ have only linear focus terms
- Acceleration: $\gamma_b \beta_b \simeq \text{const}$
- Momentum spread effects: $v_{zi} \simeq \beta_b c$

Then the transverse particle equations of motion reduce to Hill's Equation:

$$x''(s) + \kappa(s)x(s) = 0$$

$x = \perp$ particle coordinate
(i.e., $x$ or $y$ or possibly combinations of coordinates)

$s = \text{Axial coordinate of reference particle}$

$$l = \frac{d}{ds}$$

$\kappa(s) = \text{Lattice focusing function (linear fields)}$
For a periodic lattice:

\[ \kappa(s + L_p) = \kappa(s) \]

\[ L_p = \text{Lattice Period} \]

// Example: Hard-Edge Periodic Focusing Function

For a ring (i.e., circular accelerator), one also has the “superperiod” condition:

\[ \kappa(s + C) = \kappa(s) \]

\[ C = N L_p = \text{Ring Circumference} \]

\[ N = \text{Superperiod Number} \]

*Distinction matters when there are (field) construction errors in the ring*
  - Repeat with superperiod but not lattice period
  - See lectures on: Particle Resonances
Example: Period and Superperiod distinctions for errors in a ring

* Magnet with systematic defect will be felt every lattice period
X Magnet with random (fabrication) defect felt once per lap
Hill's equation is linear. The solution with initial condition:

\[
\begin{align*}
x(s = s_i) &= x(s_i) \\
x'(s = s_i) &= x'(s_i)
\end{align*}
\]

\(s = s_i\) = Axial location of initial condition

can be uniquely expressed in matrix form (\(M\) is the transfer matrix) as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  x(s) \\
  x'(s)
\end{bmatrix}
= M(s|s_i) \cdot 
\begin{bmatrix}
  x(s_i) \\
  x'(s_i)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
  C(s|s_i) & S(s|s_i) \\
  C'(s|s_i) & S'(s|s_i)
\end{bmatrix}
\cdot
\begin{bmatrix}
  x(s_i) \\
  x'(s_i)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Where \(C(s|s_i)\) and \(S(s|s_i)\) are “cosine-like” and “sine-like” principal trajectories satisfying:

\[
\begin{align*}
C''''(s|s_i) + \kappa(s)C'(s|s_i) &= 0 \\
C'(s_i|s_i) &= 1 \\
C'(s_i|s_i) &= 0 \\
S''''(s|s_i) + \kappa(s)S'(s|s_i) &= 0 \\
S'(s_i|s_i) &= 0 \\
S'(s_i|s_i) &= 1
\end{align*}
\]
Transfer matrices will be worked out in the problems for a few simple focusing systems discussed in S2 with the additional assumption of piecewise constant $\kappa(s)$

1) Drift: $\kappa = 0 \quad x'' = 0$

$$M(s|s_i) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & s - s_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

2) Continuous Focusing: $\kappa = \kappa_0 \beta_0 = \text{const} > 0 \quad x'' + \kappa_0^2 x = 0$

$$M(s|s_i) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos[k_\beta_0(s - s_i)] & \frac{1}{k_\beta_0} \sin[k_\beta_0(s - s_i)] \\ -k_\beta_0 \sin[k_\beta_0(s - s_i)] & \cos[k_\beta_0(s - s_i)] \end{bmatrix}$$

3) Solenoidal Focusing: $\kappa = \hat{\kappa} = \text{const} > 0 \quad x'' + \hat{\kappa} x = 0$

Results are expressed within the rotating Larmor Frame (same as continuous focusing with reinterpretation of variables)

$$M(s|s_i) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos[\sqrt{\hat{\kappa}}(s - s_i)] & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{\kappa}}} \sin[\sqrt{\hat{\kappa}}(s - s_i)] \\ -\sqrt{\hat{\kappa}} \sin[\sqrt{\hat{\kappa}}(s - s_i)] & \cos[\sqrt{\hat{\kappa}}(s - s_i)] \end{bmatrix}$$
4) Quadrupole Focusing-Plane: \( \kappa = \hat{\kappa} = \text{const} > 0 \quad x'' + \hat{\kappa}x = 0 \)
(Obtain from continuous focusing case)

\[
M(s|s_i) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos[\sqrt{\hat{\kappa}}(s - s_i)] & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{\kappa}}} \sin[\sqrt{\hat{\kappa}}(s - s_i)] \\
-\sqrt{\hat{\kappa}} \sin[\sqrt{\hat{\kappa}}(s - s_i)] & \cos[\sqrt{\hat{\kappa}}(s - s_i)]
\end{bmatrix}
\]

5) Quadrupole DeFocusing-Plane: \( \kappa = -\hat{\kappa} = \text{const} < 0 \quad x'' - \hat{\kappa}x = 0 \)
(Obtain from quadrupole focusing case with \( \sqrt{\hat{\kappa}} \to i\sqrt{\hat{\kappa}} \quad i = \sqrt{-1} \))

\[
M(s|s_i) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cosh[\sqrt{\hat{\kappa}}(s - s_i)] & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{\kappa}}} \sinh[\sqrt{\hat{\kappa}}(s - s_i)] \\
\sqrt{\hat{\kappa}} \sinh[\sqrt{\hat{\kappa}}(s - s_i)] & \cosh[\sqrt{\hat{\kappa}}(s - s_i)]
\end{bmatrix}
\]

6) Thin Lens: \( \kappa(s) = \frac{1}{f} \delta(s - s_0) \quad x'' + \frac{1}{f} \delta(s - s_0)x = 0 \)

\( s_0 = \text{const} = \text{Axial Location Lens} \)
\( f = \text{const} = \text{Focal Length} \)
\( \delta(x) = \text{Dirac-Delta Function} \)

\[
M(s_0^+|s_0^-) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{f} & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
S5C: Wronskian Symmetry of Hill's Equation

An important property of this linear motion is a Wronskian invariant/symmetry:

\[
W(s|s_i) \equiv \det \mathbf{M}(s|s_i) = \det \begin{bmatrix} C(s|s_i) & S(s|s_i) \\ C''(s|s_i) & S''(s|s_i) \end{bmatrix} = C(s|s_i)S'(s|s_i) - C''(s|s_i)S(s|s_i) = 1
\]

/// Proof: Abbreviate Notation \( C \equiv C(s|s_i) \) etc.

Multiply Equations of Motion for \( C \) and \( S \) by \(-S\) and \( C\), respectively:

\[-S(C''' + \kappa C') = 0\]
\[+C(S''' + \kappa S') = 0\]

Add Equations:

\[CS'' - SC'' + \kappa(CS - SC') = 0\]

\[\implies \frac{dW}{ds} = \frac{dW}{ds} (CS' - C'S) = CS'' - SC'' = 0\]

\[\implies W = \text{const}\]

Apply initial conditions:

\[W(s) = W(s_i) = C_i S'_i - C'_i S_i = 1 \cdot 1 - 0 \cdot 0 = 1\]
Example: Continuous Focusing: Transfer Matrix and Wronskian

\[ \kappa(s) = k_{\beta_0}^2 = \text{const} > 0 \]

Principal orbit equations are simple harmonic oscillators with solution:

\[
\begin{align*}
C'(s|s_i) &= \cos[k_{\beta_0}(s - s_i)] \\
S'(s|s_i) &= \cos[k_{\beta_0}(s - s_i)]
\end{align*}
\]

Transfer matrix gives the familiar solution:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
x(s) \\
x'(s)
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
\cos[k_{\beta_0}(s - s_i)] & \frac{\sin[k_{\beta_0}(s-s_i)]}{k_{\beta_0}} \\
-k_{\beta_0} \sin[k_{\beta_0}(s - s_i)] & \cos[k_{\beta_0}(s - s_i)]
\end{bmatrix}
\cdot
\begin{bmatrix}
x(s_i) \\
x'(s_i)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Wronskian invariant is elementary:

\[ W = \cos^2[k_{\beta_0}(s - s_i)] + \sin^2[k_{\beta_0}(s - s_i)] = 1 \]
S5D: Stability of Solutions to Hill's Equation in a Periodic Lattice

The transfer matrix must be the same in any period of the lattice:

\[ M(s + L_p | s_i + L_p) = M(s | s_i) \]

For a propagation distance \( s - s_i \) satisfying

\[ NL_p \leq s - s_i \leq (N + 1)L_p \quad N = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

the transfer matrix can be resolved as

\[ M(s | s_i) = M(s - NL_p | s_i) \cdot M(s_i + NL_p | s_i) \]

\[ = M(s - NL_p | s_i) \cdot [M(s_i + L_p | s_i)]^N \]

Residual \( N \) Full Periods

For a lattice to have stable orbits, both \( x(s) \) and \( x'(s) \) should remain bounded on propagation through an arbitrary number \( N \) of lattice periods. This is equivalent to requiring that the elements of \( M \) remain bounded on propagation through any number of lattice periods:

\[ M^N \equiv [M^N_{i,j}] \]

\[ \lim_{N \to \infty} \left| M^N_{i,j} \right| < \infty \quad \Longrightarrow \text{Stable Motion} \]
Clarification of stability notion: Unstable Orbit

For energetic particle:

\[ H = \frac{1}{2} x'^2 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa x^2 \sim \text{Large, but } \neq \text{const} \]

where \(|x'| \text{ small, } |x| \text{ large}\)

where \(|x| \text{ small, } |x'| \text{ large}\)

The matrix criterion corresponds to our intuitive notion of stability: as the particle advances there are no large oscillation excursions in position and angle.
To analyze the stability condition, examine the eigenvectors/eigenvalues of $M$ for transport through one lattice period:

\[ M(s_i + L_p | s_i) \cdot E \equiv \lambda E \]

$E = \text{Eigenvector}$

$\lambda = \text{Eigenvalue}$

- Eigenvectors and Eigenvalues are generally complex
- Eigenvectors and Eigenvalues generally vary with $s_i$
- Two independent Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors
  - Degeneracies special case

Derive the two independent eigenvectors/eigenvalues through analysis of the characteristic equation: Abbreviate Notation

\[ M(s_i + L_p | s_i) = \begin{bmatrix}
C(s_i + L_p | s_i) & S(s_i + L_p | s_i) \\
C'(s_i + L_p | s_i) & S'(s_i + L_p | s_i)
\end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix}
C & S \\
C' & S'
\end{bmatrix} \]

Nontrivial solutions exist when:

\[ \det \begin{bmatrix}
C - \lambda & S' \\
C' & S' - \lambda
\end{bmatrix} = \lambda^2 - (C + S')\lambda + (CS' - SC') = 0 \]
But we can apply the **Wronskian** condition:

\[ CS' - SC'' = 1 \]

and we make the notational definition

\[ C + S' = \text{Tr} \, M \equiv 2 \cos \sigma_0 \]

The **characteristic equation** then reduces to:

\[
\lambda^2 - 2\lambda \cos \sigma_0 + 1 = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \cos \sigma_0 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \, M(s_i + L_p|s_i) 
\]

The use of \( 2 \cos \sigma_0 \) to denote \( \text{Tr} \, M \) is in anticipation of later results (see **S6**) where \( \sigma_0 \) is identified as the phase-advance of a stable orbit.

There are two solutions to the characteristic equation that we denote \( \lambda_{\pm} \)

\[
\lambda_{\pm} = \cos \sigma_0 \pm \sqrt{\cos^2 \sigma_0 - 1} = \cos \sigma_0 \pm i \sin \sigma_0 = e^{\pm i\sigma_0} 
\]

\( \mathbf{E}_{\pm} = \text{Corresponding Eigenvectors} \quad i \equiv \sqrt{-1} \)

Note that: \[ \lambda_+ \lambda_- = 1 \]

\[ \lambda_+ = 1/\lambda_- \]
Consider a vector of initial conditions:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  x(s_i) \\
  x'(s_i)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
  x_i \\
  x'_i
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The eigenvectors \( \mathbf{E}_\pm \) span two-dimensional space. So any initial condition vector can be expanded as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  x_i \\
  x'_i
\end{bmatrix} = \alpha_+ \mathbf{E}_+ + \alpha_- \mathbf{E}_-
\]

\[\alpha_\pm = \text{Complex Constants}\]

Then using \( M \mathbf{E}_\pm = \lambda_\pm \mathbf{E}_\pm \)

\[
M^N (s_i + L_p |s_i|) \begin{bmatrix}
  x_i \\
  x'_i
\end{bmatrix} = \alpha_+ \lambda^N_+ \mathbf{E}_+ + \alpha_- \lambda^N_- \mathbf{E}_-
\]

Therefore, if \( \lim_{N \to \infty} \lambda^N \) is bounded, then the motion is stable. This will always be the case if \( |\lambda_\pm| = |e^{\pm i \sigma_0}| \leq 1 \), corresponding to \( \sigma_0 \) real with \( |\cos \sigma_0| \leq 1 \).
This implies for stability or the orbit that we must have:

\[
\frac{1}{2} |\text{Trace } M(s_i + L_p | s_i)| = \frac{1}{2} |C(s_i + L_p | s_i) + S'(s_i + L_p | s_i)| = |\cos \sigma_0| \leq 1
\]

In a periodic focusing lattice, this important stability condition places restrictions on the lattice structure (focusing strength) that are generally interpreted in terms of phase advance limits (see: S6).

- Accelerator lattices almost always tuned for single particle stability to maintain beam control
  - Even for intense beams, beam centroid approximately obeys single particle equations of motion when image charges are negligible
- Space-charge and nonlinear applied fields can further limit particle stability
  - Resonances: see: Particle Resonances ....
  - Envelope Instability: see: Transverse Centroid and Envelope ....
  - Higher Order Instability: see: Transverse Kinetic Stability
- We will show (see: S6) that for stable orbits $\sigma_0$ can be interpreted as the phase-advance of single particle oscillations
/// Example: Continuous Focusing Stability

\[ \kappa(s) = k_{\beta_0}^2 = \text{const} > 0 \]

Principal orbit equations are simple harmonic oscillators with solution:

\[
C(s|s_i) = \cos[k_{\beta_0}(s - s_i)] \quad \quad C'(s|s_i) = -k_{\beta_0} \sin[k_{\beta_0}(s - s_i)] \\
S(s|s_i) = \frac{\sin[k_{\beta_0}(s - s_i)]}{k_{\beta_0}} \quad \quad S'(s|s_i) = \cos[k_{\beta_0}(s - s_i)]
\]

Stability bound then gives:

\[
\frac{1}{2} |\text{Trace} \ M(s_i + L_p|s_i)| = \frac{1}{2} |C(s_i + L_p|s_i) + S'(s_i + L_p|s_i)| \\
= |\cos[k_{\beta_0}(s - s_i)]| \leq 1
\]

- *Always satisfied for real* \( k_{\beta_0} \)
- *Confirms known result using formalism: continuous focusing stable*
  - Energy not pumped into or out of particle orbit

The simplest example of the stability criterion applied to periodic lattices will be given in the problem sets: **Stability of a periodic thin lens lattice**

- Analytically find that lattice unstable when focusing kicks sufficiently strong
More advanced treatments

- See: Dragt, *Lectures on Nonlinear Orbit Dynamics*, AIP Conf Proc 87 (1982) show that symplectic 2x2 transfer matrices associated with Hill's Equation have only two possible classes of eigenvalue symmetries:

1) **Stable**

\[
\lambda_{\pm} = e^{i\sigma_{\pm}}
\]

\[
\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\pm}} = e^{-i\sigma_{\pm}}
\]

Occurs for:

\[0 \leq \sigma_0 \leq 180^\circ/\text{period}\]

- Limited class of possibilities simplifies analysis of focusing lattices

2) **Unstable, Lattice Resonance**

\[
\lambda_{\pm} = \gamma_{\pm} e^{-i\pi}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\lambda_{\pm}} = \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{\pm}}\right) e^{-i\pi}
\]

Occurs in bands when focusing strength is increased beyond

\[
\sigma_0 = 180^\circ/\text{period}
\]
Eigenvalue structure as focusing strength is increased

**Weak Focusing:**
- Make $\kappa$ as small as needed (low phase advance $\sigma_0$)
- Always first eigenvalue case: $|\lambda_\pm| = 1, \quad \lambda_+ = 1/\lambda_- = \lambda^*$

![Diagram showing eigenvalue structure]

**Stability Threshold:**
- Increase $\kappa$ o stability limit (phase advance $\sigma_0 = 180^\circ$/Period)
- Transition between first and second eigenvalue case: $\lambda_\pm = -1$

![Diagram showing stability threshold]

**Instability:**
- Increase $\kappa$ beyond threshold (phase advance $\sigma_0 = 180^\circ$/Period)
- Second eigenvalue case: $|\lambda_\pm| \neq 1, \quad \lambda_+ = 1/\lambda_- \quad \lambda_\pm$ both real and negative

![Diagram showing instability]
Comments:

- As $\kappa$ becomes stronger and stronger it is not necessarily the case that instability persists. There can be (typically) narrow ranges of stability within a mostly unstable range of parameters.
  
  - Example: Stability/instability bands of the Mathieu equation commonly studied in mathematical physics which is a special case of Hills' equation.

- Higher order regions of stability past the first instability band likely make little sense to exploit because they require higher field strength (to generate larger $\kappa$) and generally lead to larger particle oscillations than for weaker fields below the first stability threshold.
S6: Hill's Equation: Floquet's Theorem and the Phase-Amplitude Form of the Particle Orbit

S6A: Introduction

In this section we consider Hill's Equation:

$$x''(s) + \kappa(s)x(s) = 0$$

subject to a periodic applied focusing function

$$\kappa(s + L_p) = \kappa(s)$$

$$L_p = \text{Lattice Period}$$

Many results will also hold in more complicated form for a non-periodic $\kappa(s)$
- Results less clean in this case
  (initial conditions not removable to same degree as periodic case)
The solution to Hill's Equation $x(s)$ has two linearly independent solutions that can be expressed as:

$$x_1(s) = w(s)e^{i\mu s}$$
$$x_2(s) = w(s)e^{-i\mu s}$$

Where $w(s)$ is a periodic function:

$$w(s + L_p) = w(s)$$

- Theorem as written only applies for $M$ with non-degenerate eigenvalues. But a similar theorem applies in the degenerate case.
- A similar theorem is also valid for non-periodic focusing functions
  - Expression not as simple but has analogous form

Floquet's Theorem (proof: see standard Mathematics and Mathematical Physics Texts)
S6C: Phase-Amplitude Form of Particle Orbit

As a consequence of Floquet's Theorem, any (stable or unstable) nondegenerate solution to Hill's Equation can be expressed in phase-amplitude form as:

\[ x(s) = A(s) \cos \psi(s) \quad A(s) = \text{Real-Valued Amplitude Function} \]
\[ A(s + L_p) = A(s) \quad \psi(s) = \text{Real-Valued Phase Function} \]

Derive equations of motion for \( A , \psi \) by taking derivatives of the phase-amplitude form for \( x(s) \):

\[ x = A \cos \psi \]
\[ x' = A' \cos \psi - A \psi' \sin \psi \]
\[ x'' = A'' \cos \psi - 2A' \psi' \sin \psi - A \psi'' \sin \psi - A \psi'^2 \cos \psi \]

then substitute in Hill's Equation:

\[ x'' + \kappa x = [A'' + \kappa A - A \psi'^2] \cos \psi - [2A' \psi' + A \psi''] \sin \psi = 0 \]
\[ x'' + \kappa x = \left[ A'' + \kappa A - A\psi'^2 \right] \cos \psi - \left[ 2A'\psi' + A\psi'' \right] \sin \psi = 0 \]

We are free to introduce an additional constraint between \( A \) and \( \psi \):

\* Two functions \( A, \psi \) to represent one function \( x \) allows a constraint

Choose:

Eq. (1) \[ 2A'\psi' + A\psi'' = 0 \] \[ \implies \text{Coefficient of } \sin \psi \text{ zero} \]

Then to satisfy Hill's Equation for all \( \psi \), the coefficient of \( \cos \psi \) must also vanish giving:

Eq. (2) \[ A'' + \kappa A - A\psi'^2 = 0 \] \[ \implies \text{Coefficient of } \cos \psi \text{ zero} \]
Eq. (1) Analysis (coefficient of $\sin \psi$):

$$2A' \psi' + A \psi'' = 0$$

Simplify:

$$2A' \psi' + A \psi'' = \frac{(A^2 \psi')'}{A} = 0$$

$$\implies (A^2 \psi')' = 0$$

Assume for moment:

$$A \neq 0$$

Will show later that this assumption met for all $s$

Integrate once:

$$A^2 \psi' = \text{const}$$

One commonly rescales the amplitude $A(s)$ in terms of an auxiliary amplitude function $w(s)$:

$$A(s) = A_i w(s) \quad A_i = \text{const} = \text{Initial Amplitude}$$

such that

$$w^2 \psi' \equiv 1$$

This equation can then be integrated to obtain the phase-function of the particle:

$$\psi(s) = \psi_i + \int_{s_i}^{s} \frac{d\tilde{s}}{w^2(\tilde{s})} \quad \psi_i = \text{const} = \text{Initial Phase}$$
Eq. (2) Analysis (coefficient of $\cos \psi$):

$$A'' + \kappa A - A\psi'^2 = 0$$

With the choice of amplitude rescaling, $A = A_i w$ and $w^2 \psi' = 1$, Eq. (2) becomes:

$$w'' + \kappa w - \frac{1}{w^3} = 0$$

Floquet's theorem tells us that we are free to restrict $w$ to be a periodic solution:

$$w(s + L_p) = w(s)$$

Reduced Expressions for $x$ and $x'$:

Using $A = A_i w$ and $w^2 \psi' = 1$:

$$x = A \cos \psi$$

$$x' = A' \cos \psi - A\psi' \sin \psi$$

$$\implies$$

$$x = A_i w \cos \psi$$

$$x' = A_i w' \cos \psi - \frac{A_i}{w} \sin \psi$$
where \( w(s) \) and \( \psi(s) \) are amplitude- and phase-functions satisfying:

### Amplitude Equations

\[
\frac{d^2 w(s)}{ds^2} + \kappa(s) w(s) - \frac{1}{w^3(s)} = 0
\]

\( w(s) > 0 \)

### Phase Equations

\[
\frac{d\psi(s)}{ds} = \frac{1}{w^2(s)}
\]

\[
\psi(s) = \psi_i + \int_{s_i}^{s} \frac{d\tilde{s}}{w^2(\tilde{s})}
\]

\[
\psi(s) = \psi_i + \Delta\psi(s)
\]

Initial (\( s = s_i \)) amplitudes are constrained by the particle initial conditions as:

\[
x(s = s_i) = A_i w_i \cos \psi_i
\]

or

\[
x'(s = s_i) = A_i w'_i \cos \psi_i - \frac{A_i}{w_i} \sin \psi_i
\]

\[
A_i \cos \psi_i = x(s = s_i) / w_i
\]

\[
A_i \sin \psi_i = x(s = s_i) w'_i - x'(s = s_i) w_i
\]

\( w_i \equiv w(s = s_i) \)

\( w'_i \equiv w'(s = s_i) \)
S6E: Points on the Phase-Amplitude Formulation

1) $w(s)$ can be taken as positive definite

$$w(s) > 0$$

/// Proof: Sign choices in $w$:

Let $w(s)$ be positive at some point. Then the equation:

$$w'' + \kappa w - \frac{1}{w^3} = 0$$

Insures that $w$ can never vanish or change sign. This follows because whenever $w$ becomes small, $w'' \approx 1/w^3 \gg 0$ can become arbitrarily large to turn $w$ before it reaches zero. Thus, to fix phases, we conveniently require that $w > 0$.

///

- Proof verifies assumption made in analysis that $A = A_i w \neq 0$
- Conversely, one could choose $w$ negative and it would always remain negative for analogous reasons. This choice is not commonly made.
- Sign choice removes ambiguity in relating initial conditions $x(s_i), \ x'(s_i)$ to $A_i, \ \psi_i$
2) $w(s)$ is a **unique periodic function**

- Can be proved using a connection between $w$ and the principal orbit functions $C$ and $S$ (see: Appendix A and S7)
- $w(s)$ can be regarded as a special, periodic function describing the lattice focusing function $\kappa(s)$

3) The **amplitude parameters**

$$w_i = w(s = s_i)$$

$$w'_i = w'(s_i)$$

depend only on the periodic lattice properties and are *independent* of the particle initial conditions $x(s_i), x'(s_i)$

4) The change in phase

$$\Delta \psi(s) = \int_{s_i}^{s} \frac{d\tilde{s}}{w^2(\tilde{s})}$$

depends on the choice of initial condition $s_i$. However, the **phase-advance** through one lattice period

$$\Delta \psi(s_i + L_p) = \int_{s_i}^{s_i + L_p} \frac{d\tilde{s}}{w^2(\tilde{s})}$$
is independent of $s_i$ since $w$ is a periodic function with period $L_p$

Will show that (see later in this section)

$$\Delta \psi(s_i + L_p) \equiv \sigma_0$$

is the undepressed phase advance of particle oscillations

5) $w(s)$ has dimensions $[[w]] = \text{Sqrt[meters]}$

Can prove inconvenient in applications and motivates the use of an alternative

“betatron” function $\beta$

$$\beta(s) \equiv w^2(s)$$

with dimension $[[\beta]] = \text{meters}$ (see: S7 and S8)

6) On the surface, what we have done: Transform the linear Hill's Equation to a form where a solution to nonlinear axillary equations for $w$ and $\psi$ are needed via the phase-amplitude method seems insane ..... why do it?

Method will help identify the useful Courant-Snyder invariant which will aid interpretation of the dynamics (see: S7)

Decoupling of initial conditions in the phase-amplitude method will help simplify understanding of bundles of particles in the distribution
S6F: Relation between Principal Orbit Functions and Phase-Amplitude Form Orbit Functions

The transfer matrix $M$ of the particle orbit can be expressed in terms of the principal orbit functions $C$ and $S$ as (see: S4):

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
  x(s) \\
  x'(s)
\end{bmatrix} = M(s|s_i) \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
  x(s_i) \\
  x'(s_i)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
  C(s|s_i) & S(s|s_i) \\
  C'(s|s_i) & S'(s|s_i)
\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
  x(s_i) \\
  x'(s_i)
\end{bmatrix}
$$

Use of the phase-amplitude forms and some algebra identifies (see problem sets):

$$
C(s|s_i) = \frac{w(s)}{w_i} \cos \Delta \psi(s) - w'_i w(s) \sin \Delta \psi(s)
$$

$$
S(s|s_i) = w_i w(s) \sin \Delta \psi(s)
$$

$$
C'(s|s_i) = \left( \frac{w'(s)}{w_i} - \frac{w'_i}{w(s)} \right) \cos \Delta \psi(s) - \left( \frac{1}{w_i w(s)} + w'_i w'(s) \right) \sin \Delta \psi(s)
$$

$$
S'(s|s_i) = \frac{w_i}{w(s)} \cos \Delta \psi(s) + w_i w'(s) \sin \Delta \psi(s)
$$

$$
\Delta \psi(s) \equiv \int_{s_i}^{s} \frac{d\tilde{s}}{w^2(\tilde{s})}
$$

$$
w_i \equiv w(s = s_i)
$$

$$
w'_i \equiv w'(s = s_i)
$$
/// Aside: Alternatively, it can be shown (see: Appendix A) that \( w(s) \) can be related to the principal orbit functions calculated over one Lattice period by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{w^2(s)}{\beta(s)} &= \sin \sigma_0 \frac{S(s|s_i)}{S(s_i + L_p|s_i)} \\
&\quad + \frac{S(s_i + L_p|s_i)}{\sin \sigma_0} \left[ C'(s|s_i) + \frac{\cos \sigma_0 - C(s|s_i)}{S(s_i + L_p|s_i)} S(s|s_i) \right]^2 \\
\sigma_0 &\equiv \int_{s_i}^{s_i + L_p} \frac{d\tilde{s}}{w^2(\tilde{s})}
\end{align*}
\]

The formula for \( \sigma_0 \) in terms of principal orbit functions is useful:

- \( \sigma_0 \) (phase advance, see: S6G) is often specified for the lattice and the focusing function \( \kappa(s) \) is tuned to achieve the specified value.
- Shows that \( w(s) \) can be constructed from two principal orbit integrations over one lattice period.
  - Integrations must generally be done numerically for \( C \) and \( S \).
  - No root finding required for initial conditions to construct periodic \( w(s) \).
  - \( s_i \) can be anywhere in the lattice period and \( w(s) \) will be independent of the specific choice of \( s_i \).
The form of $w^2(s)$ suggests an underlying Courant-Snyder Invariant (see: S7 and Appendix A)

- $w^2 = \beta$ can be applied to calculate max beam particle excursions in the absence of space-charge effects (see: S8)
  - Useful in machine design
  - Exploits Courant-Snyder Invariant

To Add: Lee Algebra version of evolving Courant-Snyder ellipse functions through the lattice:

$$J_2 = M \cdot J_1 \cdot \text{Inv}[M]$$

This is simpler to use than the principal orbit version presented and results in an expression only in terms of lattice functions.
S6G: Undepressed Particle Phase Advance

We can now concretely connect $\sigma_0$ for a stable orbit to the change in particle oscillation phase $\Delta \psi$ through one lattice period:

From S5D:

\[
\cos \sigma_0 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \ M(s_i + L_p | s_i)
\]

Apply the principal orbit representation of $M$

\[
\text{Tr} \ M(s_i + L_p | s_i) = C(s_i + L_p | s_i) + S'(s_i + L_p | s_i)
\]

and use the phase-amplitude identifications of $C$ and $S'$ calculated in S6F:

\[
\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \ M(s_i + L_p | s_i) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{w(s_i + L_p)}{w_i} + \frac{w_i}{w(s_i + L_p)} \right) \cos \Delta \psi(s_i + L_p) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \left( w_i w'(s_i + L_p) - w'_i w(s_i + L_p) \right) \sin \Delta \psi(s_i + L_p)
\]

By periodicity:

\[
w(s_i + L_p) = w(s_i) = w_i \quad \text{coefficient of } \cos \Delta \psi = 1
\]

\[
w'(s_i + L_p) = w'(s_i) = w'_i \quad \text{coefficient of } \sin \Delta \psi = 0
\]
Applying these results gives:

\[
\cos \sigma_0 = \cos \Delta \psi (s_i + L_p) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \ M(s_i + L_p|s_i)
\]

Thus, \( \sigma_0 \) is identified as the phase advance of a stable particle orbit through one lattice period:

\[
\sigma_0 = \Delta \psi (s_i + L_p) = \int_{s_i}^{s_i+L_p} \frac{ds}{w^2(s)}
\]

- Again verifies that \( \sigma_0 \) is independent of \( s_i \) since \( w(s) \) is periodic with period \( L_p \)
- The stability criterion (see: S5)

\[
\frac{1}{2} |\text{Tr} \ M(s_i + L_p|s_i)| = |\cos \sigma_0| \leq 1
\]

is concretely connected to the particle phase advance through one lattice period providing a useful physical interpretation

Consequence:

*Any* periodic lattice with undepressed phase advance satisfying

\[
\sigma_0 < \pi / \text{period} = 180^\circ / \text{period}
\]

will have stable single particle orbits.
Discussion:
The phase advance $\sigma_0$ is an extremely useful dimensionless measure to characterize the focusing strength of a periodic lattice. Much of conventional accelerator physics centers on focusing strength and the suppression of resonance effects. The phase advance is a natural parameter to employ in many situations to allow ready interpretation of results in a generalizable manner.

We present phase advance formulas for several simple classes of lattices to help build intuition on focusing strength:

1) Continuous Focusing
2) Periodic Solenoidal Focusing
3) Periodic Quadrupole Doublet Focusing
   - FODO Quadrupole Limit
 ▶ Lattices analyzed as “hard-edge” with piecewise-constant $\kappa(s)$ and lattice period $L_p$
 ▶ Results are summarized only with derivations guided in the problem sets.
4) Thin Lens Limits
   - Useful for analysis of scaling properties

Several of these will be derived in the problem sets.
1) Continuous Focusing

“Lattice period” \( L_p \) is an arbitrary length for phase accumulation

\[
\kappa(s) = k_{\beta_0}^2 = \text{const} > 0
\]

**Parameters:**

- \( L_p = \text{Lattice Period} \)
- \( k_{\beta_0}^2 = \text{Strength} \)

Apply phase advance formulas:

\[
w'' + k_{\beta_0}^2 \frac{1}{w^3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{\beta_0}}} \]

\[
\sigma_0 = k_{\beta_0} L_p
\]

- Always stable
  - Energy cannot pump into or out of particle orbit
Rescaled Principal Orbit Evolution:

\[ L_p = 0.5 \, \text{m} \]
\[ \sigma_0 = \pi / 3 = 60^\circ \]
\[ k_{\beta 0} = (\pi / 6) \, \text{rad/m} \]

Cosine-Like
1: \( x(0) = 1 \, \text{mm} \)
\( x'(0) = 0 \, \text{mrad} \)

Sine-Like
2: \( x(0) = 0 \, \text{mm} \)
\( x'(0) = 1 \, \text{mrad} \)
Phase-Space Evolution (see also S7):

- Phase-space ellipse stationary and aligned along $x$, $x'$ axes for continuous focusing

\[ w = \sqrt{1/k_{\beta_0}} = \text{const} \]

\[ w' = 0 \]

\[ \gamma = \frac{1}{w^2} = k_{\beta_0} = \text{const} \]

\[ \alpha = -ww' = 0 \]

\[ \beta = w^2 = 1/k_{\beta_0} = \text{const} \]

\[ k_{\beta_0}x^2 + x'^2/k_{\beta_0} = \epsilon = \text{const} \]
2) Periodic Solenoidal Focusing

Results are interpreted in the rotating Larmor frame (see S2 and Appendix A)

Parameters:

\[ \eta \in (0, 1] = \text{Occupancy} \]
\[ \hat{\kappa} = \text{Strength} \]

Characteristics:

\[ \eta L_p = \text{Optic Length} \]
\[ (1 - \eta) L_p = \text{Drift Length} \]

Calculation gives:

\[ \cos \sigma_0 = \cos(2\Theta) - \frac{1 - \eta}{\eta} \Theta \sin(2\Theta) \]
\[ \Theta \equiv \frac{\eta}{2} \sqrt{\hat{\kappa} L_p} \]

- Can be unstable when \( \hat{\kappa} \) becomes large
  - Energy can pump into or out of particle orbit
Rescaled Larmor-Frame Principal Orbit Evolution Solenoid Focusing:

\[ L_p = 0.5 \text{ m} \]
\[ \sigma_0 = \frac{\pi}{3} = 60^\circ \ (\kappa = 8.558 \text{ m}^{-2}) \]
\[ \eta = 0.5 \]

Cosine-Like
1: \( \tilde{x}(0) = 1 \text{ mm} \) \( \tilde{x}'(0) = 0 \text{ mrad} \)

Sine-Like
2: \( \tilde{x}(0) = 0 \text{ mm} \) \( \tilde{x}'(0) = 1 \text{ mrad} \)

Principal orbits in \( \tilde{y} - \tilde{y}' \) phase-space are identical
Phase-Space Evolution in the Larmor frame (see also: S7):
- Phase-Space ellipse rotates and evolves in periodic lattice
- Phase-space properties same as in $\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}'$
- Phase-space structure in $x-x', y-y'$ phase space is complicated

\[ \gamma \tilde{x}^2 - 2\alpha \tilde{x} \tilde{x}' + \beta \tilde{x}'^2 = \epsilon = \text{const} \]

\[ \beta = \frac{1}{\gamma} = \frac{\omega^2}{\gamma} \text{ [m]} \]

\[ \alpha = -\omega \omega' \] [1]
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Comments on periodic solenoid results:

- Larmor frame analysis greatly simplifies results
  - 4D coupled orbit in $x-x', y-y'$ phase-space will be much more intricate in structure
- Phase-Space ellipse rotates and evolves in periodic lattice
- Periodic structure of lattice changes orbits from simple harmonic
3) Periodic Quadrupole Doublet Focusing

Parameters:
- $L_p = $ Lattice Period
- $\eta \in (0, 1] = $ Occupancy
- $\alpha \in [0, 1] = $ Syncopation
- $\hat{\kappa} = $ Strength

Characteristics:
- $\eta L_p / 2 = $ F/D Len
- $\alpha (1 - \eta) L_p = $ Drift Len $d_1$
- $(1 - \alpha)(1 - \eta) L_p = $ Drift Len $d_2$

Calculation gives:

$$\cos \sigma_0 = \cos \Theta \cosh \Theta + \frac{1 - \eta}{\eta} \Theta (\cos \Theta \sinh \Theta - \sin \Theta \cosh \Theta)$$

$$- 2\alpha(1 - \alpha) \frac{(1 - \eta)^2}{\eta^2} \Theta^2 \sin \Theta \sinh \Theta$$

- Can be unstable when $\hat{\kappa}$ becomes large
- Energy can pump into or out of particle orbit
Comments on Parameters:

- The “syncopation” parameter $\alpha$ measures how close the Focusing (F) and DeFocusing (D) quadrupoles are to each other in the lattice

$$\alpha \in [0, 1]$$

$$\alpha = 0 \implies d_1 = 0 \quad d_2 = (1 - \eta)L_p$$

$$\alpha = 1 \implies d_1 = (1 - \eta)L_p \quad d_2 = 0$$

- The range $\alpha \in [1/2, 1]$ can be mapped to $\alpha \in [0, 1/2]$ by simply relabeling quantities. Therefore, we can take:

$$\alpha \in [0, 1/2]$$

- The special case of a doublet lattice with $\alpha = 1/2$ corresponds to equal drift lengths between the F and D quadrupoles and is called a FODO lattice

$$\alpha = 1/2 \implies d_1 = d_2 \equiv d = (1 - \eta)L_p/2$$

Phase advance constraint will be derived for FODO case in problems (algebra much simpler than doublet case)
Special Case Doublet Focusing: Periodic Quadrupole FODO Lattice

Parameters:

\[ \eta \in (0, 1] = \text{Occupancy} \]
\[ \hat{\kappa} = \text{Strength} \]

Characteristics:

\[ \eta L_p/2 = \ell = \text{F/D Len} \]
\[ (1 - \eta)L_p/2 = d = \text{Drift Len} \]

Phase advance formula reduces to:

\[ \cos \sigma_0 = \cos \Theta \cosh \Theta + \frac{1 - \eta}{\eta} \Theta (\cos \Theta \sinh \Theta - \sin \Theta \cosh \Theta) \]
\[ - \frac{(1 - \eta)^2}{2\eta^2} \Theta^2 \sin \Theta \sinh \Theta \]

\[ \Theta \equiv \frac{\eta}{2} \sqrt{|\hat{\kappa}|L_p} \]

- Analysis shows FODO provides stronger focus for same integrated field gradients than doublet due to symmetry
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Rescaled Principal Orbit Evolution  FODO Quadrupole:

\( L_p = 0.5 \text{ m} \)
\( \sigma_0 = \frac{\pi}{3} = 60^\circ \ (\kappa = 39.24 \text{ m}^{-2}) \)
\( \eta = 0.5 \)

1: \( x(0) = 1 \text{ mm} \) \( x'(0) = 0 \text{ mrad} \)

2: \( x(0) = 0 \text{ mm} \) \( x'(0) = 1 \text{ mrad} \)

Cosine-Like  Sine-Like
Phase-Space Evolution (see also: S7):

\[ \gamma x^2 - 2\alpha xx' + \beta x'^2 = \epsilon = \text{const} \]
Comments on periodic FODO quadrupole results:

- Phase-Space ellipse rotates and evolves in periodic lattice
  - Evolution more intricate for Alternating Gradient (AG) focusing than for solenoidal focusing in the Larmor frame
- Harmonic content of orbits larger for AG focusing than solenodial focusing
- Orbit and phase space evolution analogous in $y$-$y'$ plane
  - Simply related by an shift in $s$ of the lattice
Contrast of Principal Orbits for different focusing:

- Use previous examples with “equivalent” focusing strength $\sigma_0 = 60^\circ$
- Note that periodic focusing adds harmonic structure

1) Continuous Focusing

2) Periodic Solenoidal Focusing (Larmor Frame)

3) Periodic FODO Quadrupole Doublet Focusing

Simple Harmonic Oscillator

Simple harmonic oscillations modified with additional harmonics due to periodic focus

Simple harmonic oscillations more strongly modified due to periodic AG focus
4) Thin Lens Limits

Convenient to simply understand analytic scaling

\[ \kappa_x(s) = \frac{1}{f} \delta(s - s_0) \]

\[ s_0 = \text{Optic Location} = \text{const} \]
\[ f = \text{focal length} = \text{const} \]

Transfer Matrix:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  x \\
  x'
\end{bmatrix}
_{s=s_0^+} =
\begin{bmatrix}
  1 & 0 \\
  -1/f & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\cdot
\begin{bmatrix}
  x \\
  x'
\end{bmatrix}
_{s=s_0^-}
\]

Graphical Interpretation:
The thin lens limit of “thick” hard-edge solenoid and quadrupole focusing lattices presented can be obtained by taking:

Solenoids: \( \hat{\kappa} \equiv \frac{1}{\eta f L_p} \) then take \( \lim_{\eta \to 0} \)

Quadrupoles: \( \hat{\kappa} \equiv \frac{2}{\eta f L_p} \) then take \( \lim_{\eta \to 0} \)

This obtains when applied in the previous formulas:

\[
\cos \sigma_0 = \begin{cases} 
1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{L_p}{f}, & \text{thin-lens periodic solenoid} \\
1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} (1 - \alpha) \left( \frac{L_p}{f} \right)^2, & \text{thin-lens quadrupole doublet} 
\end{cases}
\]

\( \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \implies \text{FODO} \)

These formulas can also be derived directly from the drift and thin lens transfer matrices as

**Periodic Solenoid**

\[
\cos \sigma_0 = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & L_p \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{f} & 1 \end{array} \right] = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{L_p}{f}
\]

**Periodic Quadrupole Doublet**

\[
\cos \sigma_0 = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{f} & 1 \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & \alpha L_p \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{f} & 1 \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & (1 - \alpha) L_p \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} (1 - \alpha) \left( \frac{L_p}{f} \right)^2
\]
Expanded phase advance formulas (thin lens type limit and similar) can be useful in system design studies

- Desirable to derive simple formulas relating magnet parameters to $\sigma_0$
- Clear analytic scaling trends clarify design trade-offs
- For hard edge periodic lattices, expand formula for $\cos \sigma_0$ to leading order in $\Theta = \sqrt{|\hat{k}|\eta L_p/2}$

/// Example: Periodic Quadrupole Doublet Focusing:
Expand previous phase advance formula for syncopated quadrupole doublet to obtain:

$$\cos \sigma_0 = 1 - \frac{(\eta \hat{k}_Q L_p^2)^2}{32} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{2}{3} \eta \right) - 4 \left( \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \left( 1 - \eta \right)^2 \right]$$

where:

$$\hat{k} = \begin{cases} \frac{\hat{G}}{[B\rho]}, & \text{Magnetic Quadrupoles} \\ \frac{\hat{G}}{\beta_b c [B\rho]}, & \text{Electric Quadrupoles} \end{cases} \quad \hat{G} = \text{Hard-Edge Field Gradient}$$
Using these results, plot the **Field Gradient** and **Integrated Gradient** for quadrupole doublet focusing needed for $\sigma_0 = 80^\circ$ per lattice period

\[
\text{Gradient} \sim |\hat{k}| L_p^2 \sim \hat{G}
\]

\[
\text{Integrated Gradient} \sim \eta |\hat{k}| L_p^2/2 \sim \hat{G}\ell
\]

\[
\sigma_0 = 80^\circ \text{/(Lattice Period) Quadrupole Doublet}
\]

- Exact (non-expanded) solutions plotted dashed (almost overlay)
- Gradient and integrated gradient required depend only weakly on syncopation factor $\alpha$ when $\alpha$ is near or larger than $\frac{1}{2}$
- Stronger gradient required for low occupancy $\eta$ but integrated gradient varies comparatively less with $\eta$ except for small $\alpha$
Appendix A: Calculation of $w(s)$ from Principal Orbit Functions

Evaluate principal orbit expressions of the transfer matrix through one lattice period using

$$w(s_i + L_p) = w_i$$
$$w'(s_i + L_p) = w_i'$$

and

$$\Delta \psi(s_i + L_p) = \int_{s_i}^{s_i + L_p} \frac{ds}{w^2(s)} = \sigma_0$$

to obtain (see principal orbit formulas expressed in phase-amplitude form):

$$C(s_i + L_p|s_i) = \cos \sigma_0 - w_i w_i' \sin \sigma_0$$
$$S(s_i + L_p|s_i) = w_i^2 \sin \sigma_0$$

$$C''(s_i + L_p|s_i) = -\left( \frac{1}{w_i^2} + w_i w_i' \right) \sin \sigma_0$$
$$S'(s_i + L_p|s_i) = \cos \sigma_0 + w_i w_i' \sin \sigma_0$$
Giving:

\[ w_i = \sqrt{\frac{S(s_i + L_p | s_i)}{\sin \sigma_0}} \]
\[ w_i' = \frac{\cos \sigma_0 - C(s_i + L_p | s_i)}{\sqrt{S(s_i + L_p | s_i)} \sin \sigma_0} \]

Apply \( C(s|s_i) \) Eqn.

Apply \( S(s|s_i) \) Eqn.

+ \( w_i \) Result Above

Or in terms of the betatron formulation (see: S7 and S8) with

\[ \beta = w^2, \quad \beta' = 2ww' \]

\[ \beta_i = w_i^2 = \frac{S(s_i + L_p | s_i)}{\sin \sigma_0} \]
\[ \beta_i' = 2w_iw_i' = \frac{2[\cos \sigma_0 - C(s_i + L_p | s_i)]}{\sin \sigma_0} \]

Next, calculate \( w \) from the principal orbit expression in phase-amplitude form:

\[ \frac{S}{w_iw} = \sin \Delta \psi \]
\[ \frac{w_i}{w} C + \frac{w_i'}{w} S = \cos \Delta \psi \]

\( S \equiv S(s|s_i) \) etc.

SM Lund, USPAS, 2015
Square and add equations:
\[
\left( \frac{S}{w_i w} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{w_i C}{w} + \frac{w_i' S}{w} \right)^2 = 1
\]

\(\triangleright\) This result reflects the structure of the underlying Courant-Snyder invariant (see: S7)

Gives:
\[
w^2 = \left( \frac{S}{w_i} \right)^2 + (w_i C + w_i' S)^2
\]

Use \(w_i, w_i'\) previously identified and write out result:

\[
w^2(s) = \beta(s) = \sin \sigma_0 \frac{S^2(s|s_i)}{S(s_i + L_p|s_i)} + \frac{S(s_i + L_p|s_i)}{\sin \sigma_0} \left[ C(s|s_i) + \cos \sigma_0 - C(s_i + L_p|s_i) \frac{S(s_i + L_p|s_i)}{S(s_i + L_p|s_i)} S(s|s_i) \right]^2
\]

\(\triangleright\) Formula shows that for a given \(\sigma_0\) (used to specify lattice focusing strength), \(w(s)\) is given by two linear principal orbits calculated over one lattice period
- Easy to apply numerically

A3
An alternative way to calculate \( w(s) \) is as follows. 1\(^{st} \) apply the phase-amplitude formulas for the principal orbit functions with:

\[
\begin{align*}
    s_i &\rightarrow s \\
    s &\rightarrow s + L_p
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    C(s + L_p | s) &= \cos \sigma_0 - w(s) w'(s) \sin \sigma_0 \\
    S(s + L_p | s) &= w^2(s) \sin \sigma_0
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    w^2(s) &= \beta(s) = \frac{S(s + L_p | s)}{\sin \sigma_0} = \frac{M_{12}(s + L_p | s)}{\sin \sigma_0}
\end{align*}
\]

- **Formula requires calculation of** \( S(s + L_p | s) \) **at every value of** \( s \) **within lattice period**
- **Previous formula requires one calculation of** \( C(s | s_i), S(s | s_i) \) **for** \( s_i \leq s \leq s_i + L_p \) **and any value of** \( s_i \)
Matrix algebra can be applied to simplify this result:

\[
M(s + L_p|s) = M(s + L_p|s_i + L_p) \cdot M(s_i + L_p|s) \\
= M(s|s_i) \cdot M(s_i + L_p|s) \cdot [M(s|s_i) \cdot M^{-1}(s|s_i)] \\
= M(s|s_i) \cdot M(s_i + L_p|s_i) \cdot M^{-1}(s|s_i)
\]

\[
M(s + L_p|s) = M(s|s_i) \cdot M(s_i + L_p|s_i) \cdot M^{-1}(s|s_i)
\]

✿ Using this result with the previous formula allows the transfer matrix to be calculated only once per period from any initial condition

✿ Using:

\[
M = \begin{pmatrix} C & S \\ C' & S' \end{pmatrix} \quad M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} S' & -S \\ -C' & C \end{pmatrix}
\]

Apply Wronskian condition:

\[
\det M = 1
\]

The matrix formula can be shown to the equivalent to the previous one

✿ Methodology applied in: Lund, Chilton, and Lee, PRSTAB 9 064201 (2006) to construct a fail-safe iterative matched envelope including space-charge A5
Constants of the motion can simplify the interpretation of dynamics in physics

- Desirable to identify constants of motion for Hill's equation for improved understanding of focusing in accelerators
- Constants of the motion are not immediately obvious for Hill's Equation due to s-varying focusing forces related to \( \kappa(s) \) can add and remove energy from the particle
  - Wronskian symmetry is one useful symmetry
  - Are there other symmetries?
/// Illustrative Example: Continuous Focusing/Simple Harmonic Oscillator

Equation of motion:

\[ x'' + k_{\beta_0}^2 x = 0 \quad k_{\beta_0}^2 = \text{const} > 0 \]

Constant of motion is the well-know Hamiltonian/Energy:

\[ H = \frac{1}{2} x'^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_{\beta_0}^2 x^2 = \text{const} \]

which shows that the particle moves on an ellipse in \( x-x' \) phase-space with:

- Location of particle on ellipse set by initial conditions
- All initial conditions with same energy/H give same ellipse

Max/Min[\( x \)] \( \iff \) \( x' = 0 \)
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Max/Min}[x] &= \pm \sqrt{2H/k_{\beta_0}^2} \\
\text{Max/Min}[x'] &= x = 0 \\
\text{Max/Min}[x'] &= \pm \sqrt{2H}
\end{align*}
\]
Question:
For Hill's equation:

\[ x'' + \kappa(s)x = 0 \]

does a quadratic invariant exist that can aid interpretation of the dynamics?

Answer we will find:
Yes, the Courant-Snyder invariant

Comments:
- Very important in accelerator physics
  - Helps interpretation of linear dynamics
- Named in honor of Courant and Snyder who popularized it's use in
  Accelerator physics while co-discovering alternating gradient (AG) focusing
  in a single seminal (and very elegant) paper:
    Courant and Snyder, *Theory of the Alternating Gradient Synchrotron*,
  - Christofolos also understood AG focusing in the same period using a
    more heuristic analysis
- Easily derived using phase-amplitude form of orbit solution
  - Can be much harder using other methods
The phase amplitude method described in S6 makes identification of the invariant elementary. Use the phase amplitude form of the orbit:

\[ x(s) = A_i w(s) \cos \psi(s) \]
\[ x'(s) = A_i w'(s) \cos \psi(s) - \frac{A_i}{w(s)} \sin \psi(s) \]

where

\[ w'' + \kappa(s)w - \frac{1}{w^3} = 0 \]

Re-arrange the phase-amplitude trajectory equations:

\[ \frac{x}{w} = A_i \cos \psi \]
\[ wx' - w'x = A_i \sin \psi \]

square and add the equations to obtain the Courant-Snyder invariant:

\[ \left( \frac{x}{w} \right)^2 + (wx' - w'x)^2 = A_i^2 (\cos^2 \psi + \sin^2 \psi) \]
\[ = A_i^2 = \text{const} \]
Comments on the Courant-Snyder Invariant:
- Simplifies interpretation of dynamics (will show how shortly)
- Extensively used in accelerator physics
- Quadratic structure in $x-x'$ defines a rotated ellipse in $x-x'$ phase space.
- Because
  \[ w^2 \left( \frac{x}{w} \right)' = wx' - w' x \]
  the Courant-Snyder invariant can be alternatively expressed as:
  \[ \left( \frac{x}{w} \right)^2 + \left[ w^2 \left( \frac{x}{w} \right)' \right]^2 = \text{const} \]
- \textit{Cannot} be interpreted as a conserved energy!

The point that the Courant-Snyder invariant is \textit{not} a conserved energy should be elaborated on. The equation of motion:

\[ x'' + \kappa(s)x = 0 \]

Is derivable from the Hamiltonian

\[ H = \frac{1}{2} x'^2 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa x^2 \quad \implies \quad \frac{d}{ds} x = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x'} = x' \]
\[ \frac{d}{ds} x' = - \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = -\kappa x \quad \implies \quad x'' + \kappa x = 0 \]
\( H \) is the energy:

\[
H = \frac{1}{2} x'^2 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa x^2 = T + V
\]

\( T = \frac{1}{2} x'^2 \) = Kinetic "Energy"

\( V = \frac{1}{2} \kappa x^2 \) = Potential "Energy"

Apply the chain-Rule with \( H = H(x,x';s) \):

\[
\frac{dH}{ds} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \frac{dx}{ds} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial x'} \frac{dx'}{ds}
\]

Apply the equation of motion in Hamiltonian form:

\[
\frac{d}{ds} x = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x'}
\]

\[
\frac{d}{ds} x' = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}
\]

\[
\frac{dH}{ds} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial s} - \frac{dx'}{ds} \frac{dx}{ds} + \frac{dx}{ds} \frac{dx'}{ds} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{2} \kappa' x^2 \neq 0
\]

\[\implies H \neq \text{const}\]

- Energy of a "kicked" oscillator with \( \kappa(s) \neq \text{const} \) is not conserved
- Energy should not be confused with the Courant-Snyder invariant
/// Aside: Only for the special case of **continuous focusing** (i.e., a simple Harmonic oscillator) are the Courant-Snyder invariant and energy simply related:

**Continuous Focusing:** \( \kappa(s) = k_{\beta_0}^2 = \text{const} \)

\[ \Longrightarrow H = \frac{1}{2} x'^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_{\beta_0}^2 x^2 = \text{const} \]

**w equation:** \( w'' + k_{\beta_0}^2 w - \frac{1}{w^3} = 0 \)

\[ \Longrightarrow w = \sqrt{\frac{1}{k_{\beta_0}}} = \text{const} \]

**Courant-Snyder Invariant:** \( \left( \frac{x}{w} \right)^2 + (wx' - w' x)^2 = \text{const} \)

\[ \Longrightarrow \left( \frac{x}{w} \right)^2 + (wx' - w' x)^2 = k_{\beta_0} x^2 + \frac{x'^2}{k_{\beta_0}} \]

\[ = \frac{2}{k_{\beta_0}} \left( \frac{1}{2} x'^2 + \frac{1}{2} k_{\beta_0}^2 x^2 \right) \]

\[ = \frac{2H}{k_{\beta_0}} = \text{const} \]

///
Interpret the Courant-Snyder invariant:

\[
\left( \frac{x}{w} \right)^2 + (wx' - w'x)^2 = A_i^2 = \text{const}
\]

by expanding and isolating terms quadratic terms in \(x-x'\) phase-space variables:

\[
\left[ \frac{1}{w^2} + w'^2 \right] x^2 + 2[-ww']xx' + [w^2]x'^2 = A_i^2 = \text{const}
\]

The three coefficients in [...] are functions of \(w\) and \(w'\) only and therefore are functions of the lattice only (not particle initial conditions). They are commonly called “Twiss Parameters” and are expressed denoted as:

\[
\gamma x^2 + 2\alpha xx' + \beta x'^2 = A_i^2 = \text{const}
\]

\[
\gamma(s) \equiv \frac{1}{w^2(s)} + [w'(s)]^2 = \frac{1 + \alpha^2(s)}{\beta(s)}
\]

\[
\beta(s) \equiv w^2(s)
\]

\[
\alpha(s) \equiv -w(s)w'(s)
\]

- All Twiss “parameters” are specified by \(w(s)\)
- Given \(w\) and \(w'\) at a point \((s)\) any 2 Twiss parameters give the 3rd
The area of the invariant ellipse is:
- Analytic geometry formulas: \( \gamma x^2 + 2\alpha xx' + \beta x'^2 = \pi A_i^2 \rightarrow \text{Area} = A_i^2 / \sqrt{\gamma \beta - \alpha^2} \)
- For Courant-Snyder ellipse: \( \gamma/\beta = 1 + \alpha^2 \)

\[
\text{Phase-Space Area} = \int_{\text{ellipse}} dxdx' = \frac{\pi A_i^2}{\sqrt{\gamma \beta - \alpha^2}} = \pi A_i^2 \equiv \pi \epsilon
\]

Where \( \epsilon \) is the single-particle emittance:
- Emittance is the area of the orbit in \( x-x' \) phase-space divided by \( \pi \)

\[
\left[\frac{1}{w^2} + w'^2\right]x^2 + 2[-ww']xx' + [w^2]x'^2 = \epsilon
\]

\[
\gamma x^2 + 2\alpha xx' + \beta x'^2 = \epsilon = \text{const}
\]

See problem sets for critical point calculation
/// Aside on Notation: **Twiss Parameters** and **Emittance Units**:

**Twiss Parameters:**
Use of $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$ should not create confusion with kinematic relativistic factors
- $\beta_b$, $\gamma_b$ are absorbed in the focusing function
- Contextual use of notation unfortunate reality .... not enough symbols!
- Notation originally due to Courant and Snyder, not Twiss, and might be more appropriately called “Courant-Snyder functions” or “lattice functions.”

**Emittance Units:**
$x$ has dimensions of length and $x'$ is a dimensionless angle. So $x-x'$ phase-space area has dimensions $[[\varepsilon]] = \text{length}$. A common choice of units is millimeters (mm) and milliradians (mrad), e.g.,

$$\varepsilon = 10 \text{ mm-mrad}$$

The definition of the emittance employed is not unique and different workers use a wide variety of symbols. Some common notational choices:

$$\pi\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow E$$

Write the emittance values in units with a $\pi$, e.g.,

$$\varepsilon = 10.5 \pi - \text{mm-mrad} \quad (\text{seems falling out of favor but still common})$$

Use caution! Understand conventions being used before applying results!  ///
Emittance is sometimes defined by the largest Courant-Snyder ellipse that will contain a specified fraction of the distribution of beam particles. Common choices are:

- 100%
- 95%
- 90%
- ....
- Depends emphasis

![Figure to be added](use pic of distorted intensity map in x-x' with nested ellipses superimposed at different distribution fractions.]

We will motivate (problems and later lectures) that the statistical measure

\[
\varepsilon_{\text{rms}} = \left[ \langle \langle x^2 \rangle \langle x' \rangle^2 \rangle - \langle xx' \rangle^2 \right]^{1/2}
\]

\[
\langle \cdots \rangle = \text{Distribution Average}
\]

\[
\varepsilon_{\text{rms}} = \text{rms Statistical Emittance}
\]

provides a distribution weighted average measure of the beam phase-space area.
Properties of Courant-Snyder Invariant:

- The ellipse will rotate and change shape as the particle advances through the focusing lattice, but the instantaneous area of the ellipse (\( \pi \epsilon = \text{const} \)) remains constant.
- The location of the particle on the ellipse and the size (area) of the ellipse depends on the initial conditions of the particle.
- The orientation of the ellipse is independent of the particle initial conditions. All particles move on nested ellipses.
- Quadratic in the \( x-x' \) phase-space coordinates, but is not the transverse particle energy (which is not conserved).
The Courant-Snyder invariant helps us understand the phase-space evolution of the particles. Knowing how the ellipse transforms (twists and rotates without changing area) is equivalent to knowing the dynamics of a bundle of particles. To see this:

**General $s$:**

\[ \gamma x^2 + 2\alpha xx' + \beta x'^2 = \epsilon \]

Initial $s = s_i$

\[ \gamma_i x_i^2 + 2\alpha_i x_ix_i' + \beta_i x_i'^2 = \epsilon \]

\[ \beta_i \equiv \beta(s = s_i) \quad x_i \equiv x(s = s_i) \]

\[ \alpha_i \equiv \alpha(s = s_i) \quad x_i' \equiv x'(s = s_i) \]

\[ \gamma_i \equiv \gamma(s = s_i) \]

Apply the components of the transport matrix:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  x \\
  x'
\end{bmatrix}
= \mathbf{M}(s|s_i) \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
  x_i \\
  x_i'
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
  C(s|s_i) & S(s|s_i) \\
  C'(s|s_i) & S'(s|s_i)
\end{bmatrix}
\cdot \begin{bmatrix}
  x_i \\
  x_i'
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Invert 2x2 matrix and apply \( \det \mathbf{M} = 1 \) (Wronskian):

\[
\implies \begin{bmatrix}
  x_i \\
  x_i'
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
  S' & -S \\
  -C' & C
\end{bmatrix}
\cdot \begin{bmatrix}
  x \\
  x'
\end{bmatrix}
\quad C \equiv C(s|s_i), \text{ etc.}
\]
Insert expressions for $x_i, \ x'_i$ in the initial ellipse expression, collect factors of $x^2, \ xx', \ x'^2$ and equate to general $s$ ellipse expression:

$$\begin{align*}
[\gamma_i S'^2 - 2\alpha_i S'C' + \beta_i C'^2] x^2 \\
+ 2[-\gamma_i SS' + \alpha_i (CS' + SC') - \beta_i CC'] xx' \\
+ [\gamma_i S^2 - 2\alpha_i SC + \beta_i C^2] x'^2 \\
= \gamma x^2 + 2\alpha xx' + \beta x'^2
\end{align*}$$

Collect coefficients of $x^2, xx'$, and $x'^2$ and summarize in matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\gamma \\
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
S'^2 & -2C'S' & C'^2 \\
-SS' & CS' + SC' & -CC' \\
S^2 & -2CS & C^2
\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
\gamma_i \\
\alpha_i \\
\beta_i
\end{bmatrix}$$

This result can be applied to illustrate how a bundle of particles will evolve from an initial location in the lattice subject to the linear focusing optics in the machine using only principal orbits $C, S, C'$, and $S'$

- Principal orbits will generally need to be calculated numerically
  - Intuition can be built up using simple analytical results (hard edge etc)
- Can express $C, S, C', S'$ in terms of CS-ellipse functions using S6F results and definitions for $\beta, \alpha$
/// Example: Ellipse Evolution in a simple kicked focusing lattice

Drift: \[
\begin{bmatrix}
C & S \\
C' & S'
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & s - s_i \\
0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[\gamma = \gamma_i\]
\[\alpha = -\gamma_i(s - s_i) + \alpha_i\]
\[\beta = \gamma_i(s - s_i)^2 - 2\alpha_i(s - s_i) + \beta_i\]

Thin Lens: focal length \(f\)
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
C & S \\
C' & S'
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
-1/f & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[\gamma = \gamma_i + 2\alpha_i/f + \beta_i/f^2\]
\[\alpha = -\beta_i/f + \alpha_i\]
\[\beta = \beta_i\]

For further examples of phase-space ellipse evolutions in standard lattices, see previous examples given in: S6G

///
Rather than use a 3x3 advance matrix for $\gamma$, $\alpha$, $\beta$, we can alternatively derive an expression based on the usual 2x2 transfer matrix $M$ which will help further clarify the underlying structure of the linear dynamics.

Recall in S6F

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  x(s) \\
  x'(s)
\end{bmatrix}
= M(s|s_i) \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
  x(s_i) \\
  x'(s_i)
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
  C(s|s_i) & S(s|s_i) \\
  C'(s|s_i) & S'(s|s_i)
\end{bmatrix}
\cdot \begin{bmatrix}
  x(s_i) \\
  x'(s_i)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
C(s|s_i) = \frac{w(s)}{w_i} \cos \Delta \psi(s) - w'_iw(s) \sin \Delta \psi(s)
\]

\[
S(s|s_i) = w_iw(s) \sin \Delta \psi(s)
\]

\[
C'(s|s_i) = \left(\frac{w'(s)}{w_i} - \frac{w'_i}{w(s)}\right) \cos \Delta \psi(s) - \left(\frac{1}{w_iw(s)} + w'_iw'(s)\right) \sin \Delta \psi(s)
\]

\[
S'(s|s_i) = \frac{w_i}{w(s)} \cos \Delta \psi(s) + w_iw'(s) \sin \Delta \psi(s)
\]

\[
\Delta \psi(s) \equiv \int_{s_i}^{s} \frac{d\tilde{s}}{w^2(\tilde{s})} \quad \quad \quad w_i \equiv w(s = s_i) \quad \quad \quad w'_i \equiv w'(s = s_i)
\]
Using this and
\[
\beta \equiv w^2 \\
\alpha \equiv -ww' 
\implies \\
-w'w = (-w'_iw_i) \frac{w}{w_i} = \alpha_i \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\beta_i}}
\]

\[
\frac{w}{w_i} = \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\beta_i}}
\]

\[
\ldots \text{ etc.}
\]

After some algebra, we obtain the expression

\[
M(s|s_i) = \begin{bmatrix}
C(s|s_i) & S(s|s_i) \\
C'(s|s_i) & S'(s|s_i)
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
\sqrt{\frac{\beta(s)}{\beta_i}} \left[ \cos \Delta \psi(s) + \alpha_i \sin \Delta \psi(s) \right] & \sqrt{\beta_i \beta} \sin \Delta \psi(s) \\
-\frac{\alpha(s) - \alpha_i}{\sqrt{\beta_i \beta(s)}} \cos \Delta \psi(s) - \frac{1 + \alpha_i \alpha(s)}{\sqrt{\beta_i \beta(s)}} \sin \Delta \psi(s) & \sqrt{\frac{\beta_i}{\beta(s)}} \left[ \cos \Delta \psi(s) - \alpha \sin \Delta \psi(s) \right]
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\* Transfer matrix now expressed in terms of Courant-Snyder ellipse functions, their initial values, and the phase advance from the initial point.
For the special case of a periodic lattice with an advance over one period

\[
\begin{align*}
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An advance $s_i \rightarrow s + L_p$ through any interval in a periodic lattice can be resolved as:

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
  s_i & \rightarrow & s & \rightarrow & s_i + L_p & \rightarrow & s + L_p & \rightarrow \\
\end{array}
\]

Giving for $M(s + L_p | s_i)$:

\[
M(s + L_p | s) \cdot M(s | s_i) = M(s + L_p | s_i + L_p) \cdot M(s_i + L_p | s_i)
\]

Or:

\[
= M(s | s_i) \cdot M(s_i + L_p | s_i)
\]

\[
M(s + L_p | s) = M(s | s_i) \cdot M(s_i + L_p | s_i) \cdot M^{-1}(s | s_i)
\]

Using:

\[
M(s + L_p | s) = \mathbf{I} \cos \sigma_0 + \mathbf{J}(s) \sin \sigma_0
\]

\[
M(s_i + L_p | s_i) = \mathbf{I} \cos \sigma_0 + \mathbf{J}(s_i) \sin \sigma_0
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{J}(s) = M(s | s_i) \cdot \mathbf{J}(s_i) \cdot M^{-1}(s | s_i)
\]

\[
\mathbf{J} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\gamma & -\alpha \end{bmatrix}
\]

- Simple formula connects the Courant Synder functions $\gamma$, $\alpha$, $\beta$ at an initial point $s = s_i$ to any location $s$ in the lattice in terms of the transfer matrix $M$.
- Result does NOT require the lattice to be periodic. Periodic extensions can be used to generalize arguments employed to work for any lattice interval.
The phase-amplitude form of the particle orbit analyzed in S6 of

\[ x(s) = A_i w(s) \cos \psi(s) = \sqrt{\epsilon} w(s) \cos \psi(s) \]

is not a unique choice. Here, \( w \) has dimensions \( \text{sqrt(meters)} \), which can render it inconvenient in applications. Due to this and the utility of the Twiss parameters used in describing orientation of the phase-space ellipse associated with the Courant-Snyder invariant (see: S7) on which the particle moves, it is convenient to define an alternative, Betatron representation of the orbit with:

\[ x(s) = \sqrt{\epsilon} \sqrt{\beta(s)} \cos \psi(s) \]

Betatron function: \( \beta(s) \equiv w^2(s) \)

Single-Particle Emittance: \( \epsilon \equiv A_i^2 = \text{const} \)

Phase:

\[ \psi(s) = \psi_i + \int_{s_i}^{s} \frac{d\tilde{s}}{\beta(\tilde{s})} = \psi_i + \Delta \psi(s) \]

- The betatron function is a Twiss “parameter” with dimension \( [[\beta]] = \text{meters} \)
Comments:

- Use of the symbol $\beta$ for the betatron function does not result in confusion with relativistic factors such as $\beta_b$ since the context of use will make clear.
  - Relativistic factors often absorbed in lattice focusing function and do not directly appear in the dynamical descriptions.

- The change in phase $\Delta\psi$ is the same for both formulations:

$$\Delta\psi(s) = \int_{s_i}^{s} \frac{d\tilde{s}}{w^2(\tilde{s})} = \int_{s_i}^{s} \frac{d\tilde{s}}{\beta(\tilde{s})}$$
From the equation for $w$:

$$w''(s) + \kappa(s) w(s) - \frac{1}{w^3(s)} = 0$$

$$w(s + L_p) = w(s) \quad w(s) > 0$$

the betatron function is described by:

$$w = \beta^{1/2}$$

$$w' = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\beta'}{\beta^{1/2}}$$

$$w'' = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\beta''}{2\beta^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\beta'^2}{\beta^{3/2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2} \beta(s) \beta''(s) - \frac{1}{4} \beta'^2(s) + \kappa(s) \beta^2(s) = 1$$

$$\beta(s + L_p) = \beta(s) \quad \beta(s) > 0$$

- The betatron function represents, analogously to the $w$-function, a special function defined by the periodic lattice. Similar to $w(s)$ it is a unique function of the lattice.
- The equation is still nonlinear but we can apply our previous analysis of $w(s)$ (see S6 Appendix A) to solve analytically in terms of the principle orbits.
From the orbit equation

\[ x = \sqrt{\epsilon \beta} \cos \psi \]

the maximum and minimum possible particle excursions occur where:

- \( \cos \psi = +1 \) \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{Max}[x] = \sqrt{\epsilon \beta(s)} = \sqrt{\epsilon w(s)} 
- \( \cos \psi = -1 \) \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{Min}[x] = -\sqrt{\epsilon \beta(s)} = -\sqrt{\epsilon w(s)}

Thus, the max radial extent of all particle oscillations \( \text{Max}[x] \equiv x_m \) in the beam distribution occurs for the particle with the max single particle emittance since the particles move on nested ellipses:

- Assumes sufficient numbers of particles to populate all possible phases
- \( x_m \) corresponds to the min possible machine aperture to prevent particle losses
  - Practical aperture choice influenced by: resonance effects due to nonlinear applied fields, space-charge, scattering, finite particle lifetime, ....

In terms of Twiss parameters:

\[
\begin{align*}
 x_m &= \sqrt{\epsilon_m w} = \sqrt{\epsilon_m \beta} \\
 x'_m &= \sqrt{\epsilon_m w'} = -\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_m}{\beta}} \alpha
\end{align*}
\]
From:

\[
\frac{d^2w}{ds^2}(s) + \kappa(s)w(s) - \frac{1}{w^3(s)} = 0
\]

\[w(s + L_p) = w(s) \quad w(s) > 0\]

We immediately obtain an equation for the maximum locus (envelope) of radial particle excursions \(x_m = \sqrt{\epsilon_m w}\) as:

\[
x''_m(s) + \kappa(s)x_m(s) - \frac{\epsilon_m^2}{x_m^3(s)} = 0
\]

\[x_m(s + L_p) = x_m(s) \quad x_m(s) > 0\]

Comments:

- Equation is analogous to the statistical envelope equation derived by J.J. Barnard in the Intro Lectures when a space-charge term is added and the max single particle emittance is interpreted as a statistical emittance - correspondence will become more concrete in later lectures

- This correspondence will be developed more extensively in later lectures on Transverse Centroid and Envelope Descriptions of Beam Evolution and Transverse Equilibrium Distributions
Except for brief digressions in \textbf{S1} and \textbf{S4}, we have concentrated on particle dynamics where all particles have the design longitudinal momentum at a value of \(s\) in the lattice:

\[
p_s = m \gamma_b \beta_b c = \text{same for every particle}
\]

Realistically, there will always be a finite spread of particle momentum within a beam slice, so we take:

\[
p_s = p_0 + \delta p
\]

- \(p_0 \equiv m \gamma_b \beta_b c = \text{Design Momentum}\)
- \(\delta p \equiv \text{Off Momentum}\)

Typical values of momentum spread in a beam with a single species of particles with conventional sources and accelerating structures:

\[
\frac{\left| \delta p \right|}{p_0} \sim 10^{-2} \rightarrow 10^{-6}
\]

The spread of particle momentum can modify particle orbits, particularly when dipole bends are present since the bend radius depends strongly on the particle momentum.
To better understand this effect, we analyze the particle equations of motion with leading-order momentum spread (see: S1H) effects retained:

\[ x''(s) + \left[ \frac{1}{R^2(s)} \frac{1 - \delta}{1 + \delta} + \frac{\kappa_x(s)}{(1 + \delta)^n} \right] x(s) = \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} \frac{1}{R(s)} \]

\[ y''(s) + \frac{\kappa_y(s)}{(1 + \delta)^n} y(s) = 0 \]

- Magnetic Dipole Bend

\[
R(s) = \text{Local Bend Radius} \\
\text{for design momentum } p_0 \\
(R \to \infty \text{ in straight sections})
\]

\[ \delta = \frac{\delta p}{p_0} \quad \kappa_{x,y} = \text{Focusing Functions} \quad \text{(using design momentum)} \]

\[ n = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{Magnetic Quadrupoles} \\
2, & \text{Solenoids, Electric Quadrupoles} 
\end{cases} \]

Neglects:
- Space-charge: \( \phi \to 0 \)
- Nonlinear applied focusing: \( \mathbf{E}^a, \mathbf{B}^a \) contain only linear focus terms
- Acceleration: \( p_0 = mc\gamma_b\beta_b = \text{const} \)
In the equations of motion, it is important to understand that $B_y^a$ of the magnetic bends are set from the radius $R$ required by the design particle orbit (see: S1 for details).

- Equation relating $R$ to fields must be modified for electric bends (see S1)
- $y$-plane bends also require modification of eqns (analogous to $x$-plane case)

The focusing strengths are defined with respect to the design momentum:

$$\kappa_x = \begin{cases} \frac{qG}{m\gamma_b\beta_b^2c^2}, & G = -\partial E_x^a/\partial x = \partial E_y^a/\partial y = \text{Electric Quad. Grad.} \\ \frac{qG}{m\gamma_b\beta_b c}, & G = \partial B_x^a/\partial y = \partial B_y^a/\partial x = \text{Magnetic Quad. Grad.} \\ \frac{qB_{z0}}{4m\gamma_b^2\beta_b^2c^2}, & B_{z0} = \text{Solenoidal Magnetic Field} \end{cases}$$

$\gamma_b, \beta_b$ calculated from $p_0$

Terms in the equations of motion associated with momentum spread ($\delta$) can be lumped into two classes:

- **S.9B: Dispersive** -- Associated with Dipole Bends
- **S.9C: Chromatic** -- Associated with Applied Focusing ($\kappa$)
S9B: Dispersive Effects

Present only in the $x$-equation of motion and result from bending. Neglecting chromatic terms:

$$x''(s) + \left[ \frac{1}{R^2(s)} \frac{1 - \delta}{1 + \delta} + \kappa_x(s) \right] x(s) = \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} \frac{1}{R(s)}$$

Term 1  Term 2

Particles are bent at different radii when the momentum deviates from the design value ($\delta \neq 0$) leading to changes in the particle orbit.

- Dispersive terms contain the bend radius $R$

Generally, the bend radii $R$ are large and $\delta$ is small, and we can take to leading order:

**Term 1:**

$$\left[ \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{1 - \delta}{1 + \delta} + \kappa_x \right] x \simeq \kappa_x x$$

Careful if $R$ not large as might be the case in low-energy beam lines

**Term 2:**

$$\frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} \frac{1}{R} \simeq \frac{\delta}{R} + \Theta(\delta^2)$$
The equations of motion then become:

\[
\begin{align*}
    x''(s) + \kappa_x(s)x(s) &= \frac{\delta}{R(s)} \\
    y''(s) + \kappa_y(s)y(s) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

The \textit{y-equation is not changed} from the usual \textit{Hill's Equation}.

The \textit{x-equation} is typically solved for \textit{periodic} ring lattices by exploiting the linear structure of the equation and linearly resolving:

\[
    x(s) = x_h(s) + x_p(s)
\]

\[
    x_h \equiv \text{Homogeneous Solution} \\
    x_p \equiv \text{Particular Solution}
\]

where \( x_h \) is the \textit{general} solution to the Hill's Equation:

\[
    x_h''(s) + \kappa_x(s)x_h(s) = 0
\]

and \( x_p \) is the \textit{periodic} solution to:

\[
    x_p = \delta \cdot D \\
    D''(s) + \kappa_x(s)D(s) = \frac{1}{R(s)}\
    D \equiv \text{Dispersion Function} \\
    D(s + L_p) = D(s)
\]
This convenient resolution of the orbit \( x(s) \) can always be made because the homogeneous solution will be adjusted to match any initial condition.

Note that \( x_p \) provides a measure of the offset of the particle orbit relative to the design orbit resulting from a small deviation of momentum (\( \delta \))

\[
x(s) = 0 \text{ defines the design orbit}
\]

\[
[[D]] = \text{meters}
\]

\[
\delta \cdot D = \text{Dispersion induced orbit offset in meters}
\]

Comments:

- It can be shown (see Appendix B) that \( D \) is unique given a focusing function \( \kappa_x \) for a periodic lattice provided that \( \frac{\sigma_{0x}}{2\pi} \neq \text{integer} \)

- In this context \( D \) is interpreted as a Lattice Function similarly to the betatron function

- Consequently, \( \delta D \) gives the closed orbit of an off-momentum particle in a ring due to dispersive effects

- The case of how to interpret and solve for \( D \) in a non-periodic lattice (transfer line) will be covered

- In this case initial conditions of \( D \) will matter
Extended 3x3 Transfer Matrix Form for Dispersion Function

Can solve $D$ in

$$D'' + \kappa_x D = \frac{1}{R}$$

by taking

$$D = D_h + D_p$$

$D_h = \text{Homogeneous Solution}$

$D_p = \text{Particular Solution}$

**Homogeneous solution** is the general solution to

- Usual Hill’s equation with solution expressed in terms of principle functions in 2x2 matrix form

$$D''_h + \kappa_x D_h = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
D_h \\
D'_h
\end{bmatrix}_s = \mathbf{M}(s|s_i) \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
D_h \\
D'_h
\end{bmatrix}_{s_i}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix}
C(s|s_i) & S(s|s_i) \\
C'(s|s_i) & S'(s|s_i)
\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
D_h \\
D'_h
\end{bmatrix}_{s_i}$$
**Particular solution** take to be the zero initial condition solution to

- Homogeneous part used to adjust for general initial conditions: always integrate from zero initial value and angle

\[ D_p'' + \kappa_x D_p = \frac{1}{R} \]

\[ D_p(s_i) = 0 = D_p'(s_i) \]

Denote solution as from zero initial value and angle at \( s = s_i \) as \( D_p(s) = D_p(s|s_i) \)

Can superimpose the homogeneous and particular solutions to form a generalized **3x3 transfer matrix** for the Dispersion function \( D \) as:

- Initial condition absorbed on homogeneous solution

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    D \\
    D' \\
    1
\end{bmatrix}_{s_i}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
    C(s|s_i) & S(s|s_i) & D_p(s|s_i) \\
    C'(s|s_i) & S'(s|s_i) & D_p'(s|s_i) \\
    0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\cdot
\begin{bmatrix}
    D \\
    D' \\
    1
\end{bmatrix}_{s_i}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
    \mathbf{M}(s|s_i) & D_p(s|s_i) \\
    D_p'(s|s_i) & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\cdot
\begin{bmatrix}
    D \\
    D' \\
    1
\end{bmatrix}_{s_i}
\equiv \mathbf{M}_3(s|s_i) \cdot
\begin{bmatrix}
    D \\
    D' \\
    1
\end{bmatrix}_{s_i}
\]
For a periodic solution:

\[
\begin{align*}
D(s_i + L_p) &= D(s_i) \\
D'(s_i + L_p) &= D'(s_i)
\end{align*}
\]

This gives two constraints to determine the needed initial condition for periodicity:
- Third row trivial

\[
\begin{align*}
D(s_i) - C(s_i + L_p | s_i)D(s_i) - S(s_i + L_p | s_i)D'(s_i) &= D_p(s_i + L_p | s_i) \\
D'(s_i) - C'(s_i + L_p | s_i)D(s_i) - S'(s_i + L_p | s_i)D'(s_i) &= D'_p(s_i + L_p | s_i)
\end{align*}
\]

Solving this using matrix methods (inverse by minor) and simplifying the result with the Wronskian invariant (S5C)

\[
W = C(s | s_i)S'(s | s_i) - S(s | s_i)C'(s | s_i) = 1
\]

and the definition of phase advance in the periodic lattice (S6G)

\[
\cos \sigma_{0x} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \ M(s_i + L_p | s_i) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ C'(s_i + L_p | s_i) + S'(s_i + L_p | s_i) \right]
\]
Resulting solution for $D$ from this initial condition will have the periodicity of the lattice. These values always exist for real $\sigma_{0x}$ ($\sigma_{0x} < 180^\circ$).

Values of $D(s_i)$, $D'(s_i)$ depend on location of choice of $s_i$ in lattice period.

Can use 3x3 transfer matrix to find $D$ anywhere in the lattice.

Formulation assumes that the underlying lattice is stable with $\sigma_{0x} < 180^\circ$.

Alternatively, take $s_i = s$ to show that

\[
D(s) = \frac{\left[1 - S'(s + L_p|s)\right]D_p(s + L_p|s) + S(s + L_p|s)D'_p(s + L_p|s)}{2(1 - \cos \sigma_{0x})}
\]

\[
D'(s) = \frac{C'(s + L_p|s)D_p(s + L_p|s) + \left[1 - C'(s + L_p|s)\right]D'_p(s + L_p|s)}{2(1 - \cos \sigma_{0x})}
\]
Particular Solution for the Dispersion Function in a Periodic Lattice

To solve the particular function of the dispersion from a zero initial condition,

\[ D''_p + \kappa_x D_p = \frac{1}{R}, \quad D_p(s_i) = 0 = D'_p(s_i) \]

A Green’s function method can be applied (see Appendix A) to express the solution in terms of projection on the principal orbits of Hill’s equation as:

\[ D_p(s) = \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \frac{1}{R(\tilde{s})} G(s, \tilde{s}) \]

\[ G(s, \tilde{s}) = S(s|s_i)C(\tilde{s}|s_i) - C(s|s_i)S(\tilde{s}|s_i) \]

\[ C(s|s_i) = \text{Cosine-like Principal Trajectory} \]

\[ S(s|s_i) = \text{Sine-like Principal Trajectory} \]

**Cosine-Like Solution**

\[ C''(s|s_i) + \kappa(s)C(s|s_i) = 0 \]

\[ C(s_i|s_i) = 1 \]

\[ C'(s_i|s_i) = 0 \]

**Sine-Like Solution**

\[ S''(s|s_i) + \kappa(s)S(s|s_i) = 0 \]

\[ S(s_i|s_i) = 0 \]

\[ S'(s_i|s_i) = 1 \]
Discussion:

- The Green’s function solution for $D_p$, together with the 3x3 transfer matrix, can be used to solve explicitly for $D$ from an initial value.
- The initial values $D(s_i)$, $D'(s_i)$ found will yield the unique solution for $D$ with the periodicity of the lattice.

The periodic lattice solution for the dispersion function can be expressed in terms of the betatron function of the periodic lattice as follows:

From S7C:

$$M(s|s_i) = \begin{bmatrix}
C(s|s_i) & S(s|s_i) \\
C'(s|s_i) & S'(s|s_i)
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix}
\sqrt{\frac{\beta(s)}{\beta_i}} [\cos \Delta \psi(s) + \alpha_i \sin \Delta \psi(s)] & \sqrt{\beta_i \beta} \sin \Delta \psi(s) \\
- \frac{\alpha(s)-\alpha_i}{\sqrt{\beta_i \beta(s)}} \cos \Delta \psi(s) - \frac{1+\alpha_i \alpha(s)}{\sqrt{\beta_i \beta(s)}} \sin \Delta \psi(s) & \sqrt{\frac{\beta(s)}{\beta_i}} [\cos \Delta \psi(s) - \alpha \sin \Delta \psi(s)]
\end{bmatrix}$$

and using

$$D_p(s) = \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \frac{1}{R(\tilde{s})} G(s, \tilde{s}) \quad G(s, \tilde{s}) = S(s|s_i)C(\tilde{s}|s_i) - C(s|s_i)S(\tilde{s}|s_i)$$

along with periodicity of the lattice functions $\beta$, $\alpha$.
along with considerable algebraic manipulations show that the dispersion function $D$ for the periodic lattice can be expressed as:

$$D(s) = \frac{\sqrt{\beta(s)}}{2 \sin(\sigma_{0x}/2)} \int_s^{s+L_p} d\tilde{s} \frac{\sqrt{\beta(\tilde{s})}}{R(\tilde{s})} \cos[\Delta \psi(\tilde{s}) - \Delta \psi(s) - \sigma_{0x}/2]$$

$$D'(s) - \frac{\alpha(s)}{\beta(s)} D(s) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\beta(s)} \sin(\sigma_{0x}/2)} \int_s^{s+L_p} d\tilde{s} \frac{\sqrt{\beta(\tilde{s})}}{R(\tilde{s})} \sin[\Delta \psi(\tilde{s}) - \Delta \psi(s) - \sigma_{0x}/2]$$

- Formulas and related information can be found in SY Lee, *Accelerator Physics* and Conte and MacKay, *Introduction to the Physics of Particle Accelerators*
- Provides periodic dispersion function $D$ as an integral of betatron function describing the linear optics of the lattice
Full Orbit Resolution in a Periodic Dispersive Lattice

Taking a particle initial condition,

\[ x(s = s_i) \equiv x_i \]
\[ x'(s = s_i) \equiv x'_i \]
\[ \delta = \frac{\Delta p}{p_0} \]

and using the homogeneous (Hill’s Equation Solution) and particular solutions (Dispersion function) of the periodic lattice, the orbit can be resolved as

\[ x(s) = x_h + x_p = x_i C(s | s_i) + x'_i S(s | s_i) + \delta [D(s) - D_i C(s | s_i) - D'_i S(s | s_i)] \]
\[ x'(s) = x'_h + x'_p = x'_i C'(s | s_i) + x'_i S'(s | s_i) + \delta [D'(s) - D_i C'(s | s_i) - D'_i S'(s | s_i)] \]

here,

\[ D(s = s_i) \equiv D_i \]
\[ D'(s = s_i) \equiv D'_i \]
Transfer Matrices for Dispersion Function

In problems, will derive 3x3 transfer matrices:

- Summarize results here for completeness
- Can apply to any initial conditions \( D_i, \ D'_i \)
  - Only specific initial conditions will yield \( D \) periodic with lattice
  - Useful in general form for applications to transfer lines, achromatic bends, etc.

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
D \\
D' \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
\equiv
\mathbf{M}_3(s|s_i)
\cdot
\begin{bmatrix}
D \\
D' \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Drift: \( \kappa_x(s) = 0 \)

\[
\mathbf{M}_3(s|s_i) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & (s - s_i) & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Thin Lens: located at \( s = s_i \) with focal strength \( f \) (no superimposed bend)

\[
\kappa_x(s) = -\frac{1}{f} \delta(s - s_i)
\]

\[
\mathbf{M}_3(s_i^+|s_i^-) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{f} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Thick Focus Lens: with $\kappa_x = \hat{\kappa} = \text{const} > 0$ (no superimposed bend)

\[
M_3(s|s_i) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \sin[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] & 0 \\
-\sqrt{\kappa} \sin[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] & \cos[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Thick deFocus Lens: with $\kappa_x = -\hat{\kappa} = \text{const} < 0$ (no superimposed bend)

\[
M_3(s|s_i) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cosh[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \sinh[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] & 0 \\
\sqrt{\kappa} \sinh[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] & \cosh[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Bend with Focusing: $R = \text{const}$, $\kappa_x = \hat{\kappa} = \text{const} > 0$

\[
M_3(s|s_i) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \sin[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] & \frac{1}{\kappa} \left\{ 1 - \cos[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] \right\} \\
-\sqrt{\kappa} \sin[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] & \cos[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \sin[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Bend with deFocusing: $R = \text{const}$, $\kappa_x = -\hat{\kappa} = \text{const} < 0$

\[
M_3(s|s_i) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cosh[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \sinh[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] & \frac{1}{R\sqrt{\kappa}} \left\{ -1 + \cosh[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] \right\} \\
\sqrt{\kappa} \sinh[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] & \cosh[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] & \frac{1}{R\sqrt{\kappa}} \sinh[\sqrt{\kappa}(s - s_i)] \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
For the special case of a sector bend of axial length $\ell$ the bend with focusing result reduces for transport through the full bend to:

$$\ell = R\theta, \quad \theta = \text{Bend Angle}$$

$$M_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta & R \sin \theta & R(1 - \cos \theta) \\
-\frac{\sin \theta}{R} & \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}$$

For a small angle bend with $|\theta| \ll 1$ this further reduces to:

$$M_3 \simeq \begin{bmatrix}
1 & \ell & \ell \theta / 2 \\
0 & 1 & \theta \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}$$
For purposes of a simple illustration we here use an imaginary FO (Focus-Drift) piecewise-constant lattice where the $x$-plane focusing is like the focus-plane of a quadrupole with one thick lens focus optic per lattice period and a single drift with the bend in the middle of the drift

- Focus element implemented by $\kappa > 0$ $x$-plane quadrupole transfer matrix in S5B.

\[
L_p = 0.5 \text{ m} \quad \kappa = 20/\text{m}^2 \quad \text{in Focusing}
\]
\[
\eta = 0.5 \quad R = 15 \text{ m}, \quad \text{in bend, 25\% Occupancy}
\]
// Example: Dispersion broadens the distribution in x

Uniform Bundle of particles $D = 0$

Same Bundle of particles $D$ nonzero

- Gaussian distribution of momentum spread distorts the $x$-$y$ distribution extents in $x$ but not in $y$
// Example: Continuous Focusing in a Continuous Bend

\[ \kappa_x(s) = k_{\beta_0}^2 = \text{const} \]

\[ R(s) = R = \text{const} \]

Dispersion equation becomes:

\[ D'' + k_{\beta_0}^2 D = \frac{1}{R} \]

With constant solution:

\[ D = \frac{1}{k_{\beta_0}^2 R} = \text{const} \]

From this result we can crudely estimate the average value of the dispersion function in a ring with periodic focusing by taking:

\[ R = \text{Avg Radius Ring} \]

\[ L_p = \text{Lattice Period (Focusing)} \]

\[ \sigma_{0x} = x\text{-Plane Phase Advance} \]

\[ \implies k_{\beta_0} \sim \frac{\sigma_0}{L_p} \quad \implies \quad D \sim \frac{L_p^2}{\sigma_0^2 R} \]
Many rings are designed to focus the dispersion function $D(s)$ to small values in straight sections even though the lattice has strong bends

- Desirable since it allows smaller beam extents at locations near where $D = 0$ and these locations can be used to insert and extract (kick) the beam into and out of the ring with minimal losses and/or accelerate the beam
  - Since average value of $D$ is dictated by ring size and focusing strength (see example next page) this variation in values can lead to $D$ being larger in other parts of the ring

- Quadrupole triplet focusing lattices are often employed in rings since the use of 3 optics per period (vs 2 in doublet) allows more flexibility to tune $D$ while simultaneously allowing particle phase advances to also be adjusted
Dispersive Effects in Transfer Lines with Bends

It is common that a beam is transported through a single or series of bends in applications rather than a periodic ring lattice. In such situations, dispersive corrections to the particle orbit are analyzed differently. In this case, the same particular + homogeneous solution decomposition is used as in the ring case with the Dispersion function satisfying:

\[ D''(s) + \kappa_x(s)D(s) = \frac{1}{R(s)} \]

However, in this case D is solved from an initial condition. Usually (but not always) from a dispersion-free initial condition \( s = s_i \) upstream of the bends with:

\[ D(s_i) = 0 = D'(s_i) \]

If the bends and focusing elements can be configured such that on transport through the bend \( s = s_d \) that

\[ D(s_d) = 0 = D'(s_d) \]

Then the bend system is first order achromatic meaning there will be no final orbit deviation to 1\textsuperscript{st} order in \( \delta \) on traversing the system.
This equation has the form of a *Driven Hill's Equation*:

\[ x'' + \kappa(s)x = p(s) \]

- \( x \rightarrow D \)
- \( p \rightarrow 1/R \)

The general solution to this equation can be solved analytically using a Green function method (see Appendix A) based on principle orbits of the homogeneous Hill’s equation as:

\[
x(s) = x(s_i)C(s|s_i) + x'(s_i)S(s|s_i) + \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \ G(s, \tilde{s})p(\tilde{s})
\]

\[
G(s, \tilde{s}) = S(s|s_i)C(\tilde{s}|s_i) - C(s|s_i)S(\tilde{s}|s_i)
\]

**Cosine-Like Solution**

\[
C''(s|s_i) + \kappa(s)C(s|s_i) = 0
\]

\[
C(s_i|s_i) = 1
\]

\[
C'(s_i|s_i) = 0
\]

\[
x(s_i) = \text{Initial value } x
\]

**Sine-Like Solution**

\[
S''(s|s_i) + \kappa(s)S(s|s_i) = 0
\]

\[
S(s_i|s_i) = 0
\]

\[
S'(s_i|s_i) = 1
\]

\[
x'(s_i) = \text{Initial value } x'
\]

Green function effectively casts driven equation in terms of homogeneous solution projections of Hill’s equation.
Using this Green function solution from the dispersion-free initial condition gives

\[
D(s) = S(s|s_i) \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \frac{1}{R(\tilde{s})} C(\tilde{s}|s_i) - C(s|s_i) \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \frac{1}{R(\tilde{s})} S(\tilde{s}|s_i)
\]

\[C(s|s_i) = \text{Cosine-like Principal Trajectory}\]
\[S(s|s_i) = \text{Sine-like Principal Trajectory}\]

Alternatively, the 3x3 transfer matrices previously derived can also be applied to advance D from a dispersion free point in the linear lattice.

The full particle orbit consistent with dispersive effects is given by

\[
x(s) = x(s_i)C(s|s_i) + x'(s_i)S(s|s_i) + \delta D(s) \\
x'(s) = x(s_i)C'(s|s_i) + x'(s_i)S'(s|s_i) + \delta D'(s)
\]
For a 1\textsuperscript{st} order achromatic system we require for no leading-order dispersive corrections to the orbit on transiting the lattice ( \( s_i \rightarrow s_d \)). This requires:

\[
0 = \int_{s_i}^{s_d} d\tilde{s} \frac{1}{R(\tilde{s})} C(\tilde{s}|s_i) \\
0 = \int_{s_i}^{s_d} d\tilde{s} \frac{1}{R(\tilde{s})} S(\tilde{s}|s_i)
\]

Various lattices consisting of regular combinations of bends and focusing optics can be made achromatic to 1\textsuperscript{st} order by meeting these criteria.

- Higher-order achromats also possible under more detailed analysis. See, for examples: Rusthoi and Wadlinger, 1991 PAC, 607

Examples are provided in the following slides for achromatic bends as well as bend systems to maximize/manipulate dispersive properties for species separation. Further examples can be found in the literature.
Symmetries in Achromatic Lattice Design

Symmetries are commonly exploited in the design of achromatic lattices to:

- Simplify the lattice design
- Reproduce (symmetrically) initial beam conditions downstream

Example lattices will be given after discussing general strategies:

**Approach 1:** beam line with reflection symmetry about its mid-plane

If \( g'(s_m) = 0 \), then \( g(s_i) = g(s_f) \), \( g'(s_i) = -g'(s_f) \)

where \( g \) can be \( \beta_x \), \( \beta_y \) or \( D \)

After the mid-plane, the beam traverses the same lattice elements in reverse order. So if the lattice function angle \((d/ds)\) vanishes at mid-plane, the lattice function undergoes “time reversal” in the 2\(^{nd}\) half of the beam line exiting downstream at the symmetric axial location with the same initial value and opposite initial angle.
Approach 2: beam line with rotational symmetry about the mid-point:

\[ s = s_i \]

\[ s = s_m \]

\[ s = s_f \]

\[ \theta \]

\[ f \]

\[ \text{Origin of rotation} \]

Note that the dipoles bend in different directions.

Trajectory in red: ideal off-momentum particle

\[ x(s_i) = D(s_i)\delta \neq 0 \]

\[ x'(s_i) = D'(s_i)\delta = 0 \]

Focusing properties of dipoles are independent of bend direction (sign \( \theta \)).

Same reasoning as Approach 1 gives:

If \( \beta'_{x,y}(s_m) = 0 \), then \( \beta_{x,y}(s_i) = \beta_{x,y}(s_f) \), \( \beta'_{x,y}(s_i) = -\beta'_{x,y}(s_f) \)

Dispersive properties of dipoles change with bend direction. See Appendix C.

If \( D(s_m) = 0 \) (instead of \( D' \)), then \( D(s_i) = -D(s_f) \), \( D'(s_i) = D'(s_f) \)

If \( D \) vanishes at mid-plane, the dispersive shift of an off-momentum particle also exhibits rotational symmetry about the mid-point.
Example: Achromatic Bend with Thin Lens Focusing
Input from C.Y. Wong, MSU

Apply Approach 1 with simple round numbers:

- \( \rho, \theta \)
- \( s = s_i \)  
- \( f \)  
- \( s = s_m \)  
- \( f \)  
- \( s = s_f \)

\[
\theta = \frac{\pi}{6} \\
\rho = \frac{6}{\pi} \text{ m} \\
a = 1 \text{ m} \\
b = 1 \text{ m}
\]

For \( D(s_i) = 0 = D'(s_i) \),

\[
D'(s_m) = 0 \text{ if } f = \rho \tan \frac{\theta}{2} + a
\]

(see next slide)

\[ \Rightarrow f = 1.51 \text{ m} \]

The bending system is achromatic, but the betatron functions are asymmetric due to insufficient lattice parameters to tune.

- Add more elements to address
For incident beam with \( D(s_i) = 0 = D'(s_i) \), the dispersion function only evolves once the beam enters the dipole

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
D \\ D' \\ 1
\end{pmatrix}_{s_m} =
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & b & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
D \\ D' \\ 1
\end{pmatrix}_{s_m-b} =
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & b & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
M
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where

\[
M =
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{f} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & a & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\cos \theta & \rho \sin \theta & \rho (1 - \cos \theta) \\
-\frac{\sin \theta}{\rho} & \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Note that the drift \( b \) after the thin lens focus does not affect \( D' \)

\[
D'(s_m) = D'(s_m - b) = 0 \text{ if } M_{23} = 0
\]

Solution gives:

\[
\Rightarrow \quad f = \rho \tan \frac{\theta}{2} + a
\]
Discussion:

- Only have to design half the beam-line by exploiting symmetries:
  - One constraint at mid-point satisfies two constraints at the end of the beam line if an asymmetric design approach was taken
  - Symmetric lattice easier to set/tune: strengths in 1st half of the beam line identical to mirror pair in the 2nd half
- It is possible to achieve the same final conditions with an asymmetric beam line, but this is generally not preferred
- There should be more lattice strength parameters that can be turned than constraints – needs more optics elements than this simple example
  - Except in simplest of cases, parameters often found using numerical procedures and optimization criteria
Discussion Continued:

- Usually **Approach 1** and **Approach 2** are applied for transfer line bends with

\[ D(s_i) = 0 = D(s_f), \quad D'(s_i) = 0 = D'(s_f) \]

However, this is not necessary

- Common applications with \( D(s_i) = 0 = D'(s_i) \) for linacs and transfer lines:
  - **Approach 1**: fold a linac, or create dispersion at mid-plane to collimate / select species from a multi-species beam
  - **Approach 2**: translate the beam

- Common applications for rings:
  - **Approach 1**: Minimize dispersion in straight sections to reduce aberrations in RF cavities, wigglers/undulators, injection/extraction, etc.
  - Not only is it desirable to minimize the dispersion at cavities for acceleration purposes, an accelerating section has no effect on the dispersion function up to 1\(^{st}\) order only if \( D = D' = 0 \)
  - Consider an off-momentum particle with \( x'_D = \delta D' = 0 \), \( x_D = \delta D \neq 0 \) undergoing purely longitudinal acceleration
  - \( \delta \) changes while \( x_D \) does not, while entails that \( D \) changes
Example: Simplified Fragment Separator
Input from C.Y. Wong, MSU

Heavy ion beams impinge on a production target to produce isotopes for nuclear physics research. Since many isotopes are produced, a fragment separator is needed downstream to serve two purposes:

- Eliminate unwanted isotopes
- Select and focus isotope of interest onto a transport line to detectors

Different isotopes have different rigidities, which are exploited to achieve isotope selection

\[
\text{Rigidity } [B\rho] = \frac{p}{q} = \frac{\gamma mv}{q}
\]

Ref particle (isotope) sets parameters in lattice transfer matrices

\[
\delta = \left( \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right)_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\Delta [B\rho]}{[B\rho]_0}
\]

Deviation from the reference rigidity treated as an effective momentum difference

- Applied fields fixed for all species

Dispersion exploited to collimate off-rigidity fragments
NSCL A1900 Fragment Separator: Simplified Illustration

Further Simplified Example: 2 segment version

Production target
Replace quadrupole triplets by thin lens doublets
Focal plane

Show only dipoles and quadrupoles

QT = Quadrupole Triplet Focus

[https://groups.nscl.msu.edu/a1900/overview/schematic.php]
Design Goals:
- Dipoles set so desired isotope traverses center of all elements
- Dispersion function $D$ is: large at collimation for rigidity resolution
  small elsewhere to minimize losses
- $\beta_x$ $\beta_y$ should be small at collimation point and focal plane

Apply Approach 1 by requiring $D' = \beta_x' = \beta_y' = 0$ at mid-plane

\[D_x = 0 = D'_x\]
\[\beta_x' = 0 = \beta_y'\]
\[\beta_x = \beta_y = \beta_0\]

$\beta_0$ is determined by the initial spatial and angular distribution of the fragment beam
Desired isotope: $^{31}\text{S}^{16+}$ from $^{40}\text{Ar}(140\ \text{MeV/u})$ on Be target

Energy: 120 MeV/u

Rigidity: 3.15 Tesla-m

Dipole $\rho, \theta$ are fixed

$\rho = 1.78\ \text{m} \quad \theta = \pi/4$

Thus $B_y(0)$ is uniquely determined by $[B\rho]$

$B_y(0) = 1.7$ Tesla

Initial conditions at production target:

$\sqrt{\langle x^2 \rangle} = 1\ \text{mm} \quad \sqrt{\langle x'^2 \rangle} = 10\ \text{mrad}$

$\epsilon_x = 10\ \text{mm-mrad}$

Impose constraints and solve $f$'s numerically:

$f_1 = 1.12\ \text{m}$   Quadrupole gradients
$f_2 = f_1$  $G_1 = 13.9\ \text{T/m}$
$f_3 = 1.79\ \text{m}$   for lengths
$f_4 = 4.17\ \text{m}$   $\ell = 20\ \text{cm}$  $G_3 = 8.7\ \text{T/m}$

For other isotopes:

If initial $\langle x^2 \rangle, \langle x'^2 \rangle$ are same, scale all fields to match rigidity $[B\rho]$

If not, the $f$'s also have to be re-tuned to meet the constraints
Lattice functions and beam envelope

- Slits at mid-plane where dispersion large to collimate unwanted isotopes and discriminate momentum

- x-envelope plotted for 3 momentum values:

  \[ x_{\text{env}} = \pm \sqrt{\beta_x \epsilon_x + \delta D} \]

- Aperture sizes and \( D \) (properties of lattice), determine the angular and momentum acceptance of the fragment separator

\[ \delta p/p = 2.5\% \]
\[ \delta p/p = 0 \]
\[ \delta p/p = -2.5\% \]
Example: Charge Selection System of the FRIB Front End

An ECR ion source produces a many-species beam

A charge selection system (CSS) is placed shortly downstream of each source to select the desired species for further transport and collimate the rest

- The CSS consists of two quadrupole triplets and two 90-degree sector dipoles
- The dipoles have slanted poles applied to increase x-focusing to enhance dispersion in the CSS
Parameters for the CSS

Dipole:

\[ \theta = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \rho = \frac{2}{\pi} \text{ m} \]

\[ \kappa_x = 0.1/\rho^2 \quad \kappa_y = 0.9/\rho^2 \]

where field index \( n = 0.9 \) from:

\[ x'' + \kappa_x x = x'' + \frac{1-n}{\rho^2} x = 0 \]

\[ y'' + \kappa_y y = y'' + \frac{n}{\rho^2} y = 0 \]

Quadrupoles:

\[ l_{\text{quad}} = 0.2 \text{ m} \]

\[ \kappa_{1x} = -\kappa_{1y} = 8.30 \text{ m}^{-2} \]

\[ \kappa_{2x} = -\kappa_{2y} = -15.60 \text{ m}^{-2} \]

\[ \kappa_{3x} = -\kappa_{3y} = 7.51 \text{ m}^{-2} \]

Drifts:

\[ a = 0.4 \text{ m} \]
\[ b = 0.35 \text{ m} \]
\[ c = 0.13 \text{ m} \]
\[ d = 0.19 \text{ m} \]

Mid-plane conditions:

\[ \alpha_x(s_m) = \alpha_y(s_m) = D' = 0 \]

Initial Conditions:

\[ \beta_x(s_i) = \beta_y(s_i) = 3.971 \text{ m} \]

\[ \alpha_x(s_i) = \alpha_y(s_i) = -0.380 \]

\[ D(s_i) = D'(s_i) = 0 \]
Lattice Functions of CSS

Large dispersion and small beam size in x at mid-plane facilitates the collimation of unwanted species.

![CSS Diagram](example_css.png)
S9C: Chromatic Effects

Present in both \( x \)- and \( y \)-equations of motion and result from applied focusing strength changing with deviations in momentum:

\[
\begin{align*}
&x''(s) + \frac{\kappa_x(s)}{(1 + \delta)^n} x(s) = 0 \\
y''(s) + \frac{\kappa_y(s)}{(1 + \delta)^n} y(s) = 0
\end{align*}
\]

\( R \rightarrow \infty \) to neglect bending terms

\( \kappa_{x,y} = \text{Focusing Functions} \)

with \( \gamma_b, \beta_b \) calculated from \( \rho_0 \)

- Generally of lesser importance (smaller corrections) relative to dispersive terms (S9C) in linacs except where the beam is focused onto a target (small spot) or when momentum spreads are large
- Can be important in rings where precise control of tunes (betratron oscillations per ring lap) are needed to avoid resonances: see Transverse Particle Resonances
- J.J. Barnard in Application Lectures: Heavy Ion Fusion and Final Focusing will overview consequences of chromatic effects on the achievable beam spot in his analysis on final focus optics
Can analyze by redefining kappa function to incorporate off-momentum:

\[
\frac{\kappa_x(s)}{(1 + \delta)^n} \rightarrow \kappa_{x,\text{new}}(s)
\]

However, this would require calculating new amplitude/betatron functions for each particle off-momentum value $\delta$ in the distribution to describe the evolution of the orbits. That would not be efficient.

Rather, need a perturbative formula to calculate the small amplitude correction to the nominal particle orbit with design momentum due to the small amplitude correction due to the off-momentum $\delta$. 
Either the $x$- and $y$-equations of motion can be put in the form:

$$x''(s) + \frac{\kappa(s)}{(1 + \delta)^n} x(s) = 0$$

Expand to leading order in $\delta$:

$$x''(s) + \kappa(s)(1 - n\delta)x(s) = 0$$

Set:

$$x(s) = x_0(s) + \eta(s)$$

$x_0(s) =$ Orbit Solution for $\delta = 0$

$\eta(s) =$ Orbit Correction to $x_0$ for $\delta \neq 0$

Giving:

$$x''_0 + \kappa x_0 = 0$$

$$(x_0 + \eta)'' + \kappa(1 - n\delta)(x_0 + \eta) = 0$$

Subtract equations and neglect 2nd order term in $\delta \cdot \eta$ to obtain a linear equation for $\eta$:

$$\eta'' + \kappa \eta = n\delta \kappa x_0$$
This equation has the form of a Driven Hill's Equation:

\[ x'' + \kappa(s)x = p(s) \]
\[ x \to \eta \]
\[ p \to n\delta \kappa x_0 \]

The general solution to this equation can be solved analytically using a Green function method (see Appendix A) as:

\[
x(s) = x(s_i)C(s|s_i) + x'(s_i)S(s|s_i) + \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \ G(s, \tilde{s})p(\tilde{s})
\]

\[ G(s, \tilde{s}) = S(s|s_i)C(\tilde{s}|s_i) - C(s|s_i)S(\tilde{s}|s_i) \]

### Cosine-Like Solution

\[
C''(s|s_i) + \kappa(s)C(s|s_i) = 0
\]
\[ C(s_i|s_i) = 1 \]
\[ C'(s_i|s_i) = 0 \]

\[ x(s_i) = \text{Initial value } x \]

### Sine-Like Solution

\[
S''(s|s_i) + \kappa(s)S(s|s_i) = 0
\]
\[ S(s_i|s_i) = 0 \]
\[ S'(s_i|s_i) = 1 \]

\[ x'(s_i) = \text{Initial value } x' \]
Using this result, the general solution for the chromatic correction to the particle orbit can be expressed as:

\[
\eta(s) = \eta(s_i) C(s|s_i) + \eta'(s_i) S(s|s_i) + n\delta \int_{s_i}^s d\tilde{s} \ G(s, \tilde{s}) \kappa(\tilde{s}) x_0(\tilde{s})
\]

\[
G(s, \tilde{s}) = S(s|s_i) C(\tilde{s}|s_i) - C(s|s_i) S(\tilde{s}|s_i)
\]

\[
\eta(s_i) = \text{Initial value } \eta
\]

\[
\eta'(s_i) = \text{Initial value } \eta'
\]
Chromatic orbit perturbations are typically measured from a point in the lattice where they are initially zero like a drift where the orbit was correct before focusing quadrupoles. In this context, can take:

$$ \eta(s_i) = 0 = \eta'(s_i) $$

$$ \eta(s) = n\delta \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \ G(s, \tilde{s}) \kappa(\tilde{s}) x_0(\tilde{s}) $$

The Green function can be simplified using results from \textbf{S6F}:

$$ C(s|s_i) = \frac{w(s)}{w_i} \cos \Delta\psi(s) - w_i' w(s) \sin \Delta\psi(s) $$

$$ S(s|s_i) = w_i w(s) \sin \Delta\psi(s) $$

$$ \Delta\psi(s) \equiv \int_{s_i}^{s} \frac{d\tilde{s}}{w^2(\tilde{s})} $$

$$ w_i \equiv w(s = s_i) $$

$$ w_i' \equiv w'(s = s_i) $$

Giving after some algebra:

$$ G(s, \tilde{s}) = S(s|s_i)C(\tilde{s}|s_i) - C(s|s_i)S(\tilde{s}|s_i) $$

$$ = w(s)w(\tilde{s})[\sin \Delta\psi(s) \cos \Delta\psi(\tilde{s}) - \cos \Delta\psi(s) \sin \Delta\psi(\tilde{s})] $$

$$ = w(s)w(\tilde{s}) \sin[\Delta\psi(s) - \Delta\psi(\tilde{s})] $$
Using this and the phase amplitude form of the orbit:

\[ x_0(s) = A_i w(s) \cos[\psi(s)] \]
\[ = \sqrt{\epsilon} w(s) \cos[\Delta \psi(s) + \psi_i] \]

- Initial phase \( \psi_i \) implicitly chosen (can always do) for initial amplitude \( A_i \geq 0 \)

the orbit deviation from chromatic effects can be calculated as:

\[
\eta(s) = n \delta \int_{s_i}^s d\tilde{s} \ G(s, \tilde{s}) \kappa(\tilde{s}) x_0(\tilde{s})
\]
\[
= n \delta \sqrt{\epsilon} w(s) \int_{s_i}^s d\tilde{s} \ w^2(\tilde{s}) \sin[\Delta \psi(s) - \Delta \psi(\tilde{s})] \cos[\Delta \psi(\tilde{s}) + \psi_i]
\]

Formula applicable to all types of focusing lattices:

- Quadrupole: electric and magnetic
- Solenoid (Larmor frame)
- Linac and rings

Add examples in future editions of notes …
Comments:

- Perturbative formulas can be derived to calculate the effect on betatron tunes (particle oscillations per lap) in a ring based on integrals of the unpreturbed betatron function: see Wiedemann, *Particle Accelerator Physics*

- For magnetic quadrupole lattices further detailed analysis (see Steffen, *High Energy Beam Optics*) it can be shown that:
  - Impossible to make an achromatic focus in any quadrupole system.
  
  Here achromatic means if

  \[ \eta(s_i) = 0 = \eta'(s_i) \]

  there is some achromatic point \( s = s_f \) post optics with

  \[ \eta(s_f) = 0 = \eta'(s_f) \]

- More detailed analysis of the chromatic correction to particle orbits in rings show that a properly oriented nonlinear sextupole inserted into the periodic ring lattice with correct azimuthal orientation at a large dispersion points can to leading order compensate for chromatic corrections. See Wille, *The Physics of Particle Accelerators* for details.
  - Correction introduces nonlinear terms for large amplitude
  - Correction often distributed around ring for practical reasons
Appendix A: Green Function for Driven Hill's Equation

Following Wiedemann (Particle Accelerator Physics, 1993, pp 106) first, consider more general *Driven Hill's Equation*

\[ x'' + \kappa(s) x = p(s) \]

The corresponding homogeneous equation:

\[ x'' + \kappa(s) x = 0 \]

has principal solutions

\[ x(s) = C_1 C(s|s_i) + C_2 S(s|s_i) \quad C_1, C_2 = \text{constants} \]

where

**Cosine-Like Solution**

\[ C'' + \kappa(s) C = 0 \]

\[ C(s = s_i) = 1 \]

\[ C'(s = s_i) = 0 \]

**Sine-Like Solution**

\[ S'' + \kappa(s) S = 0 \]

\[ S(s = s_i) = 0 \]

\[ S'(s = s_i) = 1 \]

Recall that the homogeneous solutions have the Wronskian symmetry:

\[ W(s) = C(s)S'(s) - C'(s)S(s) = 1 \quad C(s) \equiv C(s|s_i) \quad \text{etc.} \]
A particular solution to the Driven Hill's Equation can be constructed using a Greens' function method:

\[
x(s) = \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \ G(s, \tilde{s}) p(\tilde{s})
\]

\[
G(s, \tilde{s}) = S(s|s_i)C(\tilde{s}|s_i) - C(s|s_i)S(\tilde{s}|s_i)
\]

Demonstrate this works by first taking derivatives:

\[
x = S(s) \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \ C(\tilde{s})p(\tilde{s}) - C(s) \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \ S(\tilde{s})p(\tilde{s})
\]

\[
x' = S'(s) \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \ C(\tilde{s})p(\tilde{s}) - C'(s) \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \ S(\tilde{s})p(\tilde{s})
\]

\[
+ p(s) \left[ S(s)C(s) - S(s)C(s) \right]
\]

\[
= S'(s) \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \ C(\tilde{s})p(\tilde{s}) - C'(s) \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \ S(\tilde{s})p(\tilde{s})
\]

\[
x'' = S''(s) \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \ C(\tilde{s})p(\tilde{s}) - C''(s) \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \ S(\tilde{s})p(\tilde{s})
\]

\[
+ p(s) \left[ S'(s)C(s) - C'(s)S(s) \right]
\]

\[
= p(s) + S''(s) \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \ C(\tilde{s})p(\tilde{s}) - C''(s) \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \ S(\tilde{s})p(\tilde{s})
\]
Apply these results in the *Driven Hill's Equation*:

**Definition of Principal Orbit Functions**

\[
x'' + \kappa(s)x = p(s) + [S'' + \kappa S] \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \ C(\tilde{s})p(\tilde{s}) - [C'' + \kappa C] \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \ S(\tilde{s})p(\tilde{s}) \]

\[
= p(s)
\]

Thereby proving we have a valid particular solution. The general solution to the *Driven Hill's Equation* is then:

- Choose constants \( C_1, C_2 \) consistent with particle initial conditions at \( s = s_i \)

\[
x(s) = x(s_i)C(s|s_i) + x'(s_i)S(s|s_i) + \int_{s_i}^{s} d\tilde{s} \ G(s, \tilde{s})p(\tilde{s})
\]

\[
G(s, \tilde{s}) = S(s|s_i)C(\tilde{s}|s_i) - C(s|s_i)S(\tilde{s}|s_i)
\]
Consider the equation for the dispersion function in a periodic lattice:

\[ D'' + \kappa_x D = \frac{1}{R} \]

\[ \kappa_x(s + L_p) = \kappa_x(s) \]

\[ R(s + L_p) = R(s) \]

It is required that the solution for a periodic (ring) lattice has the periodicity of the lattice:

\[ D(s + L_p) = D(s) \]

Assume that there are two unique solutions to \( D \) and label them as \( D_j \). Each must satisfy:

\[ D_j'' + \kappa_x D_j = \frac{1}{R} \]

\[ D_j(s + L_p) = D_j(s) \quad j = 1, 2 \]

Subtracting the two equations shows that \( D_1 - D_2 \) satisfies Hill’s equation:

\[ (D_1 - D_2)'' + \kappa_x (D_1 - D_2) = 0 \]
The solution can be expressed in terms of the usual principal orbit functions of Hill’s Equation in matrix form as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
D_1 - D_2 \\
(D_1 - D_2)'
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
C(s|s_i) & S(s|s_i) \\
C'(s|s_i) & S'(s|s_i)
\end{bmatrix}
\cdot
\begin{bmatrix}
D_1 - D_2 \\
(D_1 - D_2)'
\end{bmatrix}_{s_i}
\]

Because \( C \) and \( S \) do not, in general, have the periodicity of the lattice, we must have:

\[
D_1(s_i) = D_2(s_i)
\]
\[
D'_1(s_i) = D'_2(s_i)
\]

which implies a zero solution for \( D_1 - D_2 \) and:

\[
D_1(s) = D_2(s) \implies D \text{ is unique for a periodic lattice}
\]

The proof fails for \( \sigma_{0x}/(2\pi = \text{integer} \), however, this exceptional case should never correspond to a lattice choice because it would result in unstable particle orbits.

An alternative proof based on the eigenvalue structure of the 3x3 transfer matrices for \( D \) can be found in “Accelerator Physics” by SY Lee.

- Proof helps further clarify the structure of \( D \)
Appendix C: Transfer Matrix of a Negative Bend

Input from C.Y. Wong, MSU

For a clockwise bend (derived in the problem set):

$$\begin{pmatrix} D \\ D' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_f = M_B \begin{pmatrix} D \\ D' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_i$$

$$M_B = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \rho \sin \theta & \rho (1 - \cos \theta) \\ -\frac{\sin \theta}{\rho} & \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This definition of the x,y,s coordinates is right-handed

The transfer matrix for a negative (anti-clockwise) bend is obtained by making the transformation $\rho \rightarrow -\rho$, $\theta \rightarrow -\theta$

$$M_{-B} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos |\theta| & |\rho| \sin |\theta| & -|\rho| (1 - \cos |\theta|) \\ -\frac{\sin |\theta|}{|\rho|} & \cos |\theta| & -\sin |\theta| \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
If one finds the result counterintuitive, it can be derived as follows:

Define $\tilde{x} = -x$

(The new set of coordinates is not right-handed, but this does not affect the reasoning)

The dispersion functions in the two coordinate systems are related by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{D} \\ \tilde{D}' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_f = R \begin{pmatrix} D \\ D' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_i$$

where

$$R = R^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The anti-clockwise bend is effectively clockwise in the primed coordinate system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{D} \\ \tilde{D}' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_f = M_B \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{D} \\ \tilde{D}' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_i \implies R \begin{pmatrix} D \\ D' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_f = M_B R \begin{pmatrix} D \\ D' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_i$$

Transfer matrix of anti-clockwise bend in normal coordinates:

$$M_{-B} = R^{-1} M_B R = \begin{pmatrix} \cos |\theta| & |\rho| \sin |\theta| & -|\rho| \left(1 - \cos |\theta|\right) \\ -\frac{\sin |\theta|}{|\rho|} & \cos |\theta| & -\sin |\theta| \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
S10: Acceleration and Normalized Emittance
S10A: Introduction

If the beam is accelerated longitudinally in a linear focusing channel, the $x$-particle equation of motion (see: S1 and S2) is:

$$x'' + \frac{\left(\gamma_b \beta_b\right)'}{\left(\gamma_b \beta_b\right)} x' + \kappa_x x = - \frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}$$

Neglects:
- Nonlinear applied focusing fields
- Momentum spread effects

Comments:
- $\gamma_b, \beta_b$ are regarded as prescribed functions of $s$ set by the acceleration schedule of the machine
- Variations in $\gamma_b, \beta_b$ due to acceleration must be included in and/or compensated by adjusting the strength of the optics via optical parameters contained in $\kappa_x, \kappa_y$
  - Example: for quadrupole focusing adjust field gradients (see: S2)
Acceleration Factor: Characteristics of Relativistic Factor

\[ \gamma_b \beta_b \simeq \begin{cases} 
\gamma_b, & \text{Relativistic Limit} \\
\beta_b, & \text{Nonrelativistic Limit} 
\end{cases} \]

\[ \gamma_b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \beta_b^2}} \]

Beam/Particle Kinetic Energy:

\[ \mathcal{E}_b(s) = (\gamma_b - 1)mc^2 = \text{Beam Kinetic Energy} \]

- Function of s specified by Acceleration schedule for transverse dynamics
- See S11 for calculation of \( \mathcal{E}_b \) and \( \gamma_b \beta_b \) from longitudinal dynamics and J.J. Barnard lectures on Longitudinal Dynamics

Approximate energy gain from average gradient:

\[ \mathcal{E}_b \simeq \mathcal{E}_i + G(s - s_i) \]

\( \mathcal{E}_i = \text{const} = \text{Initial Energy} \)

\( G = \text{const} = \text{Average Gradient} \)

- Real energy gain will be rapid when going through discreet acceleration gaps

\[ \mathcal{E}_b \simeq \begin{cases} 
\gamma_b mc^2, & \text{Relativistic Limit, } \gamma_b \gg 1 \\
\frac{1}{2} m \beta_b^2 c^2, & \text{Nonrelativistic Limit, } |\beta_b| \ll 1 
\end{cases} \]
Comments Continued:

- In typical accelerating systems, changes in $\gamma_b \beta_b$ are slow and the fractional changes in the orbit induced by acceleration are small. - Exception near an injector since the beam is often not yet energetic.

- The acceleration term:

$$\frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} > 0$$

will act to damp particle oscillations (see following slides for motivation).

Even with acceleration, we will find that there is a Courant-Snyder invariant (normalized emittance) that is valid in an analogous context as in the case without acceleration provided phase-space coordinates are chosen to compensate for the damping of particle oscillations.
Identify relativistic factor with average gradient energy gain:

**Relativistic Limit:** \( \gamma_b \gg 1 \)

\[
\gamma_b \simeq \frac{\mathcal{E}_b}{m c^2} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_i}{m c^2} + \frac{G}{m c^2}(s - s_i)
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \quad \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} \simeq \frac{\gamma_b'}{\gamma_b} \simeq \frac{1}{\frac{\mathcal{E}_i}{G} + (s - s_i)} \simeq \frac{1}{s - s_i}
\]

**Nonrelativistic Limit:** \( |\beta_b| \ll 1 \)

\[
\beta_b \simeq \sqrt{2 \frac{\mathcal{E}_b}{m c^2}} = \sqrt{2 \frac{\mathcal{E}_i}{m c^2} + 2 \frac{G}{m c^2}(s - s_i)}
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \quad \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} \simeq \frac{\beta_b'}{\beta_b} = \frac{1/2}{\frac{\mathcal{E}_i}{G} + (s - s_i)} \simeq \frac{1}{2(s - s_i)}
\]

- Expect **Relativistic** and **Nonrelativistic** motion to have similar solutions
- Parameters for each case will often be quite different
Aside: Acceleration and Continuous Focusing Orbits with $\kappa_x = k_{\beta 0}^2 = \text{const}$

Assume relativistic motion and negligible space-charge:

$$
\frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} \sim \frac{\gamma'_b}{\gamma_b} = \frac{1}{(\frac{\mathcal{E}_i}{G} - s_i) + s} \partial \phi \sim 0
$$

Then the equation of motion reduces to:

$$
x'' + \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_i}{G} - s_i\right) + s} x' + k_{\beta 0}^2 x = 0
$$

This equation is the equation of a Bessel Function of order zero:

$$
\frac{d^2 x}{d\xi^2} + \frac{1}{\xi} \frac{dx}{d\xi} + x = 0 \quad \xi = k_{\beta 0} s + k_{\beta 0} \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_i}{G} - s_i\right)
$$

$$
\xi' = k_{\beta 0}
$$

$$
x = C_1 J_0(\xi) + C_2 Y_0(\xi) \quad C_1 = \text{const} \quad C_2 = \text{const}
$$

$$
x' = -C_1 k_{\beta 0} J_1(\xi) - C_2 k_{\beta 0} Y_1(\xi)
$$

$$
dJ_0(x)/dx = -J_1(x) \text{ and same for } Y_0
$$

$J_n = \text{Order } n \text{ Bessel Func (1st kind)}$

$Y_n = \text{Order } n \text{ Bessel Func (2nd kind)}$
Solving for the constants in terms of the particle initial conditions:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    x_i \\
    x'_i
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
    J_0(\xi_i) & Y_0(\xi_i) \\
    -k/\beta_0 J_1(\xi_i) & -k/\beta_0 Y_1(\xi_i)
\end{bmatrix} \cdot 
\begin{bmatrix}
    C_1 \\
    C_2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[x_i \equiv x(s = s_i)\quad \xi_i \equiv k/\beta_0 \frac{E_i}{G} = \xi(s = s_i)\]

\[x'_i \equiv x'(s = s_i)\]

Invert matrix to solve for constants in terms of initial conditions:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    C_1 \\
    C_2
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{bmatrix}
    -k/\beta_0 Y_1(\xi_i) & -Y_0(\xi_i) \\
    k/\beta_0 J_1(\xi_i) & J_0(\xi_i)
\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
    x_i \\
    x'_i
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[\Delta \equiv k/\beta_0[Y_0(\xi_i) J_1(\xi_i) - J_0(\xi_i) Y_1(\xi_i)]\]

Comments:

- **Bessel functions behave like damped harmonic oscillators**
  - See texts on Mathematical Physics or Applied Mathematics

- **Nonrelativistic limit solution is not described by a Bessel Function solution**
  - Properties of solution will be similar though (similar special function)
  - The coefficient in the damping term \(\propto x'\) has a factor of 2 difference, preventing exact Bessel function form
Using this solution, plot the orbit for (contrived parameters for illustration only):

\[
k_{\beta_0} = \frac{\sigma_0}{L_p} \quad \sigma_0 = 90^\circ / \text{Period} \quad \mathcal{E}_i = 1000 \text{ MeV}
\]

\[
L_p = 0.5 \text{ m} \quad G = 100 \text{ MeV/m}
\]

\[
x(0) = 10 \text{ mm} \quad s_i = 0
\]

\[
x'(0) = 0 \text{ mrad}
\]

\[
\frac{\gamma_{bi}}{\gamma_b} = \frac{1}{1 + (\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}_i)(s - s_i)}
\]

\[x(s) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_{bi}}{\gamma_b}}\]

\[x'(s) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_{bi}}{\gamma_b}}\]

Solution shows damping: phase volume scaling \( \sim 1/(\gamma_b \beta_b) \approx 1/\gamma_b \)
“Guess” transformation to apply motivated by conjugate variable arguments
(see: J.J. Barnard, Intro. Lectures) Here we reuse tilde variables to
denote a transformed quantity we
choose to look like something
familiar from simpler contexts

\[ \tilde{x} \equiv \sqrt{\gamma_b \beta_b} x \]

Then:

\[ x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_b \beta_b}} \tilde{x} \]

\[ x' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_b \beta_b}} \tilde{x}' - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)^{3/2}} \tilde{x} \]

\[ x'' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_b \beta_b}} \tilde{x}'' - \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)^{3/2}} \tilde{x}' + \left[ \frac{3}{4} \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)''}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)^{5/2}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)''}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)^{3/2}} \right] \tilde{x} \]

The inverse phase-space transforms will also be useful later:

\[ \tilde{x} = \sqrt{\gamma_b \beta_b} x \]
\[ \tilde{x}' = \sqrt{\gamma_b \beta_b} x' + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{\sqrt{\gamma_b \beta_b}} x \]
Applying these results, the particle $x$-equation of motion with acceleration becomes:

$$
\dddot{x} + \left[ \kappa_x + \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\gamma_b/\beta_b)^2}{(\gamma_b/\beta_b)^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\gamma_b/\beta_b)''}{(\gamma_b/\beta_b)} \right] \ddot{x} = -\frac{q}{m\gamma_b^2/\beta_b c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \tilde{x}}
$$

Note:
- Factor of $\gamma_b/\beta_b$ difference from untransformed expression in the space-charge coupling coefficient

It is instructive to also transform the Possion equation associated with the space-charge term:

$$
\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) \phi = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}
$$

Transform:

$$
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} = \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right) = \gamma_b/\beta_b \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x}^2}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} = \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{y}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{y}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}} \right) = \gamma_b/\beta_b \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}
$$
Using these results, Poisson's equation becomes:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x}^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{y}^2} \right) \phi = -\frac{\rho}{\gamma_b \beta_b \varepsilon_0}
\]

Or defining a transformed potential \( \tilde{\phi} \)

\[
\tilde{\phi} = \gamma_b \beta_b \phi
\]

\[
\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x}^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{y}^2} \right) \tilde{\phi} = -\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0}
\]

Applying these results, the \( x \)-equation of motion with acceleration becomes:

\[
\dddot{\tilde{x}} + \left[ \kappa_x + \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\gamma_b/\beta_b)^2}{(\gamma_b/\beta_b)^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\gamma_b/\beta_b)^{'''}}}{(\gamma_b/\beta_b)^2} \right] \dddot{\tilde{x}} = -\frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial \tilde{x}}
\]

- Usual form of the space-charge coefficient with \( \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 \) rather than \( \gamma_b^2 \beta_b \) is restored when expressed in terms of the transformed potential \( \tilde{\phi} \)
An additional step can be taken to further stress the correspondence between the transformed system with acceleration and the untransformed system in the absence of acceleration. Denote an effective focusing strength:

\[
\tilde{\kappa}_x \equiv \kappa_x + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\gamma_b \beta_b}{\gamma_b \beta_b} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\gamma_b \beta_b}{\gamma_b \beta_b} \right)''
\]

\(\tilde{\kappa}_x\) incorporates acceleration terms beyond \(\gamma_b, \beta_b\) factors already included in the definition of \(\kappa_x\) (see: S2):

\[
\kappa_x = \begin{cases} \frac{qG}{m\gamma_b \beta_b^2 c^2}, & G = -\partial E_x^a/\partial x = \partial E_y^a/\partial y = \text{Electric Quad. Grad.} \\ \frac{qG}{m\gamma_b \beta_b c}, & G = \partial B_x^a/\partial y = \partial B_y^a/\partial x = \text{Magnetic Quad. Grad.} \\ \frac{qB_{z0}}{4m\gamma_b^2 \beta_b^2 c^2}, & B_{z0} = \text{Solenoidal Magnetic Field} \end{cases}
\]

The transformed equation of motion with acceleration then becomes:

\[
\ddot{\tilde{x}} + \tilde{\kappa}_x \tilde{x} = -\frac{q}{m\gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial \tilde{x}}
\]
The transformed equation with acceleration has the same form as the equation in the absence of acceleration. If space-charge is negligible (\( \partial \phi / \partial x_\perp \approx 0 \)) we have:

**Accelerating System**

\[
\dddot{x} + \ddot{\kappa}_x \dot{x} = 0
\]

**Non-Accelerating System**

\[
x'' + \kappa_x x = 0
\]

Therefore, *all previous analysis* on phase-amplitude methods and Courant-Snyder invariants associated with Hill's equation in \(x-x'\) phase-space can be immediately applied to \(\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}'\) phase-space for an accelerating beam.

\[
\left( \frac{\tilde{x}}{\tilde{w}_x} \right)^2 + (\tilde{w}_x \dot{x}' - \dot{\tilde{w}}_x s) = \tilde{\epsilon} = \text{const}
\]

\[
\dddot{w}_x + \ddot{\kappa}_x \dot{w}_x - \frac{1}{\tilde{w}_x^3} = 0
\]

\[
\ddot{w}_x (s + L_p) = w_x (s)
\]

\[
\pi \tilde{\epsilon} = \text{Area traced by orbit} = \text{const}
\]

in \(\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}'\) phase-space.

* Focusing field strengths need to be adjusted to maintain periodicity of \(\tilde{\kappa}_x\) in the presence of acceleration
  - Not possible to do exactly, but can be approximate for weak acceleration
S10C: Phase Space Relation Between Transformed and UnTransformed Systems

It is instructive to relate the transformed phase-space area in tilde variables to the usual \( x-x' \) phase area:

\[
d\tilde{x} \otimes d\tilde{x}' = |J|dx \otimes dx'
\]

where \( J \) is the Jacobian:

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x'} & \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x''} \\
\frac{\partial \tilde{x'}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \tilde{x'}}{\partial x'}
\end{vmatrix}
= \det
\begin{vmatrix}
\sqrt{\gamma_b \beta_b} & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{\sqrt{\gamma_b \beta_b}} & \sqrt{\gamma_b \beta_b}
\end{vmatrix}
= \gamma_b \beta_b
\]

Thus:

\[
d\tilde{x} \otimes d\tilde{x}' = \gamma_b \beta_b \ dx \otimes dx'
\]
Based on this area transform, if we define the (instantaneous) phase space area of the orbit trance in $x-x'$ to be $\pi \epsilon_x$ “regular emittance”, then this emittance is related to the “normalized emittance” $\tilde{\epsilon}_x$ in $\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}'$ phase-space by:

\[
\tilde{\epsilon}_x = \gamma_b \beta_b \epsilon_x \\
\equiv \text{Normalized Emittance} \equiv \epsilon_{nx}
\]

- Factor $\gamma_b \beta_b$ compensates for acceleration induced damping in particle orbits
- Normalized emittance is very important in design of lattices to transport accelerating beams
  - Designs usually made assuming conservation of normalized emittance
- Same result that J.J. Barnard motivated in the Intro. Lectures using alternative methods
S11: Calculation of Acceleration Induced Changes in gamma and beta

S11A: Introduction

The transverse particle equation of motion with acceleration was derived in a Cartesian system by approximating (see: S1):

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( m\gamma \frac{dx_\perp}{dt} \right) \approx qE^a_\perp + q\beta_b c \hat{z} \times B^a_\perp + qB^a_z v_\perp \times \hat{z} - q \frac{1}{\gamma_b^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{x_\perp}
\]

using

\[
m \frac{d}{dt} \left( \gamma \frac{dx_\perp}{dt} \right) \approx m\gamma_b \beta_b^2 c^2 \left[ x''_\perp + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} x'_\perp \right]
\]

to obtain:

\[
x''_\perp + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} x'_\perp = \frac{q}{m\gamma_b \beta_b^2 c^2} E^a_\perp + \frac{q}{m\gamma_b \beta_b c} \hat{z} \times B^a_\perp + \frac{qB^a_z}{m\gamma_b \beta_b c} x'_\perp \times \hat{z}
\]

\[-\frac{q}{\gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\perp} \phi\]
To integrate this equation, we need the variation of $\beta_b$ and $\gamma_b = 1/\sqrt{1 - \beta_b^2}$ as a function of $s$. For completeness here, we briefly outline how this can be done by analyzing longitudinal equations of motion. More details can be found in JJ Barnard lectures on Longitudinal Dynamics.
Changes in $\gamma_b / \beta_b$ are calculated from the longitudinal particle equation of motion:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( m \gamma \frac{dz}{dt} \right) \simeq q E_z^a - q (v_x B_y^a - v_y B_x^a) - q \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}.
\]

Term 1  Term 2  Term 3  Neglect Rel to Term 2

Using steps similar to those in S1, we approximate terms:

**Term 1:**

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \gamma \frac{dz}{dt} \right) \simeq c^2 \beta_b (\gamma_b / \beta_b)' \quad \frac{dz}{dt} = v_z \simeq \beta_b c \quad \gamma \simeq \gamma_b
\]

**Term 2:**

\[
\frac{q}{m} E_z^a \simeq - \frac{q}{m} \left. \frac{\partial \phi^a}{\partial s} \right|_{x=y=0} \frac{d}{ds} \simeq \beta_b c \frac{d}{ds}
\]

$\phi^a$ is a quasi-static approximation accelerating potential (see next pages)

**Term 3:**

\[-q (v_x B_y^a - v_y B_x^a) = -q \left( \frac{dx}{dt} B_y^a - \frac{dy}{dt} B_x^a \right) \simeq 0\]

Transverse magnetic fields typically only weakly change particle energy and terms can be neglected relative to others.
The **longitudinal particle equation of motion** for $\gamma_b$, $\beta_b$ then reduces to:

$$\beta_b(\gamma_b \beta_b)' \approx - \frac{q}{mc^2} \frac{\partial \phi^a}{\partial s} \bigg|_{x=y=0}$$

Some algebra shows:

$$\gamma_b' = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \beta_b^2}} \right)' = \frac{\beta_b \beta'_b}{(1 - \beta_b^2)^{3/2}} = \gamma_b^3 \beta_b \beta'_b$$

First apply chain rule, then use the result above twice to simplify results:

$$\implies \beta_b(\gamma_b \beta_b)' = \beta_b^2 \gamma_b' + \gamma_b \beta_b \beta'_b$$

$$= (1 + \gamma_b^2 \beta_b^2) \gamma_b \beta_b \beta'_b = \gamma_b^3 \beta_b \beta'_b$$

Giving:

$$\gamma_b' = - \frac{q}{mc^2} \frac{\partial \phi^a}{\partial s} \bigg|_{x=y=0}$$

Which can then be integrated to obtain:

$$\gamma_b = - \frac{q}{mc^2} \phi^a(r = 0, z = s) + \text{const}$$
We denote the on-axis accelerating potential as:

\[ V(s) \equiv \phi^a(x = y = 0, z = s) \]

Can represent RF or induction accelerating gap fields

See: J.J. Barnard lectures for more details

Using this and setting \( \gamma_b(s = s_i) = \gamma_{bi} \) gives for the gain in axial kinetic energy \( \mathcal{E}_b \) and corresponding changes in \( \gamma_b, \beta_b \) factors:

\[ \mathcal{E}_b = (\gamma_b - 1)mc^2 = q[V(s_i) - V(s)] + \mathcal{E}_{bi} \]

\[ \gamma_b = 1 + \mathcal{E}_{bi}/(mc^2) \quad \mathcal{E}_{bi} = (\gamma_{bi} - 1)mc^2 \]

\[ \beta_b = \sqrt{1 - 1/\gamma_b^2} \]

These equations can be solved for the consistent variation of \( \gamma_b(s), \beta_b(s) \) to integrate the transverse equations of motion:

\[ x''_\perp + \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b')'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} x'_\perp = \frac{q}{m \gamma_b \beta_b^2 c^2} E^a_\perp + \frac{q}{m \gamma_b \beta_b c} \hat{z} \times B^a_\perp + \frac{q B^a_\perp}{m \gamma_b \beta_b c} x'_\perp \times \hat{z} \]

\[ -\frac{q}{\gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\perp} \phi \]
In the nonrelativistic limit:

\[ \gamma_b \approx 1 + \frac{1}{2} \beta_b^2 \quad \beta_b^2 \ll 1 \]

\[ \mathcal{E}_b = (\gamma_b - 1)mc^2 \approx \frac{1}{2} m\beta_b^2 c^2 \]

and the previous (relativistic valid) energy gain formulas reduce to:

\[ \mathcal{E}_b \approx \frac{1}{2} m\beta_b^2 c^2 = q[V(s_i) - V(s)] + \mathcal{E}_{bi} \]

\[ \gamma_b \approx 1 \]

\[ \mathcal{E}_{bi} = \frac{1}{2} m\beta_b^2 c^2 \]

\[ \beta_b = \sqrt{\frac{2\mathcal{E}_b}{mc^2}} \]

Using this result, in the nonrelativistic limit we can take in the transverse particle equation of motion:

\[ \frac{(\gamma_b\beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b\beta_b)} \approx \frac{\beta'_b}{\beta_b} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathcal{E}_b'}{\mathcal{E}_b} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{qV'(s)}{q[V(s_i) - V(s)] + \mathcal{E}_{bi}} \]
In the ultra-relativistic limit:

\[ \beta_b \simeq 1 \quad \mathcal{E}_b = (\gamma_b - 1)mc^2 \simeq \gamma_b mc^2 \]

and the previous (relativistic valid) energy gain formulas reduce to:

\[ \mathcal{E}_b \simeq \gamma_b mc^2 = q[V(s_i) - V(s)] + \mathcal{E}_{bi} \]

\[ \beta_b \simeq 1 \]

Using this result, in the ultra-relativistic limit we can take in the transverse particle equation of motion:

\[ \frac{(\gamma_b \beta_b)'}{(\gamma_b \beta_b)} \simeq \frac{\gamma_b'}{\gamma_b} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_b'}{\mathcal{E}_b} = -\frac{qV'(s)}{q[V(s_i) - V(s)] + \mathcal{E}_{bi}} \]

- Same form as NR limit expression with only a factor of \( \frac{1}{2} \) difference; see also S10A
S11C: Longitudinal Solution via Energy Gain

An alternative analysis of the particle energy gain carried out in S11B can be illuminating. In this case we start from the exact Lorentz force equation with time as the independent variable for a particle moving in the full electromagnetic field:

\[
\frac{dp}{dt} = qE + q\beta c \times B
\]

\[
p = \gamma m\beta c \quad \gamma \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \beta \cdot \beta}}
\]

Dotting \( mc\beta \) into this equation:

\[
mc\beta \cdot \frac{d}{dt} (c\gamma \beta) = qc\beta \cdot E + qc\beta \cdot [c\beta \times B]
\]

Then

\[
\frac{1}{m} \left( \beta \cdot \beta \right) + \frac{1}{m} \left( \gamma \beta \cdot \dot{\beta} \right) = \frac{q}{m} \beta \cdot E
\]

\[
\gamma \equiv (1 - \beta \cdot \beta)^{-1/2}
\]

Gives:

\[
[1]: \quad \left( \beta \cdot \beta \right) = 1 - 1/\gamma^2 \quad [2]: \quad \left( \beta \cdot \dot{\beta} \right) = \dot{\gamma}/\gamma^3
\]
Inserting these factors:
\[(1 - 1/\gamma^2)\dot{\gamma} + \dot{\gamma}/\gamma^2 = \frac{q}{mc^2} \beta \cdot E\]
or:
\[\dot{\gamma} = \frac{q}{mc} \beta \cdot E\]

Equivalently:
\[\frac{d}{dt} E = \frac{d}{dt} (\gamma - 1)mc^2 = qc\beta \cdot E\]

✦ Only the electric field changes the kinetic energy of a particle
✦ No approximations made to this point within the context of classical electrodynamics: valid for evolving $E$, $B$ consistent with the Maxwell equations.

Now approximating to our slowly varying and paraxial formulation:
\[\frac{d}{dt} = c\beta_z \frac{d}{ds} \quad \beta_z \simeq \beta \simeq \beta_b\]
\[\gamma \simeq \gamma_b \quad E \simeq E_b = (\gamma_b - 1)mc^2\]

and approximating the axial electric field by the applied component then obtains
\[\frac{d}{ds} E_b \simeq \frac{dt}{ds} \frac{d}{dt} [(\gamma - 1)mc^2] \simeq qE_z^a\]

which is the longitudinal equation of motion analyzed in S11B.
S11D: Quasistatic Potential Expansion

In the quasistatic approximation, the accelerating potential can be expanded in the axisymmetric limit as:

- See also: S2, Appendix D

We take:

$$E^a = -\frac{\phi^a}{\partial x}$$

and apply the results of S2, Appendix D to expand $\phi^a$ in terms of the on-axis potential

$$\phi^a(r, z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^\nu}{(\nu!)^2} \frac{\partial^{2\nu} \phi^a(r = 0, z)}{\partial z^{2\nu}} \left( \frac{r}{2} \right)^{2\nu}$$

Denote for the on-axis potential

$$\phi^a(r = 0, z) \equiv V(z)$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi^a = V(z) - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} V(z)(x^2 + y^2) + \frac{1}{64} \frac{\partial^4}{\partial z^4} V(z)(x^2 + y^2)^2 + \cdots$$
The longitudinal acceleration also result in a transverse focusing field

\[
E^a_{\perp} = E^a_{\perp} |_{foc} - \frac{\partial \phi^a}{\partial x_{\perp}}
\]

\[
E^a_{\perp} |_{foc} = \text{Fields from Any Applied Focusing Optics}
\]

\[
- \frac{\partial \phi^a}{\partial x_{\perp}} \simeq 1 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} V(z) x_{\perp} = \text{Focusing Field from Acceleration}
\]

- Results can be used to cast acceleration terms in more convenient forms. See J.J. Barnard, Intro. Lectures for more details.
- RF defocusing in the quasistatic approximation can be analyzed using this formulation
- Einzel lens focusing exploits accel/de-acell cycle to make AG focusing
Hamilton's form of the equations of motion for a coasting beam (no accel):

- For solenoid focusing, will need to employ appropriate canonical variables

\[
\frac{d}{ds} x'_{\perp} = \frac{\partial H_{\perp}}{\partial x'_\perp} \quad \quad \frac{d}{ds} x_{\perp} = -\frac{\partial H_{\perp}}{\partial x_{\perp}}
\]

can be equivalently expressed as:

\[
\frac{d}{ds} \vec{x} = S \cdot \nabla_{\vec{x}} H_{\perp}
\]

where \( \vec{x} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} x \\ x' \\ y \\ y' \end{bmatrix} \) and \( \nabla_{\vec{x}} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \partial_x \\ \partial_{x'} \\ \partial_y \\ \partial_{y'} \end{bmatrix} \)

\[
S \equiv \begin{bmatrix} S_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad S_2 \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\]

- Immediately generalizable to 3D dynamics
- Formulation applies in general canonical variables

\[ s \to t \quad x \to q \quad x' \to p \quad \text{etc.} \]
// Review Transverse Hamiltonian formulation: for coasting beam
\((\gamma_b \beta_b = \text{const})\)

1) Continuous or quadrupole (electric or magnetic) focusing:
Canonical variables:

\[
x, \ x' \quad y, \ y'
\]

Hamiltonian:

\[
H_\perp = \frac{1}{2} x'^2 + \frac{1}{2} y'^2 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_x x^2 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_y y^2 + \frac{q \phi}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^3}
\]

\[
\frac{d}{ds} x = \frac{\partial H_\perp}{\partial x} \quad \frac{d}{ds} y = \frac{\partial H_\perp}{\partial y}
\]

\[
\frac{d}{ds} x' = -\frac{\partial H_\perp}{\partial x'} \quad \frac{d}{ds} y' = -\frac{\partial H_\perp}{\partial y'}
\]

Giving the familiar equations of motion:

\[
x'' + \kappa_x x = -\frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}
\]

\[
y'' + \kappa_y y = -\frac{q}{m \gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}
\]
Solenoidal magnetic focusing:

Canonical variables:

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{x} &= x \\
\tilde{y} &= y \\
\tilde{x}' &= x' - \frac{B_{z0}}{2[B\rho]} y \\
\tilde{y}' &= y' + \frac{B_{z0}}{2[B\rho]} x \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
[B\rho] = \frac{m\gamma_b \beta_b c}{q}
\]

Hamiltonian:

\[
\tilde{H}_\perp = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \tilde{x}' + \frac{B_{z0}}{2[B\rho]} \tilde{y} \right)^2 + \left( \tilde{y}' - \frac{B_{z0}}{2[B\rho]} \tilde{x} \right)^2 \right] + \frac{q\phi}{m\gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^3}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{ds} \tilde{x} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{H}_\perp}{\partial \tilde{x}} \\
\frac{d}{ds} \tilde{y} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{H}_\perp}{\partial \tilde{y}} \\
\frac{d}{ds} \tilde{x}' &= -\frac{\partial \tilde{H}_\perp}{\partial \tilde{x}'} \\
\frac{d}{ds} \tilde{y}' &= -\frac{\partial \tilde{H}_\perp}{\partial \tilde{y}'}
\end{align*}
\]

Caution:

Primes do not mean \(d/ds\) in tilde variables here: just notation to distinguish “momentum” variable!

Giving (after some algebra) the familiar equations of motion:

\[
\begin{align*}
x'' - \frac{B'_{z0}(s)}{2[B\rho]} y - \frac{B_{z0}(s)}{[B\rho]} y' &= -\frac{q}{m\gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \\
y'' + \frac{B'_{z0}(s)}{2[B\rho]} x + \frac{B_{z0}(s)}{[B\rho]} x' &= -\frac{q}{m\gamma_b^3 \beta_b^2 c^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}
\end{align*}
\]
Symplectic Matrix

Definition:
An \(2n \times 2n\) symplectic matrix \(M\) satisfies

\[
M^T \cdot S \cdot M = S
\]

where \(S\) has the \(n\)-dimensional block diagonal structure:

\[
S = \begin{bmatrix}
S_2 & 0 \\
\vdots & \\
0 & \\
0 & \ddots & 0 \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
& & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
& & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
S_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The matrix \(S\) satisfies:
- Follow direct from definition

\[
S^T = -S, \quad S^2 = -I
\]
Illustrative Example: Linear Dynamics with constant applied fields

For a general 2\textsuperscript{nd} order Hamiltonian that generates linear dynamics we have:

\[ \nabla_{\vec{x}} H_{\perp} = J \cdot \vec{x} \quad \text{with} \quad J^T = J \]

- Transpose symmetry result of expected physical forces

Hamilton's equation of motion become:

\[ \frac{d}{ds} \vec{x} = S \cdot \nabla_{\vec{x}} H_{\perp} = S \cdot J \cdot \vec{x} \]

If \( J \) has \textit{no explicit} \( s \) \textit{variation}, then this equation can be solved as

- Applies to piecewise constant focusing systems within each element/drift
  - In context coupled motion ok
  - Transitions between elements need to be analyzed separately

\[ \vec{x}(s) = M(s - s_i) \cdot \vec{x}(s_i) = \exp[(s - s_i)S \cdot J] \cdot \vec{x}(s_i) \]

\[ M(s - s_i) = \exp [(s - s_i)S \cdot J] \quad M = \text{Transfer Matrix} \]

- \( M \) is a potentially higher-dimensional generalization of the previous 1D (2x2) matrix used for Hill's equation and the 2D (4x4) solenoid phase-space transfer matrices employed
Because (see proof on next page):

\[ S \cdot \exp(sS \cdot J) = \exp(sJ \cdot S) \cdot S \]

\[ J^T = J \quad S^T = -S \]

and for any matrices A and B the transpose property:

\[ [A \cdot B]^T = B^T \cdot A^T \]

The transfer matrix \( M \) is symplectic:

\[ M(s - s_i) = \exp[(s - s_i)S \cdot J] \]

\[ M^T(s) \cdot S \cdot M(s) = [\exp(sS \cdot J)]^T \cdot S \cdot \exp(sS \cdot J) \]

\[ = \exp(s[S \cdot J]^T) \cdot \exp(sJ \cdot S) \cdot S \]

\[ = \exp(sJ^T \cdot S^T) \cdot \exp(sJ \cdot S) \cdot S \]

\[ = \exp(-sJ \cdot S) \cdot \exp(sJ \cdot S) \cdot S \]

\[ = S \]

\[ \implies M^T(s) \cdot S \cdot M(s) = S \]

Satisfies symplectic condition
Aside: Proof of formula applied:

Definition of the exponential of a matrix $A$:

$$\exp(A) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^n}{n!}$$

Then:

$$S \cdot \exp(sS \cdot J) = S \cdot \left(1 + sS \cdot J + \frac{1}{2}s^2S \cdot J \cdot S \cdot J + \cdots \right)$$

$$= -S \cdot \left(1 + sS \cdot J + \frac{1}{2}s^2S \cdot J \cdot S \cdot J + \cdots \right) \cdot S^2$$

$$= \left(\left[-S^2\right] + s\left[-S^2\right] \cdot J \cdot S + \frac{1}{2}s^2\left[-S\right]^2 \cdot J \cdot S \cdot J \cdot S + \cdots \right)$$

$$= \left(1 + sJ \cdot S + \frac{1}{2}s^2J \cdot S \cdot J \cdot S + \cdots \right) \cdot S$$

$$= \exp(sJ \cdot S) \cdot S$$
Comments:

- Example presented illustrating symplectic structure of Hamiltonian dynamics only applies to piecewise constant linear forces.
- Generalizations show that the symplectic structure of Hamiltonian dynamics persists into fully general cases with \( s \)-dependent Hamiltonians and nonlinear effects. Showing this requires development of more formalisms beyond the scope of this course. See for more info:
  
  
  2300 page book distributed freely:
  http://www.physics.umd.edu/dsat/dsatliemethods.html

- The symplectic structure of Hamiltonian dynamics is important in numerical codes for long-term tracking of particles in rings
  - Special map-based movers preserve symplectic structure
  - Insures no artificial numerical growth or damping in particle orbits over very long evolution
S12.B: Symplectic Dynamics = Phase-Space Area Preservation

Why the emphasis on the symplectic structure of Hamiltonian dynamics?

Because symplectic dynamics implies that that phase-space area is preserved in the particle evolution.

Illustration: Consider the phase-space area $A$ bounded by two vectors $\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2$ which evolve according to symplectic dynamics

- Area calculated using cross-product: sketch 1D phase space but works 2D, 3D

$$A(s_i) = |\vec{e}_1(s_i) \otimes \vec{e}_2(s_i)|$$

$$= \vec{e}_1^T(s_i) \cdot S \cdot \vec{e}_2(s_i)$$

= Initial Area

$$A(s) = |\vec{e}_1(s) \otimes \vec{e}_2(s)|$$

$$= \vec{e}_1^T(s) \cdot S \cdot \vec{e}_2(s)$$

= Evolved Area
With reference to the same constant field, linear dynamics formulation used to illustrate symplectic dynamics:

Since the vectors evolve according to Hamiltonian dynamics:

\[ \vec{e}_1(s) = \mathbf{M}(s - s_i) \cdot \vec{e}_1(s_i) \]
\[ \vec{e}_2(s) = \mathbf{M}(s - s_i) \cdot \vec{e}_2(s_i) \]

Thus, since the dynamics is symplectic with \( \mathbf{M}^T \cdot \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{S} \)

\[
\text{Evolved Area } = A(\vec{e}_2(s)) = \mathbf{S} \cdot \vec{e}_1(s) = \left[ \mathbf{M}(s - s_i) \cdot \vec{e}_2(s_i) \right]^T \cdot \mathbf{S} \cdot \left[ \mathbf{M}(s - s_i) \cdot \vec{e}_1(s_i) \right] \\
= \vec{e}_2(s_i) \cdot [\mathbf{M}^T(s - s_i) \cdot \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{M}(s - s_i)] \cdot \vec{e}_1(s_i) \\
= \vec{e}_2^T(s_i) \cdot \mathbf{S} \cdot \vec{e}_1(s_i) = A(s_i) = \text{Initial Area}
\]

Giving the important results:

**Symplectic dynamics implies conservation of phase-space area!**
Comments:

- Illustration only applies to linear constant applied fields, but more advanced treatments (see Dragt refs in previous sub-section) show this property persists for $s$-varying Hamiltonians and nonlinear dynamics.

- **IMPORTANT:** conservation of phase-space area in nonlinear dynamics in the sense given does *NOT* imply that measures of *statistical* beam emittance calculated by averages over an ensemble of particles remain conserved in nonlinear dynamics.

  - Statistical measures of phase space area (see lectures on Centroid and Envelope Descriptions and Kinetic Stability) impact beam focusability and can evolve in response to nonlinear effects with important implications:
    - Effectively phase-space filaments with coarse grained measures of phase space area evolving
  - This will be treated more in lectures on Kinetic Stability

- Acceleration can be dealt with by employing a 3D Hamiltonian formulation with a full set of proper canonical variables or using normalized variables in 4D transverse phase-space as outlined in S10

- In numerical analysis of particle orbits in rings it is very important to advance particles that preserve the symplectic structure of the dynamics in the presence of numerical approximations/errors:
  - Characteristics then faithful with those expected in real machine over many laps.
S13 Self-Consistent Models and Liouville's Theorem

Follow formulations in:

- JJ Barnard, *Introductory Lectures*

Note are included here for completeness. We return to a more complete (3D) formulation of the beam description in this section. Only an outline is given: plasma physics texts such as Nicholson should be consulted for more details.

Material in this section help motivate the collective (Vlasov) evolution models employed in later lecture notes that we often employ to describe the self-consistent evolution of intense beams. These models will typically be expressed in variables appropriate for paraxial beam models. Here, we outline the more complete setup for better orientation.
S13.A: Klimontovich Equation for Self-Consistent Description of Beam/Plasma Evolution

Consider the evolution of $N$ particles coupled to the Maxwell equations and describe the evolution in terms of a singular phase-space density function $F$ evolving in 6D phase space:

$$F(x, p, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta[x - x_i(t)] \delta[p - p_i(t)]$$

- $x_i(t) = $ Position of $i$th particle
- $p_i(t) = $ Mechanical momentum of $i$th particle
- $t = $ Time

- $F$ is highly singular: infinite at location of classical point particles and zero otherwise.
- Here we implicitly assume a single species with charge $q$ and mass $m$ for simplicity. If there are more than one species, the formulation can be generalized by writing a separate density function for each species: $F \rightarrow F_s$

  Most steps carry through with little modification outside of changes (sum over species) in coupling to the Maxwell equations. See discussion at end of section.
Note that:

\[ \int d^3x \int d^3p \; F(x, p, t) = N = \text{const} \]

Particles evolve consistent with electromagnetic forces of “microscopic” classical point particles according to the Lorentz force equation:

\[
\frac{dp_i}{dt} = F_i = q \left[ E^m(x_i, t) + \frac{dx_i}{dt} \times B^m(x_i, t) \right] \quad \text{Initial conditions}
\]

\[
m\gamma_i \frac{dx_i}{dt} = p_i \quad ; \quad \gamma_i = \left[ 1 + \frac{p_i^2}{(mc)^2} \right]^{1/2}
\]

Comments:

- Here we do not consider quantum mechanical effects in scattering but classical scattering and radiation is allowed consistent with electromagnetic forces
- Ionizations, internal atom excitations, …. would require changes in \( F \)
- As written the system applies to one species (i.e., single \( q, m \) values) but easy to generalize by writing the same form of \( F \) for each species
- Denote superscript \( m \) on field components denote “microscopic” fields
And couple to the full set of microscopic fields (superscript m) via the Maxwell equations:

\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^m = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0} \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{E}^m = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}^m}{\partial t} \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}^m = 0 \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{B}^m = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} + \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}^m}{\partial t}
\]

**Charge Density**

\[
\rho(x, t) = \rho_{\text{ext}}(x, t) + q \sum_i \delta[x - x_i(t)]
\]

\[= \rho_{\text{ext}}(x, t) + q \int d^3p \, F(x, p, t)\]

**Current Density**

\[
\mathbf{J}(x, t) = \mathbf{J}_{\text{ext}}(x, t) + q \sum_i \frac{dx_i}{dt} \delta[x - x_i(t)]
\]

\[= \mathbf{J}_{\text{ext}}(x, t) + q \int d^3p \, \mathbf{v} F(x, p, t)\]

+ boundary conditions on \( \mathbf{E}^m, \mathbf{B}^m \)

\[
\mathbf{v} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\gamma m} = \frac{\mathbf{p}/m}{[1 + \mathbf{p}^2/(mc)^2]^{1/2}}
\]

**Comments:**
- Full form of Maxwell equations allow classical electromagnetic radiation
- Coupling to beam charge and current is shown for one species, but easy to generalize by summing contributions from all species
Derive an evolution equation for $F$:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(x, p, t) = - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{x_i(t)}{dt} \cdot \nabla_x + \frac{dp_i(t)}{dt} \cdot \nabla_p \right] \delta[x - x_i(t)] \delta[p - p_i(t)]$$

$$= - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{x_i(t)}{dt} \cdot \nabla_x + q \left[ E^m(x_i, p_i, t) + \frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} \times B^m(x_i, p_i, t) \right] \cdot \nabla_p \right] \delta[x - x_i(t)] \delta[p - p_i(t)]$$

But:

$$x \delta[x - x_i(t)] \delta[p - p_i(t)] = x_i(t) \delta[x - x_i(t)] \delta[p - p_i(t)]$$

etc.

$$v = \frac{p}{\gamma m} = \frac{p/m}{[1 + p^2/(mc)^2]^{1/2}}$$

Giving, after some manipulation, the Klimontovich equation describing the classical collective evolution of the beam as:

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla_x + q \left[ E^m(x, p, t) + v \times B^m(x, p, t) \right] \cdot \nabla_p \right\} F(x, p, t) = 0$$

$$F(x, p, t) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta[x - x_i(t)] \delta[p - p_i(t)]$$
The derivative operator is recognized as acting along a particle orbit:

- Apply Lorentz force equation

\[
\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} + q [\mathbf{E}^{m}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}^{m}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t)] \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \right\} F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t) \\
= \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{d\mathbf{x}_{i}(t)}{dt} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{d\mathbf{p}_{i}(t)}{dt} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \right\} F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t) \\
= \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{\text{orbit}} F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t)
\]

Showing that the Klimontovich equation can be alternatively expressed as:

\[
\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{\text{orbit}} F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t) = 0
\]

- Shows \( F \) is advected along characteristic particle orbits and is conserved
  - Orbits in this sense are trajectories of “marker” particles (same \( q/m \) as physical particles) evolving in the beam
Alternatively, some manipulations show that the Klimontovich equation can be expressed in the form of a higher dimensional relativistic continuity equation:

\[ F \equiv q [E^m(x, t) + \mathbf{v} \times B^m(x, t)] \]

\[ \mathbf{v} = \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\gamma m} = \frac{\mathbf{p}/m}{[1 + \mathbf{p}^2/(mc)^2]^{1/2}} \]

\[ \implies \nabla \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{F} = 0 \]

Giving:

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F(x, \mathbf{p}, t) + \nabla_x \cdot [\mathbf{v} F(x, \mathbf{p}, t)] + \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot [\mathbf{F} F(x, \mathbf{p}, t)] = 0 \]

- Shows $F$ is conserved in sense probability flows rather than created/destroyed
- Can apply analogy with familiar continuity equation in fluid mechanics (see Aside next page) to help interpret
Aside: Analogy with the familiar continuity equation of a local fluid density $n$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n(x, t) + \nabla_x \cdot [n(x, t)V(x, t)] = 0$$

$n(x, t) =$ Fluid number density

$V(x, t) =$ Fluid flow velocity

- Shows fluid density $n$ flows somewhere consistent with the fluid flow $V$ and is not created/destroyed
- $n$ is conserved in this sense and the continuity equation is the proper expression of local conversation
- Implies fluid weight not created or destroyed. Integrate over some volume $V$ containing all fluid ($n = 0$ on surface)

$$\int_V d^3 x \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} n(x, t) + \nabla_x \cdot [n(x, t)V(x, t)] \right\} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_V d^3 x \ n(x, t) + \int_{\partial V} 0 d^2 x \ n(x, t)V(x, t) \cdot \hat{n}(x) = 0$$

applied Divergence Theorem: $\partial V =$ Surface bounding $V$

$\hat{n}(x) =$ Local unit normal to $\partial V$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_V d^3 x \ n(x, t) = 0 \ \Rightarrow \int_V d^3 x \ n(x, t) = \text{const}$$
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Comments on the Klimontovitch formulation:

- Solved as an initial value problem: initial particle phase-space coordinates of particles specified and then solved with coupled Maxwell equations
  - Classically exact, but practically speaking intractable
  - Really just a restatement of classical point particles evolving consistently with the Maxwell equations: mostly just notation at this point

- Klimontovich equation is essentially a statement that local density in phase-space is conserved in the classical evolution of a charged particle system
  - Quantum theory of matter can change expression since collisions of particles can cause effects like ionization, internal atom excitations, ….
  - Klimontovich equation can be modified by including appropriate terms on the RHS of equation to model such classical and quantum scattering effects: would result in non-conservation of probabilities associated with quantum effects in “collisions”

- Sometimes called Liouville's theorem in micro-space

- Not particularly useful in this form other than conceptual grounding. Need an expression in terms of a smoothed statistical measure of the particle distribution. We construct this next section.
S13.B: Vlasov's Equation and the Liouville's theorem

Average of the singular (micro) Klimontovich distribution \( f \) to obtain a smooth 6D phase-space distribution

\[
\Delta x = \text{Position width} \\
\Delta p = \text{Momentum width} \\
\]

\[
f(x, p, t) \equiv \frac{1}{\Delta x^3 \Delta p^3} \int_{\Delta x^3} d^3x \int_{\Delta p^3} d^3p \ F(x, p, t) = \langle F(x, p, t) \rangle
\]

- Average taken about local phase-space coordinates \( x, p \) so after averaging, dependence of distribution remains in \( x, p \)
- Average is essentially a coarse-graining to reduce detail

Arguments can be involved in taking appropriate coarse-grain measurements.
Logic from plasma physics suggests:

\[
n^{-1/3} \ll \Delta x \ll \lambda_D \\
0 \ll \Delta p \ll m v_t
\]

\[
\lambda_D = \left( \frac{\epsilon_0 T}{q^2 n} \right)^{1/2} = \text{Thermal debye length} \\
v_t = \left( \frac{T}{m} \right)^{1/2} = \text{Thermal velocity}
\]
Better defining some of these measures:

- Take a nonrelativistic perspective here for simplicity

\[ T = \text{Characteristic kinetic temperature (energy units)} \]

\[ \frac{1}{2} T = \frac{1}{2} m v^2_t \quad \implies \quad v_t = \left( \frac{T}{m} \right)^{1/2} = \text{Thermal velocity} \]

- Kinetic temperature removing local flow measures (beam frame) of beam and measures strength of random spread of particle momentum

- Relativity introduces some subtleties on how to best do this. See Reiser textbook for a thorough discussion.

\[ \lambda_D \equiv \frac{(T/m)^{1/2}}{\omega_p} = \frac{v_t}{\omega_p} = \left( \frac{\epsilon_0 T}{q^2 n} \right)^{1/2} = \text{Debye length} \]

- Characteristic screening/shielding distance within a plasma

\[ \omega_p = \left( \frac{q^2 n}{\epsilon_0 m} \right)^{1/2} = \text{Plasma frequency} \]

- Characteristic collective oscillation frequency of electrostatic restoring forces in a plasma
Examine the local deviations of the distribution from the smoothed average:

\[ F = f + \delta f \]

\[ f \equiv \langle F' \rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad \langle \delta f \rangle = 0 \]

 Dependence of all distribution terms \((x, p, t)\)

And make similar definitions for smoothed field components:

\[ \mathbf{E}^m = \mathbf{E} + \delta \mathbf{E} \quad \mathbf{E} \equiv \langle \mathbf{E}^m \rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad \langle \delta \mathbf{E} \rangle = 0 \]

\[ \mathbf{B}^m = \mathbf{B} + \delta \mathbf{B} \quad \mathbf{B} \equiv \langle \mathbf{B}^m \rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad \langle \delta \mathbf{B} \rangle = 0 \]

 Dependence of all field terms \((x, t)\)

Averaging over the Kilmontovich equation in S13A then obtains:

\[
\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + q \left[ \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t) + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \right\} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t) =
\]

\[
- q \langle [\delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t) + \mathbf{v} \times \delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, t)] \delta f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t) \rangle
\]
Averaging over the microscopic Maxwell equations then gives the Maxwell equations for the smoothed fields:

Equations are linear, so average is trivial. But coupling form to beam charges and currents changes to average form representing smoothed charge and current densities

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} &= \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0} \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{E} &= -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} &= 0 \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{B} &= \mu_0 \mathbf{J} + \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = \rho_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{x}, t) + q \int d^3p f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t)
\]

\[
\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathbf{J}_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{x}, t) + q \int d^3p \, v f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t)
\]

+ boundary conditions on $\mathbf{E}$, $\mathbf{B}$

The fields can also be given, as usual, with potentials $\phi$, $\mathbf{A}$

Specific form of potential equations and coupling to $\rho$, $\mathbf{J}$ depend on the gauge choices made: consult classical electrodynamics textbooks for details

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E} &= -\nabla \phi + \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \\
\mathbf{B} &= \nabla \times \mathbf{A}
\end{align*}
\]
In this averaged equation:

\[
\text{LHS:} \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + q \left[ \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t) + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \right\} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t)
\]

- Represents the smoothly (continuum model theory) varying part
  - No scattering effects but retains self-consistent collective effects
  - Appropriate to model many (fluid like) particles interacting

\[
\text{RHS:} \quad -q \left\langle \left[ \delta \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t) + \mathbf{v} \times \delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \delta f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t) \right\rangle
\]

- Represents an averaged interaction over rapidly varying quantities
- Retains information from classical discrete particle effects and collisions
  - In form given has no quantum mechanical effects such as ionizations, internal atom excitations, .... Model must be further augmented to analyze such effects
- Extensive treatments in plasma physics involve making approximations for this classical “collision operator” to statistically model scattering effects in plasmas
  - Beyond scope of this course: see treatments and references in Nicholson and other plasma physics texts
- Will outline arguments that classical scattering effects associated with this term are negligible in many cases relevant to intense beam physics
When scattering effects on the RHS can be neglected, the evolution equation is approximated by the **Vlasov equation**:

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + q \left[ \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t) + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \right\} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t) = 0$$

Here, $$\mathbf{v} = \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\gamma m} = \frac{\mathbf{p}/m}{\sqrt{1 + \mathbf{p}^2/(mc)^2}}$$

- Describes the evolution of the system in a classical continuum model sense
  - Includes collective effects
  - Does not include scattering and quantum mechanical effects
- Solved as an initial value problem with $$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t = 0)$$ specified and the fields given by the smoothed Maxwell equations
Simple estimates on when scattering can be neglected

- For more details consult plasma physics texts
- Take a nonrelativistic perspective for simplicity
- Use previous scales employed in coarse grain averages used to obtain $f$

Heuristically, on the RHS scattering term take:

$$\text{RHS} = -q\langle [\delta \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \delta \mathbf{B}] \delta f \rangle \sim \nu_c f$$

$$\nu_c \sim \sigma n v_t = \text{Collision frequency}$$

$$\sigma \sim \pi r_c^2 = \text{Collision cross-section}$$

Estimate cross section by considering a large angle scatter where the thermal energy of the incident particle is of order the electrostatic potential energy at closest approach:

$$T = \frac{q^2}{4\pi \varepsilon_0 r_c} \quad \implies \quad r_c \sim \frac{q^2}{4\pi \varepsilon_0 T} = \text{collision radius}$$

$$\implies \quad \nu_c \sim (\pi r_c^2) n v_t \sim \pi \left( \frac{q^2}{4\pi \varepsilon_0 T} \right)^2 n \left( \frac{T}{m} \right)^{1/2} \sim \frac{1}{16\pi} \frac{v_t}{\lambda_D n}$$

$$\text{RHS} = -q\langle [\delta \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \delta \mathbf{B}] \delta f \rangle \sim \nu_c f \sim \frac{1}{16\pi} \frac{v_t}{\lambda_D n} f$$
Heuristically, on the LHS collective term expect for electrostatic effects:

\[
\text{LHS} = \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + q [\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}] \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \right\} f \sim \omega_p f
\]

\[
\omega_p = \left( \frac{q^2 n}{\epsilon_0 m} \right)^{1/2}
\]

= Angular frequency of plasma oscillations

The relative order of the LHS (collective) and RHS (classical scattering) terms are:

\[
\frac{\text{RHS}}{\text{LHS}} = \frac{\text{Collisions}}{\text{Collective}} \sim \frac{1}{16\pi} \frac{v_t}{\lambda_D^4 n} \frac{1}{\omega_p} = \frac{1}{16\pi \lambda_D^3 n} \sim \Lambda
\]

\[
\Lambda \equiv \frac{4\pi}{3} \lambda_D^3 n = \text{Particles per Debye sphere}
\]

\[
\gg 1 \text{ for intense beams}
\]

\textbf{We expect scattering effects to be weak relative to collective effects for typical intense beams}

- Special situations can change this: very cold beams near source ….
- Arguments here on ordering are somewhat circular but show consistency. To more rigorously motivate, usually careful comparisons with more complete models are necessary.
  - Many studies in field motivate Vlasov model typically good for intense beams
Interpretation of Vlasov's Equation

The Vlasov Equation is essentially a continuity equation for an incompressible “fluid” in 6D phase-space. To see this, cast in standard continuity equation form using
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \cdot \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} = 0 \]

To express the Vlasov equation equivalently as
\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot (\mathbf{v} f) + \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \cdot (q[\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}] f) = 0 \]

- Manifestly the form of a continuity equation in 6D phase-space, i.e., “probability” \( f \) is not created or destroyed

Alternatively, we note that the total derivative along a single particle orbit in the continuum model is
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + q[\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}] \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial p} = \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{\text{orbit}} \]

So the Vlasov equation can be equivalently expressed as
\[ \frac{d}{dt_{\text{orbit}}} f(\mathbf{x}, p, t) = 0 \]

- Expresses that \( f \) is advected along characteristic particle orbits in the continuum and is therefore manifestly conserved
Liouville's Theorem

These prove Liouville's theorem:

The density of particles in 6D phase-space is invariant when measured along the trajectories of characteristic particles

Comments:

- Although density in phase-space remains constant by Liouville's theorem, the shape in phase-space can vary in response to evolution and nonlinear effects
  - Distortions and Filamentation
- Consequently, coarse-grained or statistical measures of beam phase-space area such as rms emittances can evolve
  - Rms emittances provide important measures of statistical beam focusability
  - (see lectures on Transverse Centroid and Envelope Descriptions)
- Proved here using position-mechanical momentum phase space \((x, p)\): later will show valid for all choices of canonical variables
- Valid in continuum mechanics approximation with average (mean field) self-consistent effects. Both classical scattering and quantum mechanical scattering processes result in violations of Liouville's theorem
  - Classical scattering tends to decrease density in phase-space
- Numerous versions in literature: often simplest case given for non-interacting particles evolving in response to prescribed forces
A recurring theme in this course is that nonlinear forces acting on a beam can tend to filament phase space. Schematically:

Although phase-space area is conserved in such processes under Liouville's theorem, statistical projection measures of beam phase-space area such as rms beam emittances can evolve and tend to increase under the action of such effects.

\[
\text{Projected Statistical Emittance} \sim \left[ \langle x^2 \rangle_\perp \langle p_{x}^2 \rangle_\perp - \langle xp_{x} \rangle_\perp^2 \right]^{1/2}
\]

(rms measure)

*Much more on this topic in lecture sets on: Transverse Equilibrium Distributions, Transverse Centroid and Envelope Descriptions, and Transverse Kinetic Stability*
Canonical Variables, Vlasov's Equation, and a Generalized Expression of Liouville's Theorem

Louiville's theorem derived for a distribution expressed as a function of position-mechanical momentum variables \((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})\) Here we will show that the same statement holds for any proper set of canonical variables to generalize the interpretation of the Liouville Theorem.

Note that \((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})\) variables are not necessarily a proper canonical pair in all relevant focusing systems considered: e.g., solenoid focusing, see the discussion in S12

Consider a proper set of canonical variables from which a Hamiltonian \(H\) describes the continuum model trajectories consistent with the mean field model potentials \(\phi, \mathbf{A}\)

\[
\begin{align*}
q_i &= \text{Canonical Coordinate} \\
p_i &= \text{Canonical Momentum} \\
i &= 1, 2, 3
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} q_i &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \\
\frac{d}{dt} p_i &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}
\end{align*}
\]

Next, we take the Vlasov model distribution \(f\) to be a function of the canonical variables

\[
f = f(\{q_i\}, \{p_i\}, t)
\]
On general grounds, the distribution should evolve consistent with a continuity equation expressed in the canonical variables. We form this as:

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \nabla_6 \cdot (\vec{v}_6 f) = 0 \]

where

\[ \vec{v}_6 = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{q}_1 \\ \dot{p}_1 \\ \dot{q}_2 \\ \dot{p}_2 \\ \dot{q}_3 \\ \dot{p}_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \nabla_6 \cdot \vec{A}_6 \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left[ \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial q_i} + \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial p_i} \right] \]

\[ \vec{A}_6 \equiv 6 \text{-vector in obvious notation} \]

\[ \equiv \frac{d}{dt} \]

Then using Hamilton's equations of motion of the characteristics:

\[ \nabla_6 \cdot \vec{v}_6 = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left[ \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial q_i} + \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial p_i} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial q_i \partial p_i} - \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial p_i \partial q_i} \right] = 0 \]

So:

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \nabla_6 \cdot (\vec{v}_6 f) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \nabla_6 \cdot \vec{v}_6 f + \vec{v}_6 \cdot \nabla_6 f = 0 \]

\[ \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \vec{v}_6 \cdot \nabla_6 f = \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{\text{orbit}} f = 0 \quad \text{Expected incompressible flow form} \]
This shows that the distribution $f$ evaluated along characteristic trajectories in any set of canonical variables remains invariant in the Vlasov model

- Liouville's theorem remains valid in any set of canonical variables

It is useful to also express the incompressible Vlasov equation in canonical variables:

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \vec{v}_6 \cdot \nabla_6 f = 0
$$

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left\{ \dot{q}_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} + \dot{p}_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \right\} = 0
$$

$$
\implies \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left\{ \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \right\} = 0
$$

- See electrodynamics texts for form of $H$ with (mean field) potentials $\phi$, $\mathbf{A}$ and various canonical variable choices

In the literature, sometimes

$$\{ H, f \} \equiv \left\{ \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \right\}
$$

is called a *Poisson Bracket*
Further insight can be obtained on the canonical form of the Vlasov distribution by transforming from one set of canonical variables to another. Since $f d^3 q d^3 p$ is the physical number of particles (counting) and invariant with the choice of variables used to describe the problem, we have under canonical transform:

$$f d^3 q d^3 p = \tilde{f} d^3 \tilde{q} d^3 \tilde{p}$$

$\tilde{q}_i =$ Transformed canonical coordinate

$\tilde{p}_i =$ Transformed canonical momentum

$\tilde{H} = \tilde{H} (\{\tilde{q}_i\}, \{\tilde{p}_i\}, \tilde{t}) =$ Transformed Hamiltonian

- Canonical form is maintained in the transformed variables

In classical mechanics texts, canonical transform generating functions are used to prove that phase space area measures are invariant under canonical transform:

$$d^3 q d^3 p = d^3 \tilde{q} d^3 \tilde{p}$$

Therefore, the Vlasov distribution $f$ is invariant under canonical transform:

$$f (\{q_i\}, \{p_i\}, t) = \tilde{f} (\{\tilde{q}_i\}, \{\tilde{p}_i\}, \tilde{t})$$
Pure transverse theories of an accelerating beam cannot be cast in terms of a Hamiltonian theory. This is due to the acceleration induced damping terms like:

$$\left(\frac{\gamma_b^2 \beta_b}{\gamma_b^2 \beta_b'}\right)' x'$$

in transverse particle equations of motion.

For a coasting beam without acceleration or solenoid magnetic focusing $x,x',y,y'$ form a convenient canonical set: these are used extensively in this context in following lecture sets.

Use of normalized variables (see S10) can approximately bypass this limitation in transverse paraxial theories.

The canonical variables used in the 3D formulation here can include acceleration effects and can be thought of as “normalized” 3D variables.

Dimensionality and the scope of what is included (acceleration etc) in the Hamiltonian can cause confusion!

Clear concept of context, scope, and limitations can help clarify.
Multispecies Generalizations for Vlasov Formulation

Subscript species with $s$. Then in the Vlasov equation replace:

\[
\begin{align*}
  f & \rightarrow f_s \\
  m & \rightarrow m_s \\
  q & \rightarrow q_s
\end{align*}
\]

and there is a separate Vlasov equation for each of the $s$ species.

- Species Vlasov equations couple through self-field terms

Replace the charge and current density couplings in the Maxwell Equations with an appropriate form to include charge and current contributions from all species:

\[
\begin{align*}
  \rho(x, t) &= \rho_{\text{ext}}(x, t) + \sum_s q_s \int d^3 p \, f_s(x, p, t) \\
  \mathbf{J}(x, t) &= \mathbf{J}_{\text{ext}}(x, t) + \sum_s q_s \int d^3 p \, \mathbf{v} f_s(x, p, t)
\end{align*}
\]

Comment:

- Analogous replacements apply to Kilmontovich formulation and if scattering terms are retained on the RHS of Vlasov's equation
Corrections and suggestions for improvements welcome!

These notes will be corrected and expanded for reference and for use in future editions of US Particle Accelerator School (USPAS) and Michigan State University (MSU) courses. Contact:

Prof. Steven M. Lund
Facility for Rare Isotope Beams
Michigan State University
640 South Shaw Lane
East Lansing, MI 48824

lund@frib.msu.edu
(517) 908 – 7291 office
(510) 459 - 4045 mobile

Please provide corrections with respect to the present archived version at:

https://people.nscl.msu.edu/~lund/uspas/bpisc_2015

Redistributions of class material welcome. Please do not remove author credits.
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Basic introduction on many of the topics covered:

Hill's Equation, Floquet's theorem, Courant-Snyder invariants, and dispersion functions:

Particle equations of motion with bends and momentum spread:

Original, classic paper on strong focusing and Courant-Snyder invariants applied to accelerator physics. Remains one of the best formulated treatments to date:

Mathematical treatment of transfer matrices and stability:
   A very extensive (~2400 pages) “new” (many years prep) book, A. Dragt, *Lie Methods for Nonlinear Dynamics with Applications to Accelerators* is available free online: [http://www.physics.umd.edu/dsat/dsatliemethods.html](http://www.physics.umd.edu/dsat/dsatliemethods.html)
References: continued (3)

Phase-amplitude methods, Larmor frame:
   J.D. Lawson, *The Physics of Charged Particle Beams*, Oxford University Priess (1977)

Solenoidal focusing and the Larmor frame:

Extensive review articles with a similar perspective to the notes by S.M Lund and coauthors further clarifies material on phase advances, lattice focusing strength, etc.
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